

Homotopy field theory in dimension 3 and crossed group-categories

Vladimir Turaev

Abstract

A 3-dimensional homotopy quantum field theory (HQFT) can be described as a TQFT for surfaces and 3-cobordisms endowed with homotopy classes of maps into a given space. For a group π , we introduce a notion of a modular crossed π -category and show that such a category gives rise to a 3-dimensional HQFT with target space $K(\pi, 1)$. This includes numerical invariants of 3-dimensional π -manifolds and a 2-dimensional homotopy modular functor. We also introduce and discuss a parallel notion of a quasitriangular crossed Hopf π -coalgebra.

Contents

Introduction

1. Group-categories
 2. Crossed, braided, and ribbon π -categories
 3. Colored π -tangles and their invariants
 4. Colored π -graphs and their invariants
 5. Trace, dimension, and algebra of colors
 6. Modular crossed π -categories
 7. Invariants of 3-dimensional π -manifolds
 8. A 2-dimensional homotopy modular functor
 9. A 2-dimensional HQFT
 10. A 3-dimensional HQFT
 11. Hopf group-coalgebras
 12. Canonical extensions
 13. Transfer of categories
- Appendix 1. Quasi-abelian cohomology of groups
 Appendix 2. State sum invariants of 3-dimensional π -manifolds
 Appendix 3. Open problems

Introduction

A homotopy quantum field theory (HQFT) is a version of a topological quantum field theory (TQFT) for manifolds endowed with maps into a fixed topological space. The HQFT's were introduced in [Tu3] where the author gave an algebraic

characterization of 2-dimensional HQFT's whose target space is the Eilenberg-MacLane space $K(\pi, 1)$ determined by a group π . In this paper we focus on 3-dimensional HQFT's with target space $K(\pi, 1)$. A manifold M endowed with a homotopy class of maps $M \rightarrow K(\pi, 1)$ is called a π -manifold. The homotopy classes of maps $M \rightarrow K(\pi, 1)$ classify principal π -bundles over M and (for connected M) bijectively correspond to the homomorphisms $\pi_1(M) \rightarrow \pi$. A 3-dimensional HQFT with target space $K(\pi, 1)$ comprises two ingredients: a homotopy modular functor assigning K -modules to π -surfaces and an invariant of 3-dimensional π -manifolds taking value in the module associated with the boundary. In particular, the HQFT provides numerical invariants of closed 3-dimensional π -manifolds. Our main aim is to introduce an algebraic technique allowing to construct 3-dimensional HQFT's.

Our approach is based on a deep connection between the theory of braided categories and invariants of knots, links and 3-manifolds. This connection has been essential in the construction of "quantum" invariants of knots and 3-manifolds from quantum groups, see [RT], [Tu2], [KRT]. Here we extend these ideas to links $\ell \subset S^3$ endowed with homomorphisms $\pi_1(S^3 \setminus \ell) \rightarrow \pi$ and to 3-dimensional π -manifolds. To this end we introduce a notion of a crossed π -category and study braidings and twists in such categories. This leads us to the notion of a modular crossed π -category. We show that each modular crossed π -category gives rise to a 3-dimensional HQFT with target $K(\pi, 1)$. In the case $\pi = 1$ we recover the usual construction of 3-dimensional TQFT's from modular categories, see [Tu2].

The crossed π -categories are quite delicate algebraic objects. We discuss a few general methods producing such categories. In particular we introduce *quasitriangular Hopf π -coalgebras* and show that they give rise to crossed π -categories. Other methods are based on a study of self-equivalences of a braided category, a study of quasi-abelian cohomology of π , and a transfer-type construction. This gives several examples of modular crossed π -categories. However, the problem of systematic finding of modular crossed π -categories is largely open. It would be most interesting to extend the quantum groups associated with semisimple finite dimensional complex Lie algebras to quasitriangular Hopf π -coalgebras.

The content of the paper is as follows. In Sections 1 and 2 we introduce crossed π -categories and various additional structures on them (braiding, twist etc.). In Sections 3 and 4 we introduce π -links, π -tangles and π -graphs in $\mathbf{R}^3$ colored over a ribbon crossed π -category $\mathcal{C}$. We also define their canonical functorial invariant taking values in $\mathcal{C}$. In Section 5 we study traces of morphisms in $\mathcal{C}$. In Section 6 we introduce modular crossed π -categories. They are used in Section 7 to define invariants of 3-dimensional π -manifolds. In Sections 8-10 we introduce the 2-dimensional and 3-dimensional HQFT's derived from a modular crossed π -category. In Sections 11-13 we discuss algebraic constructions of crossed π -categories.

The paper is ended with three appendices. In Appendix 1 we briefly discuss quasi-abelian 3-cohomology of groups and their relations to crossed categories. In Appendix 2 we outline a state sum approach to invariants of 3-dimensional π -manifolds. In Appendix 3 we discuss a few open problems.

Throughout the paper, the symbol K denotes a commutative ring with unit.

The symbol π denotes a group. By a category we mean a small category.

We shall work in the smooth set up although all our definitions have topological and piecewise-linear versions. Thus, by manifolds we mean smooth manifolds and by homeomorphisms we mean smooth homeomorphisms.

1. Group-categories

1.1. Generalities on monoidal categories. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a monoidal category with unit object $\mathbb{1}$. Recall (see for instance [Ma]) that we have invertible associativity morphisms

$$(1.1.a) \quad \{a_{U,V,W} : (U \otimes V) \otimes W \rightarrow U \otimes (V \otimes W)\}_{U,V,W \in \mathcal{C}}$$

and invertible morphisms

$$(1.1.b) \quad \{l_U : U \rightarrow U \otimes \mathbb{1}, r_U : U \rightarrow \mathbb{1} \otimes U\}_{U \in \mathcal{C}}$$

satisfying the pentagon identity

$$(1.1.c) \quad (\text{id}_U \otimes a_{V,W,X}) a_{U,V \otimes W,X} (a_{U,V,W} \otimes \text{id}_X) = a_{U,V,W \otimes X} a_{U \otimes V,W,X}$$

and the triangle identities

$$(1.1.d) \quad a_{U,\mathbb{1},V}(l_U \otimes \text{id}_V) = \text{id}_U \otimes r_V$$

for any $U, V, W, X \in \mathcal{C}$, where the inclusion $U \in \mathcal{C}$ means that U is an object of $\mathcal{C}$. The morphisms l, r should satisfy $l_{\mathbb{1}} = r_{\mathbb{1}}$ and be natural in the sense that for any morphism $f : U \rightarrow V$ we have $l_V f = (f \otimes \text{id}_{\mathbb{1}})l_U$, $r_V f = (\text{id}_{\mathbb{1}} \otimes f)r_U$. The associativity morphisms (1.1.a) should be natural in a similar sense.

A left duality in $\mathcal{C}$ associates to any object $U \in \mathcal{C}$ an object $U^* \in \mathcal{C}$ and two morphisms

$$(1.1.e) \quad b_U : \mathbb{1} \rightarrow U \otimes U^*, d_U : U^* \otimes U \rightarrow \mathbb{1}$$

such that

$$(1.1.f) \quad (l_U)^{-1}(\text{id}_U \otimes d_U) a_{U,U^*,U} (b_U \otimes \text{id}_U) r_U = \text{id}_U,$$

$$(1.1.g) \quad (r_{U^*})^{-1}(d_U \otimes \text{id}_{U^*})(a_{U^*,U,U^*})^{-1}(\text{id}_{U^*} \otimes b_U) l_{U^*} = \text{id}_{U^*}.$$

We call the morphisms (1.1.a), (1.1.b), (1.1.e) the *structural morphisms* of $\mathcal{C}$.

A monoidal category $\mathcal{C}$ is *strict* if $(U \otimes V) \otimes W = U \otimes (V \otimes W)$, $U = U \otimes \mathbb{1} = \mathbb{1} \otimes U$ for any $U, V, W \in \mathcal{C}$ and the morphisms $\{a_{U,V,W}\}_{U,V,W \in \mathcal{C}}$ and $\{l_U, r_U\}_{U \in \mathcal{C}}$ are the identity morphisms. It is well-known that each monoidal category is equivalent to a strict monoidal category in a canonical way.

1.2. π -categories. A monoidal category $\mathcal{C}$ is said to be K -additive if the Hom' s in $\mathcal{C}$ are K -modules and both the composition and the tensor product of morphisms are bilinear over K . We say that a K -additive category $\mathcal{C}$ splits as a disjoint union of subcategories $\{\mathcal{C}_\alpha\}$ numerated by certain α if:

- each $\mathcal{C}_\alpha$ is a full subcategory of $\mathcal{C}$;
- each object of $\mathcal{C}$ belongs to $\mathcal{C}_\alpha$ for a unique α ;
- if $U \in \mathcal{C}_\alpha$ and $V \in \mathcal{C}_\beta$ with $\alpha \neq \beta$ then $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(U, V) = 0$.

For a group π , a π -category over K is a K -additive monoidal category with left duality $\mathcal{C}$ which splits as a disjoint union of subcategories $\{\mathcal{C}_\alpha\}$ numerated by $\alpha \in \pi$ such that

- (i) if $U \in \mathcal{C}_\alpha$ and $V \in \mathcal{C}_\beta$ then $U \otimes V \in \mathcal{C}_{\alpha\beta}$;
- (ii) if $U \in \mathcal{C}_\alpha$ then $U^* \in \mathcal{C}_{\alpha^{-1}}$.

We shall write $\mathcal{C} = \coprod_{\alpha} \mathcal{C}_\alpha$ and call the subcategories $\{\mathcal{C}_\alpha\}$ of $\mathcal{C}$ the *components* of $\mathcal{C}$. The category $\mathcal{C}_1$ corresponding to the neutral element $1 \in \pi$ is called the *neutral component* of $\mathcal{C}$. Conditions (i) and (ii) show that $\mathcal{C}_1$ is closed under tensor multiplication and taking the dual object. Condition (i) implies the inclusion $\mathbb{1} \in \mathcal{C}_1$. Thus, $\mathcal{C}_1$ is a K -additive monoidal category with left duality.

1.3. Example: π -categories from 3-cocycles. It is well-known that 3-cocycles give rise to associativity morphisms in categories. Here we elaborate this construction in our context. Let $a = \{a_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma} \in K^*\}_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma \in \pi}$ be a 3-cocycle of the group π with values in the multiplicative group K^* consisting of invertible elements of K . Thus

$$(1.3.a) \quad a_{\alpha\beta,\gamma,\delta} a_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma\delta} = a_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma} a_{\alpha,\beta\gamma,\delta} a_{\beta,\gamma,\delta}$$

for any $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \pi$. Let $b = \{b_\alpha \in K^*\}_{\alpha \in \pi}$ be a family of elements of K^* numerated by π . With the pair (a, b) we associate a π -category $\mathcal{C}$ as follows.

For $\alpha \in \pi$, we define $\mathcal{C}_\alpha$ to be a category with one object V_α . For $\alpha, \beta \in \pi$, set

$$\text{Hom}(V_\alpha, V_\beta) = \begin{cases} K, & \text{if } \alpha = \beta, \\ 0, & \text{if } \alpha \neq \beta. \end{cases}$$

The tensor product is given by $V_\alpha \otimes V_\beta = V_{\alpha\beta}$. The composition and tensor product of morphisms is given by multiplication in K . Clearly, $\mathbb{1} = V_1$ is the unit object of $\mathcal{C}$. Now we define the structural morphisms in $\mathcal{C}$. The associativity morphism (1.1.a) for $U = V_\alpha, V = V_\beta, W = V_\gamma$ is by definition $a_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma} \in K^* \subset K = \text{End}(V_{\alpha\beta\gamma})$. The pentagon equation follows from (1.3.a). The morphisms $l_\alpha : V_\alpha \rightarrow V_\alpha \otimes \mathbb{1} = V_\alpha$ and $r_\alpha : V_\alpha \rightarrow \mathbb{1} \otimes V_\alpha = V_\alpha$ are defined by

$$l_\alpha = (a_{\alpha,1,1})^{-1} \in K^* \subset K = \text{End}(V_\alpha), \quad r_\alpha = a_{1,1,\alpha} \in K^* \subset K = \text{End}(V_\alpha).$$

The triangle identity follows from the equality $a_{\alpha,1,\beta} = a_{\alpha,1,1} a_{1,1,\beta}$ obtained from (1.3.a) by the substitution $\beta = 1, \gamma = 1, \delta = \beta$. The dual of V_α is by definition $V_{\alpha^{-1}}$. The duality morphism $\mathbb{1} \rightarrow V_\alpha \otimes V_{\alpha^{-1}} = \mathbb{1}$ is defined to be $b_\alpha \in K^* \subset K =$

End($\mathbb{I}$). The morphism $d_\alpha : V_{\alpha^{-1}} \otimes V_\alpha \rightarrow \mathbb{I}$ is determined uniquely from (1.1.f) and (1.1.g). In fact these equations give two different expressions for d_α :

$$(1.3.b) \quad d_\alpha = (b_\alpha)^{-1} (a_{\alpha, \alpha^{-1}, \alpha} a_{\alpha, 1, 1} a_{1, 1, \alpha})^{-1},$$

$$(1.3.c) \quad d_\alpha = (b_\alpha)^{-1} a_{\alpha^{-1}, \alpha, \alpha^{-1}} a_{\alpha^{-1}, 1, 1} a_{1, 1, \alpha^{-1}}.$$

To show that the right-hand sides are equal we substitute $\beta = \delta = \alpha^{-1}, \gamma = \alpha$ in (1.3.a). This gives

$$\begin{aligned} a_{1, \alpha, \alpha^{-1}} a_{\alpha, \alpha^{-1}, 1} &= a_{\alpha, \alpha^{-1}, \alpha} a_{\alpha, 1, \alpha^{-1}} a_{\alpha^{-1}, \alpha, \alpha^{-1}} \\ &= a_{\alpha, \alpha^{-1}, \alpha} a_{\alpha, 1, 1} a_{1, 1, \alpha^{-1}} a_{\alpha^{-1}, \alpha, \alpha^{-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting $\alpha = \gamma = 1$ in (1.3.a) we obtain that $a_{1, \alpha, 1} = 1$ for all α . Substituting $\gamma = \delta = 1, \beta = \alpha^{-1}$ (resp. $\alpha = 1, \delta = \beta = \gamma^{-1}$) in (1.3.a) we obtain that $a_{\alpha, \alpha^{-1}, 1} = (a_{\alpha^{-1}, 1, 1})^{-1}$ (resp. $a_{1, \alpha, \alpha^{-1}} = (a_{1, 1, \alpha})^{-1}$). Combining these equalities we obtain that the right-hand sides of (1.3.b) and (1.3.c) coincide. It is clear that $\mathcal{C}$ is a π -category.

1.4. Operations on group-categories. We define here a few elementary operations on group-categories. Note first that the group-categories can be pulled back along group homomorphisms. Having a group homomorphism $q : \pi' \rightarrow \pi$ we can transform any π -category $\mathcal{C}$ into a π' -category $\mathcal{C}' = q^*(\mathcal{C})$ defined by $\mathcal{C}'_\alpha = \mathcal{C}_{q(\alpha)}$ for any $\alpha \in \pi'$. Composition, tensor multiplication, and the structural morphisms in $\mathcal{C}$ induce the corresponding operations in $\mathcal{C}'$ in the obvious way.

The group-categories can be pushed forward along group homomorphisms. Having a group homomorphism $q : \pi' \rightarrow \pi$ we can transform any π' -category $\mathcal{C}'$ into a π -category $\mathcal{C} = q_*(\mathcal{C}')$ defined by $\mathcal{C}_\alpha = \coprod_{\beta \in q^{-1}(\alpha)} \mathcal{C}'_\beta$ for any $\alpha \in \pi$. Composition, tensor multiplication, and the structural morphisms in $\mathcal{C}'$ induce the corresponding operations in $\mathcal{C}$ in the obvious way.

For any family of π -categories $\{\mathcal{C}^i\}_{i \in I}$, we define a direct product $\mathcal{C} = \prod_i \mathcal{C}^i$. The category $\mathcal{C}$ is a disjoint union of categories $\{\mathcal{C}_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \pi}$. The objects of $\mathcal{C}_\alpha$ are families $\{U_i \in \mathcal{C}_\alpha^i\}_{i \in I}$. The operations on the objects and the unit object are defined by

$$(1.4.a) \quad \{U_i\}_i \otimes \{U'_i\}_i = \{U_i \otimes U'_i\}_i, \quad (\{U_i\}_i)^* = \{U_i^*\}_i, \quad \mathbb{I} = \{\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{C}^i}\}_i,$$

where i runs over I . A morphism $\{U_i \in \mathcal{C}^i\}_i \rightarrow \{U'_i \in \mathcal{C}^i\}_i$ in $\mathcal{C}$ is a family $\{f_i : U_i \rightarrow U'_i\}_i$ where each f_i is a morphism in $\mathcal{C}^i$. The K -additive structure in $\mathcal{C}$ is defined coordinate-wisely so that

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\{U_i\}_i, \{U'_i\}_i) = \prod_{i \in I} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^i}(U_i, U'_i).$$

The composition of morphisms is coordinate-wise, i.e., $\{f'_i : U'_i \rightarrow U''_i\} \circ \{f_i : U_i \rightarrow U'_i\} = \{f'_i f_i : U_i \rightarrow U''_i\}$. The tensor product for morphisms and the structural morphisms a, l, r, d, b are defined coordinate-wisely. All the axioms of a π -category follow from the fact that they are satisfied coordinate-wisely.

For a finite family of π -categories $\{\mathcal{C}^i\}_{i \in I}$, we define a tensor product $\mathcal{C}' = \otimes_i \mathcal{C}^i$. The category $\mathcal{C}'$ is a disjoint union of categories $\{\mathcal{C}'_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \pi}$. The objects of $\mathcal{C}'_\alpha$ are the same as the objects of the category $\mathcal{C}_\alpha \subset \prod_i \mathcal{C}^i$ above. The operations on the objects and the unit object are defined by (1.4.a). By definition,

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}'}(\{U_i\}_i, \{U'_i\}_i) = \bigotimes_{i \in I} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^i}(U_i, U'_i).$$

This K -module is additively generated by elements of type $\otimes_i (f_i : U_i \rightarrow U'_i)$. The composition of morphisms is defined on the generators by

$$\bigotimes_i (f'_i : U'_i \rightarrow U''_i) \circ \bigotimes_i (f_i : U_i \rightarrow U'_i) = \bigotimes_i (f'_i f_i : U_i \rightarrow U''_i).$$

This extends to arbitrary morphisms by K -linearity and makes $\mathcal{C}'$ a K -additive category. The tensor product of morphisms is defined on the generators by $(\otimes_i f_i) \otimes (\otimes_i g_i) = \otimes_i (f_i \otimes g_i)$ and extends to arbitrary morphisms by K -linearity. Observe that there is a canonical functor $\prod_i \mathcal{C}^i \rightarrow \mathcal{C}'$ which is the identity on the objects and sends a morphism $\{f_i\}_i$ in $\prod_i \mathcal{C}^i$ into the morphism $\otimes_i f_i$ in $\mathcal{C}'$. This functor is by no means K -linear but does preserve the tensor product. Applying this functor to the structural morphisms in $\prod_i \mathcal{C}^i$ defined above we obtain structural morphisms in $\mathcal{C}'$ satisfying all the conditions of Section 1.1. In this way $\mathcal{C}'$ becomes a π -category.

2. Crossed, braided, and ribbon π -categories

2.1. Crossed π -categories. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a K -additive monoidal category with left duality. By an *automorphism* of $\mathcal{C}$ we mean an invertible K -linear (on the morphisms) functor $\varphi : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ which preserves the tensor product, the unit object, the duality and the structural morphisms a, l, r, b, d . Thus,

$$\varphi(\mathbb{I}) = \mathbb{I}, \quad \varphi(U \otimes V) = \varphi(U) \otimes \varphi(V), \quad \varphi(U^*) = (\varphi(U))^*, \quad \varphi(f \otimes g) = \varphi(f) \otimes \varphi(g)$$

for any objects U, V and any morphisms f, g in $\mathcal{C}$ and

$$\varphi(a_{U,V,W}) = a_{\varphi(U), \varphi(V), \varphi(W)}, \quad \varphi(l_U) = l_{\varphi(U)}, \quad \varphi(r_U) = r_{\varphi(U)},$$

$$\varphi(b_U) = b_{\varphi(U)}, \quad \varphi(d_U) = d_{\varphi(U)}$$

for any objects $U, V, W \in \mathcal{C}$. The group of automorphisms of $\mathcal{C}$ is denoted by $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{C})$.

A *crossed π -category over K* is a π -category $\mathcal{C}$ endowed with a group homomorphism $\varphi : \pi \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathcal{C})$ such that for all $\alpha, \beta \in \pi$ the functor $\varphi_\alpha = \varphi(\alpha) : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ maps $\mathcal{C}_\beta$ into $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha\beta\alpha^{-1}}$. For objects $U \in \mathcal{C}_\alpha, V \in \mathcal{C}_\beta$, set

$${}^U V = \varphi_\alpha(V) \in \mathcal{C}_{\alpha\beta\alpha^{-1}}.$$

In particular, ${}^U U = \varphi_\alpha(U) \in \mathcal{C}_\alpha$ for any $U \in \mathcal{C}_\alpha$. Note the identities

$$(2.1.a) \quad {}^U(V \otimes W) = {}^U V \otimes {}^U W$$

$$(2.1.b) \quad ({}^{U \otimes V})W = {}^U({}^V W),$$

$$(2.1.c) \quad {}^U(V^*) = ({}^U V)^*,$$

$$(2.1.d) \quad \mathbb{1}_V = {}^U({}^{U^*} V) = {}^{U^*}({}^U V) = V, \quad {}^U \mathbb{1} = \mathbb{1},$$

for any $U, V, W \in \mathcal{C}$. Similarly, for an object $U \in \mathcal{C}_\alpha$ and a morphism $f : V \rightarrow V'$ in $\mathcal{C}$ set

$${}^U f = \varphi_\alpha(f) : {}^U V \rightarrow {}^U(V').$$

Note the identities

$$(2.1.e) \quad {}^U(f' \circ f) = {}^U(f') \circ {}^U f,$$

$$(2.1.f) \quad {}^U(f \otimes g) = {}^U f \otimes {}^U g,$$

$$(2.1.g) \quad {}^U(id_V) = id_{{}^U V}, \quad {}^U(b_V) = b_{{}^U V}, \quad {}^U(d_V) = d_{{}^U V},$$

$${}^U(l_V) = l_{{}^U V}, \quad {}^U(r_V) = r_{{}^U V}, \quad {}^U(a_{V,W,X}) = a_{{}^U V, {}^U W, {}^U X},$$

$$(2.1.h) \quad ({}^{U \otimes V})f = {}^U({}^V f), \quad \mathbb{1}f = {}^U({}^{U^*} f) = {}^{U^*}({}^U f) = f.$$

Examples of crossed π -categories will be given in Section 2.6 and in further sections.

2.2. Braiding in π -categories. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a crossed π -category. A *braiding* in $\mathcal{C}$ is a system of invertible morphisms

$$(2.2.a) \quad \{c_{U,V} : U \otimes V \rightarrow {}^U V \otimes U\}_{U,V \in \mathcal{C}}$$

satisfying the following three conditions:

(2.2.1) for any morphisms $f : U \rightarrow U', g : V \rightarrow V'$ such that U, U' lie in the same component of $\mathcal{C}$, we have

$$(2.2.b) \quad c_{U',V'}(f \otimes g) = ({}^U g \otimes f) c_{U,V};$$

(2.2.2) for any objects $U, V, W \in \mathcal{C}$ we have

$$(2.2.c) \quad c_{U \otimes V, W} \\ = a_{({}^U V)W, U, V} (c_{U, {}^U W} \otimes \text{id}_V) (a_{U, {}^U W, V})^{-1} (\text{id}_U \otimes c_{V, W}) a_{U, V, W},$$

$$(2.2.d) \quad c_{U, V \otimes W} \\ = (a_{({}^U V), ({}^U W), U})^{-1} (\text{id}_{({}^U V)} \otimes c_{U, W}) a_{({}^U V), U, W} (c_{U, V} \otimes \text{id}_W) (a_{U, V, W})^{-1};$$

(2.2.3) the action of π on $\mathcal{C}$ preserves the braiding, i.e., for any $\alpha \in \pi$ and any $V, W \in \mathcal{C}$ we have

$$\varphi_\alpha(c_{V, W}) = c_{\varphi_\alpha(V), \varphi_\alpha(W)}.$$

Note that if in (2.2.1) the objects U, U' do not lie in the same component of $\mathcal{C}$ then both sides of (2.2.b) are equal to 0 and have the same source $U \otimes V$ but may have different targets. Formulas (2.1.a) and (2.1.b) imply that the targets of the morphisms on the left and right hand sides of (2.2.c) and (2.2.d) are the same so that these equalities make sense.

A crossed π -category endowed with a braiding is said to be *braided*. For $\pi = 1$, we obtain the standard definition of a braided monoidal category.

A braiding in a crossed π -category $\mathcal{C}$ satisfies a version of the Yang-Baxter identity. Assume for simplicity that $\mathcal{C}$ is strict. Then for any braiding (2.2.a) in $\mathcal{C}$ and any objects $U, V, W \in \mathcal{C}$,

$$(2.2.e) \quad (c_{({}^U V), ({}^U W)} \otimes \text{id}_U) (\text{id}_{({}^U V)} \otimes c_{U, W}) (c_{U, V} \otimes \text{id}_W) \\ = (\text{id}_{({}^U V W)} \otimes c_{U, V}) (c_{U, {}^U W} \otimes \text{id}_V) (\text{id}_U \otimes c_{V, W}).$$

Indeed, by (2.2.d) and (2.2.3),

$$(c_{({}^U V), ({}^U W)} \otimes \text{id}_U) (\text{id}_{({}^U V)} \otimes c_{U, W}) (c_{U, V} \otimes \text{id}_W) = ({}^U (c_{V, W}) \otimes \text{id}_U) c_{U, V \otimes W}.$$

Applying (2.2.b) to $f = \text{id}_U, g = c_{V, W}$ and using (2.2.d), we obtain

$$({}^U (c_{V, W}) \otimes \text{id}_U) c_{U, V \otimes W} = c_{U, {}^U W \otimes V} (\text{id}_U \otimes c_{V, W}) \\ = (\text{id}_{({}^U V W)} \otimes c_{U, V}) (c_{U, {}^U W} \otimes \text{id}_V) (\text{id}_U \otimes c_{V, W}).$$

If $\mathcal{C}$ is strict, then applying (2.2.c), (2.2.d) to $U = V = \mathbb{1}$ and $V = W = \mathbb{1}$ and using the invertibility of $c_{U, \mathbb{1}}, c_{\mathbb{1}, U}$, we obtain

$$(2.2.f) \quad c_{U, \mathbb{1}} = c_{\mathbb{1}, U} = \text{id}_U$$

for any object $U \in \mathcal{C}$.

2.3. Twist in π -categories. A *twist* in a braided (crossed) π -category $\mathcal{C}$ is a family of invertible morphisms $\{\theta_U : U \rightarrow {}^U U\}_{U \in \mathcal{C}}$ satisfying the following conditions:

(2.3.1) for any morphism $f : U \rightarrow V$ with U, V lying in the same component of $\mathcal{C}$ we have $\theta_V f = ({}^U f) \theta_U$;

(2.3.2) for any $U \in \mathcal{C}$ we have $(\theta_U \otimes \text{id}_{U^*})b_U = (\text{id}_{({}^U U)} \otimes \theta_{({}^U U)^*})b_{({}^U U)}$;

(2.3.3) for any objects $U, V \in \mathcal{C}$, we have

$$(2.3.a) \quad \theta_{U \otimes V} = c_{({}^U V), {}^U U} c_{({}^U U), ({}^V V)} (\theta_U \otimes \theta_V);$$

(2.3.4) the action of π on $\mathcal{C}$ preserves the twist, i.e., for any $\alpha \in \pi$ and any $V \in \mathcal{C}$, we have $\varphi_\alpha(\theta_V) = \theta_{\varphi_\alpha(V)}$.

As an exercise, the reader may check that the morphisms on both sides of the equations (2.3.1) - (2.3.4) have the same source and target. If $\mathcal{C}$ is strict, then it follows from (2.2.f) and (2.3.3) that $\theta_{\mathbb{1}} = \text{id}_{\mathbb{1}}$.

A braided crossed π -category endowed with a twist is called a *ribbon crossed π -category*. For $\pi = 1$, we obtain the standard definition of a ribbon monoidal category.

The neutral component $\mathcal{C}_1$ of a ribbon crossed π -category $\mathcal{C}$ is a ribbon category in the usual sense of the word. Note also that every ribbon crossed π -category is equivalent to a strict ribbon crossed π -category in a canonical way.

2.4. Dual morphisms. Condition (2.3.2) is better understood when it is rewritten in terms of dual morphisms. For a morphism $f : U \rightarrow V$ in a monoidal category with left duality, the dual (or transpose) morphism $f^* : V^* \rightarrow U^*$ is defined by

$$f^* = (r_{U^*})^{-1} (d_V \otimes \text{id}_{U^*}) (a_{V^*, V, U^*})^{-1} (\text{id}_{V^*} \otimes (f \otimes \text{id}_{U^*})) (\text{id}_{V^*} \otimes b_U) l_{V^*}.$$

It follows from (1.1.g) that $(\text{id}_U)^* = \text{id}_{U^*}$. It is well-known that $(fg)^* = g^* f^*$ for composable morphisms f, g . Condition (2.3.2) can be shown to be equivalent to

$$(2.4.a) \quad (\theta_U)^* = \theta_{U({}^* U)}.$$

2.5. Operations on ribbon group-categories. The operations on group-categories defined in Sections 1.4 can be adopted to the setting of crossed (resp. braided, ribbon) group-categories. Having a group homomorphism $q : \pi' \rightarrow \pi$ we can pull back any crossed π -category $\mathcal{C}$ into a crossed π' -category $\mathcal{C}' = q^*(\mathcal{C})$ as in Section 1.4 with action of π' defined by $\varphi_\alpha = \varphi_{q(\alpha)} : \mathcal{C}'_\beta \rightarrow \mathcal{C}'_{\alpha\beta\alpha^{-1}}$ where $\alpha, \beta \in \pi'$. A braiding (resp. twist) in $\mathcal{C}$ induces a braiding (resp. twist) in $\mathcal{C}'$ in the obvious way. In particular, if $\pi' \subset \pi$ is a subgroup of π , then any crossed (resp. braided, ribbon) π -category $\mathcal{C} = \coprod_{\alpha \in \pi} \mathcal{C}_\alpha$ induces a crossed (resp. braided, ribbon) π' -category $\coprod_{\alpha \in \pi'} \mathcal{C}_\alpha$.

A crossed (resp. braided, ribbon) group-category can be pushed forward along group epimorphisms whose kernel acts trivially on the category. Consider a group epimorphism $q : \pi' \rightarrow \pi$ whose kernel acts as the identity on a crossed (resp. braided, ribbon) π' -category $\mathcal{C}'$. Then the action of π' on $\mathcal{C}'$ induces an action of π on the push-forward π -category $q_*(\mathcal{C}')$ defined in Section 1.4. A braiding (resp. twist) in $\mathcal{C}'$ induces a braiding (resp. twist) in $q_*(\mathcal{C}')$ in the obvious way.

Given a family of crossed π -categories $\{\mathcal{C}^i\}_{i \in I}$, the direct product $\prod_i \mathcal{C}^i$ is a crossed π -category. The action of $\alpha \in \pi$ on objects and morphisms is defined by

$$(2.5.a) \quad \varphi_\alpha(\{U_i\}_{i \in I}) = \{\varphi_\alpha(U_i)\}_{i \in I}, \quad \varphi_\alpha(\{f_i\}_{i \in I}) = \{\varphi_\alpha(f_i)\}_{i \in I}.$$

If $\{\mathcal{C}^i\}_{i \in I}$ are braided (resp. ribbon) π -categories then $\prod_i \mathcal{C}^i$ is a braided (resp. ribbon) π -category: the braiding and twist are defined coordinate-wisely and their coordinates are the braiding and twist in $\{\mathcal{C}^i\}_{i \in I}$, respectively.

For a finite family of crossed π -categories $\{\mathcal{C}^i\}_{i \in I}$, the tensor product $\otimes_i \mathcal{C}^i$ is a crossed π -category. The action of $\alpha \in \pi$ on objects is defined as in (2.5.a). The action of $\alpha \in \pi$ on morphisms is defined on the generators by $\varphi_\alpha(\bigotimes_i f_i) = \bigotimes_i \varphi_\alpha(f_i)$ and extends to arbitrary morphisms by K -linearity. If $\{\mathcal{C}^i\}_{i \in I}$ are braided (resp. ribbon) π -categories then $\otimes_i \mathcal{C}^i$ is a braided (resp. ribbon) π -category: the braiding and twist are obtained from the corresponding morphisms in $\prod_i \mathcal{C}^i$ via the canonical functor $\prod_i \mathcal{C}^i \rightarrow \otimes_i \mathcal{C}^i$.

We define a transformation of crossed π -categories called *reflection*. Let $\mathcal{C} = \prod_{\alpha \in \pi} \mathcal{C}_\alpha$ be a crossed π -category with tensor product $\otimes$, duality $*$, structural morphisms a, l, r, b, d and π -action $\varphi : \pi \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathcal{C})$. We define a crossed π -category $\overline{\mathcal{C}} = \prod_{\alpha \in \pi} \overline{\mathcal{C}}_\alpha$ with tensor product $\overline{\otimes}$, duality $\star$, structural morphisms $\overline{a}, \overline{l}, \overline{r}, \overline{b}, \overline{d}$ and π -action $\overline{\varphi} : \pi \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\overline{\mathcal{C}})$ as follows:

- $\overline{\mathcal{C}} = \mathcal{C}$ as categories (but not monoidal categories);
- $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_\alpha = \mathcal{C}_{\alpha^{-1}}$ as categories for all $\alpha \in \pi$;
- $\mathbb{1}_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}} = \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{C}}$;
- for objects $U \in \overline{\mathcal{C}}_\alpha, V \in \overline{\mathcal{C}}_\beta$, set $U \overline{\otimes} V = \varphi_{\beta^{-1}}(U) \otimes V \in \overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\alpha\beta}$;
- for morphisms $f : U \rightarrow U', g : V \rightarrow V'$ in $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ with $U \in \overline{\mathcal{C}}_\alpha, U' \in \overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\alpha'}, V \in \overline{\mathcal{C}}_\beta, V' \in \overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\beta'}$, set

$$f \overline{\otimes} g = \begin{cases} \varphi_{\beta^{-1}}(f) \otimes g \in \text{Hom}_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}}(U \overline{\otimes} V, U' \overline{\otimes} V'), & \text{if } \beta = \beta', \\ 0 \in \text{Hom}_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}}(U \overline{\otimes} V, U' \overline{\otimes} V'), & \text{if } \beta \neq \beta'; \end{cases}$$

- for $U \in \overline{\mathcal{C}}_\alpha$, set $U^\star = \varphi_\alpha(U^*) \in \overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\alpha^{-1}}$ and

$$\overline{l}_U = l_U, \quad \overline{r}_U = r_U, \quad \overline{d}_U = d_U, \quad \overline{b}_U = \varphi_\alpha(b_U);$$

- for objects $U \in \overline{\mathcal{C}}_\alpha, V \in \overline{\mathcal{C}}_\beta, W \in \overline{\mathcal{C}}_\gamma$, set

$$\overline{a}_{U,V,W} = a_{\varphi_{\gamma^{-1}\beta^{-1}}(U), \varphi_{\gamma^{-1}}(V), W};$$

- for $\alpha \in \pi$ set $\overline{\varphi}_\alpha = \varphi_\alpha$.

A routine check shows that $\bar{\mathcal{C}}$ is a crossed π -category. Moreover, if c, θ are a braiding and a twist in $\mathcal{C}$ then the formulas

$$\bar{c}_{U,V} = (c_{V,U})^{-1}, \quad \bar{\theta}_U = (\theta_{\varphi_\alpha(U)})^{-1}$$

(where $U \in \bar{\mathcal{C}}_\alpha$) define a braiding and a twist in $\bar{\mathcal{C}}$. We call $\bar{\mathcal{C}}$ the *mirror* of $\mathcal{C}$. Its neutral component $\bar{\mathcal{C}}_1$ is the mirror of $\mathcal{C}_1$ in the sense of [Tu2, Section I.1.4]. It is easy to see that $\bar{\bar{\mathcal{C}}} = \mathcal{C}$.

2.6. Example. Consider the π -category $\mathcal{C}$ defined in Section 1.3 and assume that both a and b are invariant under conjugation, i.e.,

$$(2.6.a) \quad a_{\delta\alpha\delta^{-1}, \delta\beta\delta^{-1}, \delta\gamma\delta^{-1}} = a_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma},$$

and $b_{\delta\alpha\delta^{-1}} = b_\alpha$ for any $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \pi$. Then $\mathcal{C}$ is a crossed π -category as follows. For $\alpha, \beta \in \pi$, set $\varphi_\alpha(V_\beta) = V_{\alpha\beta\alpha^{-1}}$. This extends to morphisms in $\mathcal{C}$ in the obvious way since all non-zero morphisms in $\mathcal{C}$ are proportional to the identity endomorphisms of objects. The resulting functor $\varphi_\alpha : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ preserves all the structural morphisms in $\mathcal{C}$ since a and b are conjugation invariant. To construct specific examples we can take $b = 1$. Finding conjugation invariant 3-cocycles is a delicate task. Obvious examples: the trivial cocycle $a = 1$; any 3-cocycle in the case of abelian π .

It is clear that a braiding in $\mathcal{C}$ is given by a family $\{c_{\alpha, \beta} \in K^*\}_{\alpha, \beta \in \pi}$ where $c_{\alpha, \beta}$ determines the braiding morphism

$$(2.6.b) \quad V_{\alpha\beta} = V_\alpha \otimes V_\beta \rightarrow \varphi_\alpha(V_\beta) \otimes V_\alpha = V_{\alpha\beta\alpha^{-1}} \otimes V_\alpha = V_{\alpha\beta}.$$

The conditions on the braiding can be reformulated as the following identities:

$$(2.6.c) \quad c_{\delta\alpha\delta^{-1}, \delta\beta\delta^{-1}} = c_{\alpha, \beta},$$

$$(2.6.d) \quad c_{\alpha\beta, \gamma} = c_{\beta, \gamma} c_{\alpha, \beta\gamma\beta^{-1}} a_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma} (a_{\alpha, \beta\gamma\beta^{-1}, \beta})^{-1} a_{\alpha\beta\gamma\beta^{-1}\alpha^{-1}, \alpha, \beta},$$

$$(2.6.e) \quad c_{\alpha, \beta\gamma} = c_{\alpha, \beta} c_{\alpha, \gamma} (a_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma})^{-1} a_{\alpha\beta\alpha^{-1}, \alpha, \gamma} (a_{\beta, \gamma, \alpha})^{-1},$$

for all $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \pi$. The equality (2.6.d) can be rewritten in a more convenient form using (2.6.c). Namely, observe that $c_{\alpha, \beta\gamma\beta^{-1}} = c_{\delta, \gamma}$ with $\delta = \beta^{-1}\alpha\beta$. Now, $\alpha\beta = \beta\delta$ which gives the following equivalent form of (2.6.d):

$$(2.6.f) \quad c_{\beta\delta, \gamma} = c_{\beta, \gamma} c_{\delta, \gamma} a_{\beta\delta\beta^{-1}, \beta, \gamma} (a_{\delta, \gamma, \beta})^{-1} a_{\delta\gamma\delta^{-1}, \delta, \beta}.$$

A direct computation shows that (2.6.c) follows from (1.3.a), (2.6.a,e,f).

The definition of a twist in $\mathcal{C}$ considerably simplifies since ${}^U U = U$ for any $U \in \mathcal{C}$. Given a braiding $\{c_{\alpha,\beta} \in K^*\}_{\alpha,\beta \in \pi}$ in $\mathcal{C}$, a twist in $\mathcal{C}$ is determined by a family $\{\theta_\alpha \in K^*\}_{\alpha \in \pi}$ (where θ_α is the twist $V_\alpha \rightarrow V_\alpha = \varphi_\alpha(V_\alpha)$) such that

$$(2.6.g) \quad \theta_{\alpha\beta} = c_{\alpha,\beta} c_{\beta,\alpha} \theta_\alpha \theta_\beta,$$

$$(2.6.h) \quad \theta_{\alpha^{-1}} = \theta_\alpha$$

for all $\alpha, \beta \in \pi$. The equality (2.6.g) implies that $\theta_{\alpha\beta} = \theta_{\beta\alpha}$ so that θ is conjugation invariant.

To sum up, a conjugation invariant tuple (a, b, c, θ) satisfying (1.3.a), (2.6.e-h) gives rise to a ribbon crossed π -category $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}(a, b, c, \theta)$. Such tuples (a, b, c, θ) form a group under pointwise multiplication. This group operation corresponds to tensor multiplication of the π -categories $\mathcal{C}(a, b, c, \theta)$. The reflection of ribbon π -categories defined in Section 2.5 corresponds to the following involution in the set of tuples (a, b, c, θ) :

$$(2.6.i) \quad \bar{a}_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma} = a_{\beta^{-1}\alpha^{-1}\beta,\beta^{-1},\gamma^{-1}}, \quad \bar{c}_{\alpha,\beta} = (c_{\beta^{-1},\alpha^{-1}})^{-1},$$

$$\bar{b}_\alpha = b_{\alpha^{-1}}, \quad \bar{\theta}_\alpha = (\theta_\alpha)^{-1}$$

where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \pi$. We shall further discuss equations (2.6.e-h) in Appendix 1.

If $G \subset \pi$ is a subgroup of the center of π then the action of G on $\mathcal{C}(a, b, c, \theta)$ is trivial so that we can push $\mathcal{C}(a, b, c, \theta)$ forward along the projection $\pi \rightarrow \pi/G$. This gives a ribbon crossed (π/G) -category.

2.7. Remarks. 1. The objects of a crossed π -category $(\mathcal{C}, \varphi : \pi \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathcal{C}))$ form a π -automorphic set in terminology of Brieskorn [Br] or a π -rack in terminology of Fenn and Rourke [FR2]. Recall that a π -rack is a set X equipped with a left action of π and a map $\partial : X \rightarrow \pi$ such that $\partial(\alpha a) = \alpha \partial(a) \alpha^{-1}$ for all $\alpha \in \pi, a \in X$. The underlying π -rack of $\mathcal{C}$ comprises the set of objects of $\mathcal{C}$, the action of π on this set induced by φ and the map assigning to any object of $\mathcal{C}_\alpha$ the element $\alpha \in \pi$.

2. It is clear from definitions that a braiding in a crossed π -category in general is not a braiding in the underlying monoidal category in the usual sense of the word. There is one exceptional case. Namely, assume that π is abelian and $\mathcal{C}$ is a π -category as in Section 1.2. The trivial homomorphism $\varphi = 1 : \pi \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathcal{C})$ makes $\mathcal{C}$ a crossed π -category. It is clear that a braiding (resp. twist) in this crossed π -category is a braiding (resp. twist) in $\mathcal{C}$ in the usual sense.

3. Colored π -tangles and their invariants

3.1. Colored π -links. It is well-known that a framed oriented link in S^3 whose components are colored with objects of a ribbon category gives rise to an invariant lying in the ground ring of this category, see [Tu2]. To adapt this theory to our

present setting we introduce π -links and their colorings. For the sake of future references, we consider links in an arbitrary connected oriented 3-manifold M .

Let $\ell = \ell_1 \cup \dots \cup \ell_n \subset M$ be an oriented n -component link in M with $n \geq 0$. Denote the open 3-manifold $M \setminus \ell$ by C_ℓ where C stands for complement. We say that ℓ is *framed* if each its component ℓ_i is provided with a *longitude* $\tilde{\ell}_i \subset C_\ell$ which goes very closely along ℓ_i (it may wind around ℓ_i several times). Set $\tilde{\ell} = \cup_{i=1}^n \tilde{\ell}_i$. For a path $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow C_\ell$ connecting a point $z = \gamma(0)$ to a point $\gamma(1) \in \tilde{\ell}_i$, denote by $\mu_\gamma \in \pi_1(C_\ell, z)$ the (homotopy) meridian of ℓ_i represented by the loop $\gamma m_i \gamma^{-1}$, where m_i is a small loop encircling ℓ_i with linking number $+1$. We similarly define a (homotopy) longitude $\lambda_\gamma = [\gamma \tilde{\ell}_i \gamma^{-1}] \in \pi_1(C_\ell, z)$ where the square brackets denote the homotopy class of a loop and the circle $\tilde{\ell}_i$ is viewed as a loop beginning and ending in $\gamma(1)$. Both μ_γ and λ_γ are invariant under homotopies of γ fixing $\gamma(0) = z$ and keeping $\gamma(1)$ on $\tilde{\ell}$. Clearly, μ_γ and λ_γ commute in $\pi_1(C_\ell, z)$. If β is a loop in (C_ℓ, z) (i.e., a path $[0, 1] \rightarrow C_\ell$ beginning and ending in z) then

$$\mu_{\beta\gamma} = [\beta] \mu_\gamma [\beta]^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_{\beta\gamma} = [\beta] \lambda_\gamma [\beta]^{-1}.$$

By a π -link in M we shall mean a triple (a framed oriented link $\ell \subset M$, a base point $z \in C_\ell$, a group homomorphism $g : \pi_1(C_\ell, z) \rightarrow \pi$).

Fix a crossed π -category $(\mathcal{C}, \varphi : \pi \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathcal{C}))$ which we call the *category of colors*. A *coloring* of a π -link (ℓ, z, g) is a function u which assigns to every path $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow C_\ell$ with $\gamma(0) = z, \gamma(1) \in \tilde{\ell}$ an object $u_\gamma \in \mathcal{C}_{g(\mu_\gamma)}$ such that

- (i) u_γ is preserved under homotopies of γ fixing $\gamma(0) = z$ and keeping $\gamma(1)$ on $\tilde{\ell}$;
- (ii) if β is a loop in (C_ℓ, z) , then $u_{\beta\gamma} = \varphi_{g([\beta])}(u_\gamma)$.

Pushing the endpoint $\gamma(1) \in \tilde{\ell}$ of a path γ as above along the corresponding component of $\tilde{\ell}$ we can deform γ into a path homotopic to $\lambda_\gamma \gamma$. Conditions (i), (ii) imply that

$$(3.1.a) \quad \varphi_{g(\lambda_\gamma)}(u_\gamma) = u_\gamma.$$

We shall see below (Lemma 3.2.1) that a coloring of an n -component π -link $(\ell = \ell_1 \cup \dots \cup \ell_n, z, g)$ is uniquely determined by the objects associated to any given n paths $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n$ connecting z to $\tilde{\ell}_1, \dots, \tilde{\ell}_n$, respectively. In the role of these objects we can take any objects of $\mathcal{C}_{g(\mu_{\gamma_1})}, \dots, \mathcal{C}_{g(\mu_{\gamma_n})}$ satisfying (3.1.a).

A π -link endowed with a coloring is said to be $\mathcal{C}$ -colored or briefly *colored*. The notion of an ambient isotopy in M applies to π -links and colored π -links in M in the obvious way. This allows us to consider the (ambient) isotopy classes of such links.

The structure of a colored π -link (ℓ, z, g, u) in M can be transferred along paths in $M \setminus \ell$ relating various base points. More precisely, let $\rho : [0, 1] \rightarrow M \setminus \ell$ be a path with $\rho(0) = z$. We define a new colored π -link $(\ell' = \ell, z', g' : \pi_1(C_\ell, z') \rightarrow \pi, u')$ by $z' = \rho(1)$, $g'([\alpha]) = g([\rho\alpha\rho^{-1}])$ for any loop α in $(M \setminus \ell, z')$, $u'_\gamma = u_{\rho\gamma}$ for any path γ in C_ℓ leading from z' to $\tilde{\ell}$. It is clear that the transfers along homotopic paths (with the same endpoints) give the same results. The transfer preserves the

ambient isotopy class of the colored π -link (ℓ, z, g, u) : it is ambiently isotopic to (ℓ, z', g', u') via an isotopy of the identity map $\text{id}_M : M \rightarrow M$ which pushes z along ρ and is constant in a neighborhood of ℓ .

Although we shall not need it, note that a $\mathcal{C}$ -colored π -link can be defined in terms of π -racks (cf. Section 2.7) as a framed oriented link endowed with a homomorphism of its fundamental rack (as defined in [FR2]) into the underlying π -rack of $\mathcal{C}$. The notion of colored π -links can be reformulated also in terms of principal π -bundles over link complements.

3.2. Colored π -tangles. By a tangle with $k \geq 0$ inputs and $l \geq 0$ outputs we mean a tangle $T \subset \mathbf{R}^2 \times [0, 1]$ with bottom endpoints (inputs) $(r, 0, 0), r = 1, \dots, k$ and top endpoints (outputs) $(s, 0, 1), s = 1, \dots, l$. The tangle T consists of a finite number of mutually disjoint oriented embedded circles and arcs lying in the open strip $\mathbf{R}^2 \times]0, 1[$ except the endpoints of the arcs. At the endpoints, T should be orthogonal to the planes $\mathbf{R}^2 \times 0, \mathbf{R}^2 \times 1$. We denote the open 3-manifold $(\mathbf{R}^2 \times [0, 1]) \setminus T$ by C_T .

We say that T is *framed* if each its component t is provided with a longitude $\tilde{t} \subset C_T$ which goes very closely along t . Clearly, $\tilde{t}$ is an arc (resp. a circle) if t is an arc (resp. a circle). We always assume that the longitudes of the arc components of T have the endpoints $(r, -\delta, 0), r = 1, \dots, k$ and $(s, -\delta, 1), s = 1, \dots, l$ with small $\delta > 0$. Set $\tilde{T} = \cup_t \tilde{t}$ where t runs over all the components of T .

As the base point of C_T we always choose a point z with a big negative second coordinate $z_2 \ll 0$ so that $T \subset \mathbf{R} \times [z_2 + 1, \infty] \times [0, 1]$. The set of such z is contractible. This allows us to suppress the base point from the notation for the fundamental group of C_T .

If T is oriented, then for each path $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow C_T$ connecting the base point to $\tilde{T}$, we introduce a meridian $\mu_\gamma \in \pi_1(C_T)$ as in Section 3.1. If $\gamma(1)$ lies on a circle component of $\tilde{T}$ then we also have a longitude $\lambda_\gamma \in \pi_1(C_T)$. Both μ_γ and λ_γ are invariant under homotopies of γ fixing $\gamma(0)$ and keeping $\gamma(1)$ on $\tilde{T}$. Clearly, μ_γ and λ_γ commute in $\pi_1(C_T)$.

A π -tangle is a pair (a framed oriented tangle T , a group homomorphism $g : \pi_1(C_T) \rightarrow \pi$). The definition of a coloring of a π -link extends to π -tangles word for word. A π -tangle endowed with a coloring is said to be *colored*.

As usual, we shall consider π -tangles and colored π -tangles up to ambient isotopy in $\mathbf{R}^2 \times [0, 1]$ constant on the endpoints. The π -tangles (resp. colored π -tangles) with 0 inputs and 0 outputs are nothing but π -links (resp. colored π -links) in $\mathbf{R}^2 \times]0, 1[$.

Let $T = (T, g, u)$ be a colored π -tangle. With the r -th input $(r, 0, 0)$ of T we associate a triple $(\varepsilon_r = \pm, \alpha_r \in \pi, U_r \in \mathcal{C}_{\alpha_r})$ as follows. Set $\varepsilon_r = +$ if the arc component of T incident to the r -th input is directed out of $\mathbf{R}^2 \times [0, 1]$ and set $\varepsilon_r = -$ otherwise. Let γ_r be the path in C_T leading from the base point $z = (z_1, z_2, z_3)$ of C_T to the r -th input of $\tilde{T}$ and defined as composition of the linear path from z to $(r, z_2, 0)$ with the linear path from $(r, z_2, 0)$ to $(r, -\delta, 0)$. We call γ_r the *canonical path* associated to the r -th input of T . The meridian $\mu_r =$

$\mu_{\gamma_r} \in \pi_1(C_T)$ is called the *canonical meridian* associated to the r -th input of T . Set $\alpha_r = g(\mu_r) \in \pi$ and $U_r = u_{\gamma_r} \in \mathcal{C}_{\alpha_r}$. The sequence $(\varepsilon_1, \alpha_1, U_1), \dots, (\varepsilon_k, \alpha_k, U_k)$ (where k is the number of inputs of T) is called the *source* of T . Similarly, with the s -th output $(s, 0, 1)$ of T we associate a triple $(\varepsilon^s = \pm, \alpha^s \in \pi, U^s \in \mathcal{C}_{\alpha^s})$ as follows. Set $\varepsilon^s = +$ if the arc component of T incident to the s -th output is directed inside $\mathbf{R}^2 \times [0, 1]$ and set $\varepsilon^s = -$ otherwise. Let γ^s be the canonical path leading from the base point $z = (z_1, z_2, z_3)$ of C_T to the s -th output $(s, -\delta, 1)$ of $\tilde{T}$: It is defined as the composition of the linear path leading from z to $(s, z_2, 1)$ with the linear path leading from $(s, z_2, 1)$ to $(s, -\delta, 1)$. Set $\alpha^s = g(\mu_{\gamma^s}) \in \pi$ and $U^s = u_{\gamma^s} \in \mathcal{C}_{\alpha^s}$. The sequence $(\varepsilon^1, \alpha^1, U^1), \dots, (\varepsilon^l, \alpha^l, U^l)$ (where l is the number of outputs of T) is called the *target* of T . Note the obvious equality

$$\prod_{r=1}^k (\alpha_r)^{\varepsilon_r} = \prod_{s=1}^l (\alpha^s)^{\varepsilon^s}.$$

A colored π -tangle $(T, g : \pi_1(C_T) \rightarrow \pi, u)$ is said to be a *colored π -braid* if T is a framed oriented braid. Let k be the number of strings of T . Observe that the orientation of T , the homomorphism g and the coloring u are uniquely determined by the source $(\varepsilon_1, \alpha_1, U_1), \dots, (\varepsilon_k, \alpha_k, U_k)$ of T . Indeed, the signs $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_k$ determine the orientation of the strings. The group $\pi_1(C_T)$ is free on k generators represented by the canonical meridians $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k$ corresponding to the inputs of T . Hence, the homomorphism g is determined by $\alpha_1 = g(\mu_1), \dots, \alpha_k = g(\mu_k)$. It follows from definitions that the coloring u is determined by $U_1, \dots, U_k$. The next lemma implies that, conversely, given a framed braid T on $k \geq 0$ strings and a finite sequence $(\varepsilon_1, \alpha_1, U_1), \dots, (\varepsilon_k, \alpha_k, U_k)$ with $\varepsilon_r = \pm, \alpha_r \in \pi, U_r \in \mathcal{C}_{\alpha_r}$ for $r = 1, \dots, k$, we can extend T uniquely to a colored π -braid with source $(\varepsilon_1, \alpha_1, U_1), \dots, (\varepsilon_k, \alpha_k, U_k)$.

3.2.1. Lemma. *Let $(T = t_1 \cup \dots \cup t_n, g)$ be an n -component π -tangle. Let $\rho_i : [0, 1] \rightarrow C_T$ be a path connecting the base point of C_T to a point of t_i where $i = 1, \dots, n$. Let $U_i \in \mathcal{C}_{g(\mu_{\rho_i})}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Assume that for each circle component t_i of T we have $\varphi_{g(\lambda_{\rho_i})}(U_i) = U_i$. Then there is a unique coloring u of T such that $u_{\rho_i} = U_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$.*

Proof. Any path $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow C_T$ connecting the base point z to $\tilde{t}_i$ can be deformed fixing $\gamma(0) = z$ and keeping $\gamma(1) \in \tilde{t}_i$ so that $\gamma(1) = \rho_i(1)$. Then

$$u_\gamma = u_{\gamma \rho_i^{-1} \rho_i} = \varphi_{g([\gamma \rho_i^{-1}])(u_{\rho_i})} = \varphi_{g([\gamma \rho_i^{-1}])(U_i)}.$$

This proves the uniqueness. Conversely, our assumptions imply that the object

$$(3.2.a) \quad u_\gamma = \varphi_{g([\gamma \rho_i^{-1}])(U_i)} \in \mathcal{C}_{g(\mu_\gamma)}$$

does not depend on the choice of the deformation of γ used to ensure $\gamma(1) = \rho_i(1)$. It is easy to check that formula (3.2.a) defines a coloring u of ℓ such that $u_{\rho_i} = U_i$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$.

3.3. Diagrams for colored π -tangles. It is standard in knot theory to present oriented framed tangles by plane pictures called tangle diagrams. A tangle diagram lies in a horizontal strip on the page of the picture identified with $\mathbf{R} \times [0, 1] = \mathbf{R} \times 0 \times [0, 1] \subset \mathbf{R}^2 \times [0, 1]$. We agree that the first axis is a horizontal line on the page of the picture directed to the right, the second axis is orthogonal to the plane of the picture and is directed from the reader towards this plane, the third axis is a vertical line on the plane of the picture directed from the bottom to the top. A tangle diagram consists of oriented immersed arcs and circles lying in general position with indication of over/undercrossings in all double points. The diagram has the same inputs and outputs as the corresponding tangle. The framing is given by shifting the tangle along the vector $(0, -\delta, 0)$ with small $\delta > 0$. Note that the points with negative second coordinate lie above the picture.

It is easy to extend the technique of tangle diagrams to present a π -tangle $(T, g : \pi_1(C_T) \rightarrow \pi)$. We first present T by a tangle diagram, D . The undercrossings of D split D into disjoint oriented embedded arcs in $\mathbf{R} \times [0, 1]$. (We do not break D at the overcrossings). For each of these arcs, say e , consider the linear path in $\mathbf{R}^2 \times [0, 1]$ connecting the base point $z \in C_T$ to a point of $e + (0, -\delta, 0)$. In the pictorial language, the point z lies high above D and the path in question is obtained by rushing from z straight to a point lying slightly above e . Denote this path by $\gamma(e)$. We label e with $g_e = g(\mu_{\gamma(e)}) \in \pi$. In pictures, one usually puts g_e on a small arrow drawn beneath e and crossing e from right to left. Knowing g_e for all arcs e of D , we can recover the homomorphism g because the meridians $\{\mu_{\gamma(e)}\}_e$ generate $\pi_1(C_T)$. We say that the π -tangle (T, g) is *presented by the diagram D* whose arcs are labeled by elements of π as above.

A tangle diagram D whose arcs are labeled by elements of π presents a π -tangle if and only if the following local condition is satisfied:

(*). Encircling a double point of D and multiplying the corresponding four elements of π we always obtain $1 \in \pi$.

It is understood that crossing an arc e from right to left we read g_e while crossing e from left to right we read g_e^{-1} .

To present a colored π -tangle (T, g, u) we additionally endow each arc e of D with the object $u_{\gamma(e)} \in \mathcal{C}_{g_e}$. This data uniquely determines (T, g, u) .

Conversely, consider a tangle diagram D whose arcs e are labeled with pairs $(g_e \in \pi, U_e \in \mathcal{C}_{g_e})$. Assume that condition (*) is met. Then D presents a colored π -tangle if and only if the following local condition is satisfied:

(**). For any double point d of D , consider the three arcs e, f, h of D incident to d such that in a neighborhood of d they appear as the overcrossing, the incoming undercrossing and the outgoing undercrossing, respectively. Then $U_f = (\varphi_{g_e})^\varepsilon(U_h)$ where $\varepsilon = \pm 1$ is the sign of d .

The necessity of (**) follows from the definition of a coloring and the obvious equality $\gamma(f) = (\mu_{\gamma(e)})^\varepsilon \gamma(h)$. The sufficiency of (**) follows from Lemma 3.2.1 and the fact that (**) implies (3.1.a) for all circle components of T .

In the sequel by a diagram of a colored π -tangle we mean its diagram labeled as above so that conditions (*), (**) are satisfied. The technique of Reidemeister moves on diagrams of framed oriented tangles (see, for instance, [Tu2, Section

I.4]) extends to the diagrams of colored π -tangles. The key point is that any Reidemeister move on the underlying unlabeled diagram extends uniquely to a move on the labels keeping all the labels outside of the 2-disc where the diagram is modified. As in the standard theory, two diagrams of colored π -tangles represent isotopic colored π -tangles if and only if they can be related by a finite sequence of (labeled) Reidemeister moves.

3.4. Category of colored π -tangles. We define a category of colored π -tangles $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}(\pi, \mathcal{C}, K)$ as follows. The objects of $\mathcal{T}$ are finite sequences $\{(\varepsilon_r, \alpha_r, U_r)\}_{r=1}^k$ where $k \geq 0, \varepsilon_r = \pm, \alpha_r \in \pi, U_r \in \mathcal{C}_{\alpha_r}$ for $r = 1, \dots, k$. A morphism of such sequences $\{(\varepsilon_r, \alpha_r, U_r)\}_{r=1}^k \rightarrow \{(\varepsilon^s, \alpha^s, U^s)\}_{s=1}^l$ in $\mathcal{T}$ is a finite formal sum $\sum_i z_i T_i$ where $z_i \in K$ and T_i is a colored π -tangle with k inputs and l outputs such that $\{(\varepsilon_r, \alpha_r, U_r)\}_{r=1}^k$ is the source of T_i and $\{(\varepsilon^s, \alpha^s, U^s)\}_{s=1}^l$ is the target of T_i . If the sum $\sum_i z_i T_i$ consists of one term $1 T$ then we say that the corresponding morphism in $\mathcal{T}$ is represented by T . The composition of morphisms $T \circ T'$ represented by colored π -tangles T, T' is obtained by the gluing of T on the top of T' ; this extends by K -linearity to all morphisms. The identity morphism of an object $\{(\varepsilon_r, \alpha_r, U_r)\}_{r=1}^k$ is represented by the trivial colored π -braid with zero framing and source (and target) $\{(\varepsilon_r, \alpha_r, U_r)\}_{r=1}^k$. This completes the definition of $\mathcal{T}$.

3.4.1. Lemma. *The category $\mathcal{T}$ is a strict ribbon crossed π -category.*

Proof. The tensor product for the objects of $\mathcal{T}$ is the juxtaposition of sequences. The unit object is the empty sequence. The tensor product of the morphisms in $\mathcal{T}$ represented by colored π -tangles T, T' is obtained by placing any diagram of T' on the right of any diagram of T . Then the union of these two diagrams represents the colored π -tangle $T \otimes T'$. This extends to arbitrary morphisms in $\mathcal{T}$ by linearity. In this way, $\mathcal{T}$ becomes a strict monoidal category.

The dual of an object $U = ((\varepsilon_1, \alpha_1, U_1), \dots, (\varepsilon_k, \alpha_k, U_k)) \in \mathcal{T}$ is by definition the object $U^* = ((-\varepsilon_k, \alpha_k, U_k), (-\varepsilon_{k-1}, \alpha_{k-1}, U_{k-1}), \dots, (-\varepsilon_1, \alpha_1, U_1))$. The duality morphisms $b_U : \mathbb{I} \rightarrow U \otimes U^*$ and $d_U : U^* \otimes U$ are defined in the same way as in the usual theory where $\pi = 1$. They are represented by tangle diagrams consisting of k disjoint concentric cups (resp. caps). The orientations and labels on these cups (resp. caps) are uniquely determined by the data at the outputs (resp. inputs). Formulas (1.1.f) and (1.1.g) are straightforward. Note that the associativity morphisms and the structural morphisms l, r in $\mathcal{T}$ are the identities so that formulas (1.1.f) and (1.1.g) simplify to

$$(3.4.a) \quad (\text{id}_U \otimes d_U)(b_U \otimes \text{id}_U) = \text{id}_U, \quad (d_U \otimes \text{id}_{U^*})(\text{id}_{U^*} \otimes b_U) = \text{id}_{U^*}.$$

Given $\alpha \in \pi$, consider a full subcategory $\mathcal{T}_\alpha$ of $\mathcal{T}$ whose objects are sequences $((\varepsilon_1, \alpha_1, U_1), \dots, (\varepsilon_k, \alpha_k, U_k))$ with $\prod_{r=1}^k (\alpha_r)^{\varepsilon_r} = \alpha$. It is obvious that $\mathcal{T} = \coprod_{\alpha \in \pi} \mathcal{T}_\alpha$. It follows from definitions that $\mathcal{T}$ is a π -category.

For each $\alpha \in \pi$ we define an automorphism φ_α of $\mathcal{T}$ as follows. The action on the objects is given by

$$\varphi_\alpha(\{(\varepsilon_r, \alpha_r, U_r)\}_{r=1}^k) = \{(\varepsilon_r, \alpha \alpha_r \alpha^{-1}, \varphi_\alpha(U_r))\}_{r=1}^k$$

where on the right-hand side we use the action of α on the category of colors $\mathcal{C}$. The automorphism $\varphi_\alpha : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$ transforms a colored π -tangle $(T, g : \pi_1(C_T) \rightarrow \pi, u)$ into the colored π -tangle $(T, \alpha g \alpha^{-1} : \pi_1(C_T) \rightarrow \pi, {}^\alpha u)$ where ${}^\alpha u$ is the coloring of the π -tangle $(T, \alpha g \alpha^{-1})$ assigning to any path $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow C_T$ leading from the base point to $\tilde{T}$ the object $\varphi_\alpha(u_\gamma) \in \mathcal{C}_{\alpha g(\mu_\gamma) \alpha^{-1}}$. This extends to arbitrary morphisms in $\mathcal{T}$ by linearity and yields an automorphism φ_α of $\mathcal{T}$. In this way $\mathcal{T}$ becomes a crossed π -category.

For objects $U = \{(\varepsilon_r, \alpha_r, U_r)\}_{r=1}^k \in \mathcal{T}_\alpha$ and $V = \{(\varepsilon^s, \alpha^s, U^s)\}_{s=1}^l \in \mathcal{T}_\beta$ with $\alpha, \beta \in \pi$, the braiding $U \otimes V \rightarrow {}^U V \otimes U$ is represented by the same (framed oriented) braid $T = T_{k,l}$ on $k+l$ strings as in the standard theory. The braid T is given by a diagram consisting of two families of parallel linear intervals: one family consists of k intervals going from k leftmost inputs to k rightmost outputs, the second family consists of l intervals going from l rightmost inputs to l leftmost outputs and meeting from below all the intervals of the first family. We extend T uniquely to a colored π -braid with source

$$U \otimes V = ((\varepsilon_1, \alpha_1, U_1), \dots, (\varepsilon_k, \alpha_k, U_k), (\varepsilon^1, \alpha^1, U^1), \dots, (\varepsilon^l, \alpha^l, U^l)).$$

It follows from definitions that the target of T is then

$$\begin{aligned} & ((\varepsilon^1, \alpha \alpha^1 \alpha^{-1}, \varphi_\alpha(U^1)), \dots, (\varepsilon^l, \alpha \alpha^l \alpha^{-1}, \varphi_\alpha(U^l)), (\varepsilon_1, \alpha_1, U_1), \dots, (\varepsilon_k, \alpha_k, U_k)) \\ & = \varphi_\alpha(V) \otimes U = {}^U V \otimes U. \end{aligned}$$

Hence T represents a morphism $U \otimes V \rightarrow {}^U V \otimes U$ in $\mathcal{T}$ denoted $c_{U,V}$. The axioms of a braiding are straightforward.

For $U = \{(\varepsilon_r, \alpha_r, U_r)\}_{r=1}^k \in \mathcal{T}_\alpha$, the twist

$$\theta_U : U \rightarrow {}^U U = \varphi_\alpha(U) = \{(\varepsilon_r, \alpha \alpha_r \alpha^{-1}, \varphi_\alpha(U_r))\}_{r=1}^k$$

is represented by the same framed braid t_k as in the standard theory. It can be obtained from the trivial braid on k strings with constant framing by one full right-hand twist. We extend t_k uniquely to a colored π -braid with source U . It is easy to deduce from definitions that the target of this colored π -braid is $\varphi_\alpha(U)$ so that it represents a morphism $U \rightarrow {}^U U$ in $\mathcal{T}$. The axioms of a twist are straightforward. Thus, $\mathcal{T}$ is a ribbon crossed π -category.

3.5. Ribbon functors. To formulate our main theorem concerning the category of colored π -tangles we need the notion of a ribbon π -functor. Consider two crossed π -categories $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}'$. A *monoidal π -functor* from $\mathcal{C}'$ to $\mathcal{C}$ is a covariant functor $F : \mathcal{C}' \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ such that

- (i) F is K -linear on morphisms;
- (ii) $F(\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{C}'}) = \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{C}}$ and $F(f \otimes g) = F(f) \otimes F(g)$ for any morphisms or objects f, g in $\mathcal{C}'$;
- (iii) F transforms the structural morphisms a, l, r in $\mathcal{C}'$ into the corresponding morphisms in $\mathcal{C}$: for any $U, V, W \in \mathcal{C}'$,

$$F(a_{U,V,W}) = a_{F(U), F(V), F(W)}, \quad F(l_U) = l_{F(U)}, \quad F(r_U) = r_{F(U)};$$

- (iv) F maps $\mathcal{C}'_\alpha$ into $\mathcal{C}_\alpha$ for all $\alpha \in \pi$;
- (v) F is equivariant with respect to the action of π , i.e., $F \circ \varphi_\alpha = \varphi_\alpha \circ F$ for any $\alpha \in \pi$.

Note that we do not require monoidal functors to preserve duality. If $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{C}'$ are strict, then condition (iii) is superfluous since the structural morphisms in question are the identities.

Assume now that $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}'$ are ribbon π -categories. A monoidal π -functor $F : \mathcal{C}' \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is said to be *ribbon* if it transforms the braiding and twist in $\mathcal{C}'$ into the braiding and twist in $\mathcal{C}$, i.e., for any $U, V \in \mathcal{C}'$,

$$F(c_{U,V}) = c_{F(U),F(V)}, \quad F(\theta_U) = \theta_{F(U)}.$$

3.6. Theorem. *If $\mathcal{C}$ is a strict ribbon crossed π -category, then there is a unique ribbon monoidal π -functor $F : \mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}(\pi, \mathcal{C}, K) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ such that*

- (i) *for any length 1 object (ε, α, U) of $\mathcal{T}$, we have $F((\varepsilon, \alpha, U)) = U^\varepsilon$ where*

$$U^\varepsilon = \begin{cases} U \in \mathcal{C}_\alpha, & \text{if } \varepsilon = +, \\ U^* \in \mathcal{C}_{\alpha^{-1}}, & \text{if } \varepsilon = -; \end{cases}$$

- (ii) *for any $\alpha \in \pi, U \in \mathcal{C}_\alpha$,*

$$F(b_{(+,\alpha,U)}) = b_U : \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow U \otimes U^* \text{ and } F(d_{(+,\alpha,U)}) = d_U : U^* \otimes U \rightarrow \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{C}}.$$

Theorem 3.6 generalizes the results of [Tu2, Chapter I] where $\pi = 1$.

The unicity in Theorem 3.6 is quite straightforward. The assumption that F is monoidal and condition (i) determine F on all objects:

$$F((\varepsilon_1, \alpha_1, U_1), \dots, (\varepsilon_k, \alpha_k, U_k)) = \bigotimes_{r=1}^k (U_r)^{\varepsilon_r}.$$

Next we observe that the morphisms of type

$$(3.6.a) \quad (c_{(\varepsilon,\alpha,U),(\varepsilon',\alpha',U')})^{\pm 1}, (\theta_{(\varepsilon,\alpha,U)})^{\pm 1}, b_{(+,\alpha,U)}, d_{(+,\alpha,U)}$$

(where $\varepsilon, \varepsilon' = \pm$, $\alpha, \alpha' \in \pi$, $U \in \mathcal{C}_\alpha, U' \in \mathcal{C}_{\alpha'}$) generate the category $\mathcal{T}$ in the sense that any morphism in $\mathcal{T}$ can be obtained from these generators by taking tensor product and composition. Given the value of F on these generators, we can compute F on any morphism in $\mathcal{T}$. This proves the uniqueness of F .

The values of F on the colored π -tangles $b_{(-,\alpha,U)}, d_{(-,\alpha,U)}$ with $\alpha \in \pi, U \in \mathcal{C}_\alpha$ can be computed from the following equalities in $\mathcal{T}$:

$$(3.6.b) \quad d_{(-,\alpha,U)} = d_{(+,\alpha,U)} c_{(+,\alpha,U),(-,\alpha,U)} (\theta_{(+,\alpha,U)} \otimes \text{id}_{(-,\alpha,U)}),$$

$$(3.6.c) \quad b_{(-,\alpha,U)} = (\text{id}_{(-,\alpha,U)} \otimes (\theta_{(+,\alpha,U)})^{-1}) (c_{(-,\alpha,U),(+,\alpha,U)})^{-1} b_{(+,\alpha,U)}.$$

A colored π -link (ℓ, z, g, u) in $\mathbf{R}^2 \times]0, 1[$ represents an endomorphism of the unit object $\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{T}}$ in $\mathcal{T}$ (the empty sequence) and is mapped by F into $F(\ell, z, g, u) \in \text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{C}})$. It is obvious that any colored π -link in $S^3 = \mathbf{R}^3 \cup \{\infty\}$ is ambiently isotopic to a unique (up to ambient isotopy) colored π -link in $\mathbf{R}^2 \times]0, 1[$. This allows us to apply F to colored π -links in S^3 . For instance, an empty π -link $\emptyset$ represents the identity endomorphism of $\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{T}}$ and therefore $F(\emptyset) = 1 \in \text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{C}})$.

In Section 4 we generalize Theorem 3.6 to colored π -graphs and briefly discuss a proof of these theorems.

4. Colored π -graphs and their invariants

The standard theory of colored tangles generalizes to so-called ribbon graphs. They are instrumental in the construction of 3-dimensional TQFT's from modular categories, see [Tu2]. Here we discuss a similar generalization of colored π -tangles.

4.1. Colored π -graphs. We recall the definition of a ribbon graph referring to [Tu2] for details. A *coupon* is a rectangle with a distinguished side called the bottom base; the opposite side is called the top base. A *ribbon graph* Ω in $\mathbf{R}^2 \times [0, 1]$ with $k \geq 0$ inputs $\{(r, 0, 0)\}_{r=1}^k$ and $l \geq 0$ outputs $\{(s, 0, 1)\}_{s=1}^l$ consists of a finite family of arcs, circles and coupons embedded in $\mathbf{R}^2 \times [0, 1]$. We call these arcs, circles and coupons the *strata* of Ω . The inputs and outputs of Ω should be among the endpoints of the arcs, all the other endpoints of the arcs should lie on the bases of the coupons. Otherwise the strata of Ω should be disjoint. At the inputs and outputs, the arcs should be orthogonal to the planes $\mathbf{R}^2 \times 0, \mathbf{R}^2 \times 1$. All the strata of Ω should be oriented and framed so that their framings form a continuous non-singular vector field on Ω transversal to Ω . This vector field is called the *framing* of Ω . At the inputs and outputs, the framing should be given by the vector $(0, -1, 0)$. On each coupon the framing should be transversal to the coupon and yield together with the orientation of the coupon the right-handed orientation of $\mathbf{R}^2 \times [0, 1]$. Slightly pushing Ω along its framing we obtain a disjoint copy $\tilde{\Omega}$ of Ω . Pushing an arc (resp. a circle, a coupon) t of Ω along the framing we obtain an arc (resp. a circle, a coupon) $\tilde{t} \subset \tilde{\Omega}$. We use here a language slightly different but equivalent to the one in [Tu2], where instead of the framings on arcs and circles we considered orthogonal 2-dimensional bands and annuli.

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^2 \times [0, 1]$ be a ribbon graph. We provide its complement $C_{\Omega} = (\mathbf{R}^2 \times [0, 1]) \setminus \Omega$ with the “canonical” base point z with a big negative second coordinate $z_2 \ll 0$. As in Section 3, we can suppress the base point from the notation for the fundamental group of C_{Ω} .

We define meridians of an arc or a circle of Ω exactly as in Sections 3.1 and 3.2. With the inputs and outputs of Ω we associate canonical meridians as in Section 3.2. We also define meridians of a coupon $Q \subset \Omega$ as follows. Choose an oriented interval $q \subset Q$ leading from the top base of Q to its bottom base. For a path $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow C_{\Omega}$ connecting the base point to a point $\gamma(1) \in \tilde{Q}$, the meridian $\mu_{\gamma} \in \pi_1(C_{\Omega})$ is represented by the loop $\gamma m \gamma^{-1}$ where $m \subset C_{\Omega}$ is a small loop encircling Q (in a plane transversal to q) and having linking number $+1$ with q .

A π -graph is a ribbon graph $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^2 \times [0, 1]$ endowed with a homomorphism $g : \pi_1(C_\Omega) \rightarrow \pi$. Clearly, a π -graph without coupons is nothing but a π -tangle.

Fix a crossed π -category $(\mathcal{C}, \varphi : \pi \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathcal{C}))$. A *coloring* of a π -graph $(\Omega, g : \pi_1(C_\Omega) \rightarrow \pi)$ consists of two functions u and v . The function u assigns to every arc or circle t of Ω and to every path $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow C_\Omega$ connecting the base point $\gamma(0) = z \in C_\Omega$ to a point $\gamma(1) \in \tilde{t} \subset \tilde{\Omega}$ a certain object $u_\gamma \in \mathcal{C}_{g(\mu_\gamma)}$ where $\mu_\gamma \in \pi_1(C_\Omega)$ is the meridian of t determined by γ . This function should satisfy the same conditions as in Section 3, i.e.,

- (i) u_γ is preserved under homotopies of γ fixing $\gamma(0)$ and keeping $\gamma(1)$ on $\tilde{t}$;
- (ii) if β is a loop in (C_Ω, z) then $u_{\beta\gamma} = \varphi_{g([\beta])}(u_\gamma)$.

The function v assigns to every coupon $Q \subset \Omega$ and every path γ in C_Ω connecting the base point $\gamma(0) = z \in C_\Omega$ to a point $\gamma(1) \in \tilde{Q} \subset \tilde{\Omega}$ a certain morphism v_γ in $\mathcal{C}_{g(\mu_\gamma)}$ satisfying three conditions:

- (i) v_γ is preserved under homotopies of γ fixing $\gamma(0)$ and keeping $\gamma(1)$ on $\tilde{Q}$;
- (ii) if β is a loop in (C_Ω, z) then $v_{\beta\gamma} = \varphi_{g([\beta])}(v_\gamma)$.

To formulate the third condition on v_γ we need some preparations. Let $t_1, \dots, t_m$ be the arcs of Ω incident to the bottom side of Q in the order determined by the direction of this side induced by the orientation of Q . Set $\varepsilon_i = +1$ if t_i is directed out of Q and $\varepsilon_i = -1$ otherwise. Let $t^1, \dots, t^n$ be the arcs of Ω incident to the top side of Q in the order determined by the direction of this side opposite to the one induced by the orientation of Q . Set $\varepsilon^j = -1$ if t^j is directed out of Q and $\varepsilon^j = +1$ otherwise. For $i = 1, \dots, m$, we can compose the path γ with a path in $\tilde{Q}$ leading from $\gamma(1) \in \tilde{Q}$ to the endpoint of $\tilde{t}_i$ lying on the bottom side of $\tilde{Q}$. The resulting path, γ_i , leads from the base point of C_Ω to a point of $\tilde{t}_i$. Similarly, for $j = 1, \dots, n$, we can compose γ with a path in $\tilde{Q}$ leading from $\gamma(1)$ to the endpoint of $\tilde{t}^j$ lying on the top side of $\tilde{Q}$. The resulting path, γ^j , leads from the base point of C_Ω to a point of $\tilde{t}^j$. Note that

$$\mu_\gamma = \prod_{i=1}^m (\mu_{\gamma_i})^{\varepsilon_i} = \prod_{j=1}^n (\mu_{\gamma^j})^{\varepsilon^j} \in \pi_1(C_\Omega).$$

Now we can state the third condition on v :

- (iii) for any coupon Q of Ω and any path γ in C_Ω connecting the base point to a point of $\tilde{Q}$,

$$v_\gamma \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}\left(\bigotimes_{i=1}^m (u_{\gamma_i})^{\varepsilon_i}, \bigotimes_{j=1}^n (u_{\gamma^j})^{\varepsilon^j}\right)$$

where $u_{\gamma_i} \in \mathcal{C}_{g(\mu_{\gamma_i})}$ and $u_{\gamma^j} \in \mathcal{C}_{g(\mu_{\gamma^j})}$ are the objects provided by the function u .

A π -graph endowed with a coloring is said to be *colored*. The definition of the source and the target given in Section 3.2 applies to colored π -graphs word for word. The technique of diagrams discussed in Section 3.3 generalizes to colored π -graphs in the obvious way. The coupons should be presented by small rectangles in $\mathbf{R} \times [0, 1]$ with horizontal bottom and top bases such that the bottom base has smaller second coordinate than the top base and the orientation of the coupon is counter-clockwise.

We define a category of colored π -graphs $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}(\pi, \mathcal{C}, K)$ following the lines of Section 3.4. This category has the same objects as $\mathcal{T}(\pi, \mathcal{C}, K)$. The morphisms in $\mathcal{G}$ are formal linear combinations over K of (the isotopy classes of) colored π -graphs with the given source and target. The composition and tensor product are defined exactly as in $\mathcal{T}$. It is clear that $\mathcal{T}$ is a monoidal subcategory of $\mathcal{G}$.

4.2. Remark. Sometimes it is convenient to formulate the notions of a π -graph and a colored π -graph using the graph exteriors. The *exterior* E_Ω of a ribbon graph $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^2 \times [0, 1]$ is the complement in $\mathbf{R}^2 \times [0, 1]$ of an open regular neighborhood of Ω . We choose this neighborhood so that $\tilde{\Omega} \subset \partial E_\Omega$. It is clear that $E_\Omega \subset C_\Omega$ is a deformation retract of C_Ω so that $\pi_1(E_\Omega) = \pi_1(C_\Omega)$. Repeating the definitions of Section 4.1 but considering only loops and paths in E_Ω we obtain an equivalent definition of a colored π -graph in terms of the exterior.

4.3. Lemma. *The category $\mathcal{G}$ is a strict ribbon crossed π -category.*

The proof follows the lines of the proof of Lemma 3.4.1. In particular, given $\alpha \in \pi$, the category $\mathcal{G}_\alpha$ is defined as the full subcategory of $\mathcal{G}$ whose objects are sequences $((\varepsilon_1, \alpha_1, U_1), \dots, (\varepsilon_k, \alpha_k, U_k))$ with $\prod_{r=1}^k (\alpha_r)^{\varepsilon_r} = \alpha$. The action of $\alpha \in \pi$ on $\mathcal{G}$ is obtained by applying φ_α to the objects and morphisms forming the colorings of π -graphs. The braiding, twist and duality in $\mathcal{G}$ come from the corresponding morphisms in $\mathcal{T}$ via the inclusion $\mathcal{T} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{G}$.

4.4. Elementary colored π -graphs. Let $V = ((\varepsilon_1, \alpha_1, V_1), \dots, (\varepsilon_k, \alpha_k, V_k))$ and $V' = ((\varepsilon^1, \alpha^1, V^1), \dots, (\varepsilon^l, \alpha^l, V^l))$ be objects of $\mathcal{G}_\alpha$ with $\alpha \in \pi$. Let

$$f \in \text{Hom}_C\left(\bigotimes_{i=1}^m (V_i)^{\varepsilon_i}, \bigotimes_{j=1}^n (V^j)^{\varepsilon^j}\right).$$

With this data we associate a colored π -graph $\Omega = \Omega(V, V', f)$ as follows. Geometrically, Ω consists of one coupon, k short vertical intervals attached to its bottom base and l short vertical intervals attached to its top base. The coupon and the intervals lie in $\mathbf{R} \times [0, 1]$, the framing is constant and orthogonal to $\mathbf{R} \times [0, 1]$. The orientation of the bottom (resp. top) intervals is determined by the signs $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_k$ (resp. $\varepsilon^1, \dots, \varepsilon^l$). Note that the group $\pi_1(C_\Omega)$ is generated by the canonical meridians $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k, \mu^1, \dots, \mu^l \in \pi_1(C_\Omega)$ corresponding to the inputs and outputs of Ω . They are subject to only one relation

$$(4.4.a) \quad (\mu_1)^{\varepsilon_1} \dots (\mu_k)^{\varepsilon_k} = (\mu^1)^{\varepsilon^1} \dots (\mu^l)^{\varepsilon^l}.$$

Therefore the formulas $g(\mu_r) = \alpha_r, g(\mu^s) = \alpha^s$ where $r = 1, \dots, k$ and $s = 1, \dots, l$ define a group homomorphism $g : \pi_1(C_\Omega) \rightarrow \pi$ sending both sides of (4.4.a) into α . We define $\Omega(V, V', f)$ to be the π -graph (Ω, g) endowed with the coloring (u, v) such that

- (i) the source of $\Omega(V, V', f)$ is V and the target of $\Omega(V, V', f)$ is V' ;

(ii) for the linear path γ in C_Ω leading from the base point to the point lying slightly above Ω , we have $v_\gamma = f$.

Such a coloring of Ω exists and is unique. We call $\Omega(V, V', f)$ the *elementary colored π -graph* associated with V, V', f .

4.5. Theorem. *If $\mathcal{C}$ is a strict ribbon crossed π -category, then there is a unique ribbon monoidal π -functor $F : \mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}(\pi, \mathcal{C}, K) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ extending the functor $\mathcal{T}(\pi, \mathcal{C}, K) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ of Theorem 3.6 and such that for any elementary colored π -graph $\Omega(V, V', f)$ as above we have $F(\Omega(V, V', f)) = f$.*

Theorem 4.5 generalizes Theorem I.2.5 in [Tu2] where $\pi = 1$.

The unicity in Theorem 4.5 is straightforward: it suffices to observe that the morphisms (3.6.a) and the elementary colored π -graphs generate $\mathcal{G}$. The proof of the existence follows the proof of [Tu2, Theorem I.2.5] with natural changes. The key role in the proof given in [Tu2] is played by certain commutative diagrams in the target category $\mathcal{C}$. We give here versions of these diagrams in our setting: for any objects $V, W \in \mathcal{C}$ the following two diagrams are commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
V = V \otimes \mathbb{I} & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_V \otimes b_W} & V \otimes W \otimes W^* & \xrightarrow{c_{V,W} \otimes \text{id}_{W^*}} & V W \otimes V \otimes W^* \\
c_{V,\mathbb{I}} = \text{id}_V \downarrow & & c_{V,W} \otimes W^* \downarrow & & \text{id}_{(V W)} \otimes c_{V,W^*} \downarrow \\
V = \mathbb{I} \otimes V & \xrightarrow{b_{(V W)} \otimes \text{id}_V} & V W \otimes V(W^*) \otimes V & \xlongequal{\quad} & V W \otimes V(W^*) \otimes V, \\
V(W^*) \otimes V \otimes W & \xleftarrow{c_{V,W^*} \otimes \text{id}_W} & V \otimes W^* \otimes W & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_V \otimes d_W} & V \otimes \mathbb{I} = V \\
\text{id}_{V(W^*)} \otimes c_{V,W} \downarrow & & c_{V,W^*} \otimes W \downarrow & & c_{V,\mathbb{I}} = \text{id}_V \downarrow \\
V(W^*) \otimes V W \otimes V & \xlongequal{\quad} & V(W^*) \otimes V W \otimes V & \xrightarrow{d_{(V W)} \otimes \text{id}_V} & \mathbb{I} \otimes V = V.
\end{array}$$

One should also use two similar diagrams where the middle vertical arrow is replaced with $c_{W^* \otimes W, V} : W^* \otimes W \otimes V \rightarrow V \otimes W^* \otimes W$ and also the diagrams obtained by replacing V, W with W^*, V , respectively.

4.6. Corollary. *For any object U of a strict ribbon crossed π -category $\mathcal{C}$, there is a canonical isomorphism $\eta_U : U \rightarrow U^{**} = (U^*)^*$ in $\mathcal{C}$. For any morphism $f : U \rightarrow V$ in $\mathcal{C}$, the following diagram is commutative:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
U & \xrightarrow{\eta_U} & U^{**} \\
f \downarrow & & \downarrow f^{**} \\
V & \xrightarrow{\eta_V} & V^{**}.
\end{array}$$

Proof. If $U \in \mathcal{C}_\alpha$ with $\alpha \in \pi$ then the morphisms

$$\eta_U = (F(d_{(-, \alpha, U)}) \otimes \text{id}_{U^{**}})(\text{id}_U \otimes b_{U^*}) : U \rightarrow U^{**},$$

$$(d_{U^*} \otimes \text{id}_U)(\text{id}_{U^{**}} \otimes F(b_{(-, \alpha, U)})) : U^{**} \rightarrow U$$

are mutually inverse isomorphisms. This can be deduced directly from definitions using (3.4.a). A pictorial proof uses Theorem 4.5 and follows the lines of the proof of Corollary I.2.6.1 in [Tu2]. The second claim is an exercise.

4.7. Properties of F . We discuss here three operations on a colored π -graph (Ω, g, u, v) preserving $F(\Omega, g, u, v)$. Fix a circle stratum ℓ of Ω .

1. The invariant $F(\Omega, g, u, v)$ does not change when we replace the colors of the paths leading to ℓ by isomorphic colors. More precisely, fix a path γ leading from the canonical base point to the longitude $\tilde{\ell}$ of ℓ . Let V be an object of the category $\mathcal{C}_{g(\mu_\gamma)}$ isomorphic to $u_\gamma \in \mathcal{C}_{g(\mu_\gamma)}$. We define a new coloring (u', v) of Ω as follows. For the paths leading from the base point to the strata of Ω distinct from ℓ , the associated colors are the same as in (u, v) . Set $u'_\gamma = V$. This data extends uniquely to a coloring (u', v) of (Ω, g) . Then $F(\Omega, g, u, v) = F(\Omega, g, u', v)$. To prove this equality observe that $F(\Omega, g, u, v)$ does not change when we insert into ℓ two coupons whose colors corresponding to γ are mutually inverse isomorphisms $u_\gamma \rightarrow V$ and $V \rightarrow u_\gamma$. Pushing one of the coupons along ℓ and eventually cancelling it with the second coupon we obtain $F(\Omega, g, u', v)$.

2. The invariant $F(\Omega, g, u, v)$ does not change when we invert the orientation of ℓ and simultaneously replace the colors associated with ℓ by dual objects. The latter means that for any path γ leading from the base point to $\tilde{\ell}$, we set $u'_\gamma = (u_\gamma)^*$. For the paths leading to other strata of Ω , the color is preserved. This yields a coloring (u', v) of the π -graph (Ω', g) obtained from (Ω, g) by inverting the orientation of ℓ . Then $F(\Omega, g, u, v) = F(\Omega', g, u', v)$. The proof follows the lines of the proof of [Tu2, Corollary I.2.8.1].

3. Let ℓ_1, ℓ_2 be two parallel copies of ℓ determined by the framing and going very closely to ℓ . Consider the ribbon graph $\Omega' = (\Omega \setminus \ell) \cup \ell_1 \cup \ell_2$. To describe the relevant colorings of Ω' we use the language of exteriors. The exterior $E' = E_{\Omega'}$ of Ω' can be obtained from the exterior $E = E_\Omega$ of Ω by gluing $(2\text{-disc with two holes}) \times S^1$ along the 2-torus in ∂E corresponding to ℓ . Denote the inclusion homomorphism $\pi_1(E) \rightarrow \pi_1(E')$ by h . Assume that there are a homomorphism $g' : \pi_1(E') \rightarrow \pi$ and a coloring (u', v') of the π -graph (Ω', g') satisfying the following conditions:

- (i) $g = g'h : \pi_1(E) \rightarrow \pi$;
- (ii) on the paths leading from the base point to all strata of $\Omega \setminus \ell$ the colorings (u, v) and (u', v') coincide;
- (iii) if γ is a path in E leading from the base point to $\tilde{\ell} \subset \partial E$, then composing γ with short paths in $E' \setminus E$ we obtain paths γ_1, γ_2 in E' leading to $\tilde{\ell}_1, \tilde{\ell}_2$, respectively, such that $h(\mu_\gamma) = \mu_{\gamma_1} \mu_{\gamma_2}$ and $u_\gamma = u'_{\gamma_1} \otimes u'_{\gamma_2}$ where $u'_{\gamma_i} \in \mathcal{C}_{g'(\mu_{\gamma_i})}$ for $i = 1, 2$.

We say then that the colored π -graph (Ω', g', u', v') is obtained from (Ω, g, u, v) by *doubling* of ℓ . It is easy to check that $F(\Omega, g, u, v) = F(\Omega', g', u', v')$, cf. [Tu2, Corollary I.2.8.3].

5. Trace, dimension, and algebra of colors

We use Theorem 4.5 to define the trace, dimension, and algebra of colors associated with a ribbon crossed π -category $\mathcal{C}$.

5.1. Trace. We define the trace of an endomorphism $f : U \rightarrow U$ of an object $U \in \mathcal{C}$ by

$$\mathrm{tr}(f) = d_{(vU), U^*} c_{(vU), U^*} (\theta_U f \otimes \mathrm{id}_{U^*}) b_U \in \mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{1}) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{1}, \mathbb{1}).$$

It is clear that for any $k \in K$, we have $\mathrm{tr}(kf) = k \mathrm{tr}(f)$. For any $\beta \in \pi$, we have $\mathrm{tr}(\varphi_{\beta}(f)) = \varphi_{\beta}(\mathrm{tr}(f))$ where on the right hand side φ_{β} acts on $\mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{1})$.

If $U \in \mathcal{C}_{\alpha}$ with $\alpha \in \pi$ then we can rewrite the definition of $\mathrm{tr}(f : U \rightarrow U)$ using (3.6.b):

$$\mathrm{tr}(f) = F(d_{(-, \alpha, U)}) (f \otimes \mathrm{id}_{U^*}) b_U \in \mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{1}).$$

Theorem 4.5 gives a geometric interpretation of $\mathrm{tr}(f)$. Consider the elementary colored π -graph $\Omega_f = \Omega((+, \alpha, U), (+, \alpha, U), f)$ with one input and one output. As in the standard theory we can close Ω_f to obtain a colored π -graph $\hat{\Omega}_f$ with 0 inputs and 0 outputs. A diagram of $\hat{\Omega}_f$ is obtained from any (labeled) diagram of Ω_f by connecting the top and bottom endpoints by an arc disjoint from the rest of the diagram. More formally,

$$\hat{\Omega}_f = d_{(-, \alpha, U)} (\Omega_f \otimes \mathrm{id}_{(-, \alpha, U)}) b_{(+, \alpha, U)}.$$

Hence,

$$F(\hat{\Omega}_f) = F(d_{(-, \alpha, U)}) (f \otimes \mathrm{id}_{U^*}) b_U = \mathrm{tr}(f).$$

Using this geometric interpretation and following the lines of [Tu2, Section I.2.7] we obtain the following lemma.

5.1.1. Lemma. (i) For any morphisms $f : U \rightarrow V$, $g : V \rightarrow U$ in $\mathcal{C}$, we have $\mathrm{tr}(fg) = \mathrm{tr}(gf)$;
(ii) for any endomorphisms f, g of objects of $\mathcal{C}$, we have $\mathrm{tr}(f^*) = \mathrm{tr}(f)$ and $\mathrm{tr}(f \otimes g) = \mathrm{tr}(f) \mathrm{tr}(g)$.

5.2. Dimension. We define the dimension of an object $U \in \mathcal{C}$ by

$$\dim(U) = \mathrm{tr}(\mathrm{id}_U) = d_{(vU), U^*} c_{(vU), U^*} (\theta_U \otimes \mathrm{id}_{U^*}) b_U \in \mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{1}).$$

If $U \in \mathcal{C}_{\alpha}$, then $\dim(U) = F(d_{(-, \alpha, U)}) b_{(+, \alpha, U)}$ is the value of F on a colored π -knot represented by a diagram consisting of an embedded oriented circle labeled with (α, U) . This knot is an (oriented) unknot $K \subset S^3$ endowed with homomorphism $\pi_1(C_K) = \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \pi$ sending the meridian to α .

The properties of F established in Section 4.7 (or Lemma 5.1.1) imply that isomorphic objects have equal dimensions and for any objects U, V and $\beta \in \pi$,

we have $\dim(U^*) = \dim(U)$, $\dim(\varphi_\beta(U)) = \varphi_\beta(\dim(U))$ and $\dim(U \otimes V) = \dim(U)\dim(V)$.

Note that for morphisms and objects of the neutral component $\mathcal{C}_1 \subset \mathcal{C}$, the definitions above coincide with the standard definition of the trace and dimension in a ribbon category. This implies that for any $f \in \text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{I})$, we have $\text{tr}(f) = f$. In particular, $\dim(\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{C}}) = \text{tr}(\text{id}_{\mathbb{I}}) = \text{id}_{\mathbb{I}}$.

5.3. Algebra of colors. We define an *algebra of colors* or *Verlinde algebra* $L = L(\mathcal{C})$ of the ribbon crossed π -category $\mathcal{C}$ as follows. Consider the K -module $\oplus_{U \in \mathcal{C}} \text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(U)$ where U runs over all objects of $\mathcal{C}$. The additive generator of this module represented by $f \in \text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(U)$ will be denoted by $\langle U, f \rangle$ or briefly by $\langle f \rangle$. We factorize this module by the following relations: for any morphisms $f : U \rightarrow V$, $g : V \rightarrow U$ in $\mathcal{C}$,

$$(5.3.a) \quad \langle V, fg \rangle = \langle U, gf \rangle.$$

Denote the quotient K -module by L . We provide L with multiplication by the formula $\langle f \rangle \langle f' \rangle = \langle f \otimes f' \rangle$. Clearly, L is an associative K -algebra with unit $\langle \text{id}_{\mathbb{I}} \rangle \in L$. Every object $U \in \mathcal{C}$ determines an element $\langle U \rangle = \langle \text{id}_U \rangle \in L$.

The algebra L is π -graded: $L = \oplus_{\alpha \in \pi} L_\alpha$ where L_α is the submodule of L additively generated by elements $\langle U, f \rangle$ with $U \in \mathcal{C}_\alpha$. We have $L_\alpha L_\beta \subset L_{\alpha\beta}$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in \pi$. The formula $\varphi_\alpha(\langle f \rangle) = \langle \varphi_\alpha(f) \rangle$ defines an action of π on L by algebra endomorphisms. Clearly, $\varphi_\alpha(L_\beta) = L_{\alpha\beta\alpha^{-1}}$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in \pi$. The existence of the braiding implies that $ab = \varphi_\alpha(b)a$ for any $a \in L_\alpha, b \in L_\beta$. The existence of the twist implies that $\varphi_\alpha|_{L_\alpha} = \text{id}$ for any $\alpha \in \pi$.

The trace of morphisms in $\mathcal{C}$ defines a K -linear homomorphism $L \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{I})$ sending any generator $\langle f \rangle$ as above to $\text{tr}(f) \in \text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{I})$. We denote this functional by $\dim$. In particular, $\dim(\langle U \rangle) = \text{tr}(\text{id}_U) = \dim(U)$ for any $U \in \mathcal{C}$. It follows from the properties of the trace that $\dim$ is an algebra homomorphism.

Sending a generator $\langle U, f \rangle$ to the generator $\langle U^*, f^* : U^* \rightarrow U^* \rangle$ we define a K -linear homomorphism $L \rightarrow L$ denoted by $*$. It follows from definitions that $*$ is an involutive anti-automorphism of the algebra L sending each L_α onto $L_{\alpha^{-1}}$ and commuting with $\dim : L \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{I})$.

5.4. Special π -links. The importance of the algebra of colors $L = L(\mathcal{C})$ is due to the fact that its elements can be used to color so-called special π -links. Let us call a π -link (ℓ, z, g) *special* if the longitudes of all the components of ℓ are sent by g to $1 \in \pi$. A *generalized coloring* of a π -link (ℓ, z, g) is a function which assigns to every path $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow C_\ell$ with $\gamma(0) = z, \gamma(1) \in \ell$ an element $a_\gamma \in L_{g(\mu_\gamma)}$ such that

- (i) a_γ is preserved under homotopies of γ fixing $\gamma(0)$ and keeping $\gamma(1)$ on $\tilde{\ell}$;
- (ii) if β is a loop in (C_ℓ, z) , then $a_{\beta\gamma} = \varphi_{g([\beta])}(a_\gamma)$.

For instance, a coloring u of (ℓ, z, g) as defined in Section 3.1 gives rise to a generalized coloring by $a_\gamma = \langle u_\gamma \rangle$.

The invariant F extends to generalized colorings a of a special π -link $(\ell = \ell_1 \cup \dots \cup \ell_n, z, g)$. To define this extension, fix a path γ_i leading from z to a point

of $\tilde{\ell}_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. We transform ℓ into a π -graph Ω by inserting one coupon into each component ℓ_i near $\gamma_i(1)$. If $a_{\gamma_i} = \langle U_i, f_i \rangle \in L_{g(\mu_{\gamma_i})}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$ then we set $u_{\gamma_i} = U_i, v_{\gamma_i} = f_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. This extends uniquely to a coloring (u, v) of Ω (it is here that we need ℓ to be special, cf. Lemma 3.2.1). Set $F(\ell, z, g, a) = F(\Omega, g, u, v)$. This does not depend on the choice of γ_i and extends to arbitrary a by additivity. Note that if $a_{\gamma_i} = \langle U_i \rangle$ then there is no need to introduce the coupon on ℓ_i ; it suffices to keep ℓ_i as a stratum and to set $u_{\gamma_i} = U_i$. This gives the same invariant F .

Similarly, we call a π -graph *special* if the longitudes of all its circle strata are sent to $1 \in \pi$. The elements of L can be used to color circle strata of special π -graphs. The invariant F extends to such generalized colorings of π -graphs exactly as above. The properties of F established in Section 4.7 extend to the generalized colorings in the obvious way.

6. Modular crossed π -categories

6.1. Modular crossed π -categories. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a crossed π -category. An object V of $\mathcal{C}$ is said to be *simple* if $\text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(V) = K \text{id}_V$. It is clear that an object isomorphic or dual to a simple object is itself simple. The action of π on $\mathcal{C}$ transforms simple objects into simple objects.

We say that an object U of $\mathcal{C}$ is *dominated by simple objects* if there exist a finite set of simple objects $\{V_r\}_r$ of $\mathcal{C}$ (possibly with repetitions) and morphisms $\{f_r : V_r \rightarrow U, g_r : U \rightarrow V_r\}_r$ such that $\text{id}_U = \sum_r f_r g_r$. Clearly, if $U \in \mathcal{C}_\alpha$ then without loss of generality we can assume that $V_r \in \mathcal{C}_\alpha$ for all r .

We say that a ribbon crossed π -category $\mathcal{C}$ is *modular* if it satisfies the following five axioms:

(6.1.1) the unit object $\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{C}}$ is simple;

(6.1.2) for each $\alpha \in \pi$, the set I_α of the isomorphism classes of simple objects of $\mathcal{C}_\alpha$ is finite;

(6.1.3) for each $\alpha \in \pi$, any object of $\mathcal{C}_\alpha$ is dominated by simple objects of $\mathcal{C}_\alpha$;

(6.1.4) if V, W are non-isomorphic simple objects of $\mathcal{C}$ then $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(V, W) = 0$;

To formulate the last axiom we need some notation. For $i, j \in I_1$, choose simple objects $V_i, V_j \in \mathcal{C}_1$ representing i, j , respectively, and set

$$S_{i,j} = \text{tr}(c_{V_j, V_i} \circ c_{V_i, V_j} : V_i \otimes V_j \rightarrow V_j \otimes V_i) \in \text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{1}) = K.$$

It follows from the properties of the trace that $S_{i,j}$ does not depend on the choice of V_i, V_j .

(6.1.5). The square matrix $S = [S_{i,j}]_{i,j \in I_1}$ is invertible over K .

These axioms generalize the axioms of a modular ribbon category given in [Tu2] where $\pi = 1$.

It follows from axioms (6.1.1)-(6.1.5) that the neutral component $\mathcal{C}_1$ of $\mathcal{C}$ is a modular category in the sense of [Tu2]. (Note that (6.1.5) involves only $\mathcal{C}_1$.) Recall the following property of modular categories: if U, V are objects of a modular category over K then $\text{Hom}(U, V)$ is a projective K -module of finite type. For any

objects U, V of $\mathcal{C}$ belonging to the same component of $\mathcal{C}$, we have $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(U, V) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{1}, V \otimes U^*) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_1}(\mathbb{1}, V \otimes U^*)$ so that $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(U, V)$ is a projective K -module of finite type. Set

$$\mu_{U,V} = \text{Dim}(\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(U, V)) \in K$$

where Dim denotes the usual dimension of projective K -modules of finite type, see for instance [Tu2, Appendix 1]. We have

$$\mu_{V,U} = \text{Dim}(\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(V, U)) = \text{Dim}(\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(U, V)) = \mu_{U,V}$$

where the second equality follows from Lemma 6.4 below and the fact that the dimensions of dual projective K -modules are equal. Note also the identity

$$\mu_{U,V \otimes W} = \mu_{U \otimes W^*, V}$$

for any $U, V, W \in \mathcal{C}$.

Axiom (6.1.1) and the equality $\varphi_{\alpha}(\text{id}_{\mathbb{1}}) = \text{id}_{\mathbb{1}}$ imply that any $\alpha \in \pi$ acts in $\text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{1}) = K$ as the identity. Therefore the dimension of objects of $\mathcal{C}$ is invariant under the action of π : for any $V \in \mathcal{C}, \alpha \in \pi$, we have $\dim(\varphi_{\alpha}(V)) = \varphi_{\alpha}(\dim(V)) = \dim(V)$.

If the ground ring K is a field then axiom (6.1.4) is redundant. It is easy to show (using for instance Lemma 6.4 below) that in this case any non-zero morphism between simple objects is an isomorphism.

We need three lemmas concerning a modular crossed π -category $\mathcal{C}$. The first lemma computes the algebra of colors $L(\mathcal{C}) = \oplus_{\alpha \in \pi} L_{\alpha}$ as a K -module.

6.2. Lemma. *Let $\alpha \in \pi$ and $\{V_i^{\alpha}\}_{i \in I_{\alpha}}$ be representatives of the isomorphism classes of simple objects in the category $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha}$. Then L_{α} is a free K -module with basis $\{\langle V_i^{\alpha} \rangle\}_{i \in I_{\alpha}}$. For any $U \in \mathcal{C}_{\alpha}$,*

$$(6.2.a) \quad \langle U \rangle = \sum_{i \in I_{\alpha}} \mu_{V_i^{\alpha}, U} \langle V_i^{\alpha} \rangle,$$

$$(6.2.b) \quad \dim(U) = \sum_{i \in I_{\alpha}} \mu_{V_i^{\alpha}, U} \dim(V_i^{\alpha}),$$

Proof. Let $\langle U \in \mathcal{C}_{\alpha}, f : U \rightarrow U \rangle$ be a generator of L_{α} . By (6.1.3), there is a finite system of objects $\{V_{i(r)}\}_r$ belonging to the family $\{V_i^{\alpha}\}_{i \in I_{\alpha}}$ (possibly with repetitions) and morphisms $\{f_r : V_{i(r)} \rightarrow U, g_r : U \rightarrow V_{i(r)}\}_r$ such that $\text{id}_U = \sum_r f_r g_r$. Then $f = \sum_r f f_r g_r$ and

$$\langle U, f \rangle = \sum_r \langle U, f f_r g_r \rangle = \sum_r \langle V_{i(r)}, g_r f f_r \rangle.$$

Since $V_{i(r)}$ is a simple object, its endomorphism $g_r f f_r$ equals $k_r \text{id}_{V_{i(r)}}$ for a certain $k_r \in K$. Therefore

$$\langle U, f \rangle = \sum_r \langle V_{i(r)}, k_r \text{id}_{V_{i(r)}} \rangle = \sum_r k_r \langle V_{i(r)}, \text{id}_{V_{i(r)}} \rangle = \sum_r k_r \langle V_{i(r)} \rangle.$$

Therefore the elements $\{\langle V_i^\alpha \rangle\}_{i \in I_\alpha}$ generate L_α over K . To prove their linear independence we define for each $i \in I_\alpha$ a linear functional $t_i : L \rightarrow K$ as follows. Let $\langle U \in \mathcal{C}, f : U \rightarrow U \rangle$ be a generator of L . Denote by f_i the automorphism of $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(V_i^\alpha, U)$ sending each $h \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(V_i^\alpha, U)$ into fh . Set $t_i(f) = \text{Tr}(f_i) \in K$ where Tr denotes the trace of K -endomorphisms of projective K -modules, see for instance [Tu2, Appendix 1]. (If K is a field then Tr is the usual trace of matrices.) It follows from the standard properties of the trace, that t_i annihilates the relation (5.3.a) and defines thus a linear functional $t_i : L \rightarrow K$. Note that $t_i(\langle U \rangle) = \text{Dim}(\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(V_i^\alpha, U)) = \mu_{V_i^\alpha, U}$. By (6.1.4), $t_i(\langle V_j^\alpha \rangle) = \delta_j^i \in K$ where δ_j^i is the Kronecker delta. This implies that L_α is a free K -module with basis $\{\langle V_i^\alpha \rangle\}_{i \in I_\alpha}$.

For any object $U \in \mathcal{C}$ and any $f \in \text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(U)$, there is a unique decomposition $\langle U, f \rangle = \sum_{i \in I_\alpha} r_i \langle V_i^\alpha \rangle$ with $r_i \in K$. Applying t_i we obtain $r_i = \text{Tr}(f_i)$ for all i . Substituting $f = \text{id}_U$, we obtain (6.2.a). Applying the functional $\text{dim} : L \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{1}) = K$ to both sides of (6.2.a) we obtain (6.2.b).

6.3. Corollary. $L_\alpha = 0$ if and only if the category $\mathcal{C}_\alpha$ is void.

By a void category we mean a category with empty sets of objects and morphisms. Clearly, if $\mathcal{C}_\alpha$ is void then $L_\alpha = 0$. Conversely, if $L_\alpha = 0$ then by Lemma 6.2, the category $\mathcal{C}_\alpha$ has no simple objects. By (6.1.3), it is void.

6.4. Lemma. For any objects U, V of $\mathcal{C}$, the pairing $(x, y) \mapsto \text{tr}(y \circ x) : \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(U, V) \otimes_K \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(V, U) \rightarrow K$ is non-degenerate.

We say that a K -bilinear pairing $H_1 \otimes_K H_2 \rightarrow K$ is non-degenerate if the adjoint homomorphisms $H_1 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_K(H_2, K)$ and $H_2 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_K(H_1, K)$ are isomorphisms.

Proof of Lemma (cf. the proof of Lemma II.4.2.3 in [Tu2]). Consider first the case $U = \mathbb{1} \in \mathcal{C}_1$. If $V \in \mathcal{C}_1$ then the claim of the lemma follows from the standard properties of the modular category $\mathcal{C}_1$. If $V \in \mathcal{C}_\alpha$ with $\alpha \neq 1$ then the claim of the lemma is obvious since $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(U, V) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(V, U) = 0$. In the case of an arbitrary U we have canonical isomorphisms

$$\alpha : \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(U, V) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{1}, V \otimes U^*), \quad \beta : \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(V, U) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(V \otimes U^*, \mathbb{1})$$

such that $\text{tr}(yx) = \text{tr}(\beta(y)\alpha(x))$ for any $x \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(U, V), y \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(V, U)$. Therefore the general case of the lemma follows from the case $U = \mathbb{1}$.

6.5. Lemma. For any simple object V of $\mathcal{C}$, its dimension $\dim(V)$ is invertible in K .

Proof. With respect to the generator $\text{id}_V \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(V, V) = K$ the bilinear form $(x, y) \mapsto \text{tr}(yx) \in K$ on $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(V, V)$ is presented by the (1×1) -matrix $[\text{tr}(\text{id}_V)] = [\dim(V)]$. The non-degeneracy of this form implies that $\dim(V) \in K^*$.

6.6. The canonical color. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a modular crossed π -category over K . For each $\alpha \in \pi$, choose representatives $\{V_i^\alpha\}_{i \in I_\alpha}$ of the isomorphism classes of simple objects in the category $\mathcal{C}_\alpha$. We define a *canonical color* $\omega_\alpha \in L_\alpha$ by

$$(6.6.a) \quad \omega_\alpha = \sum_{i \in I_\alpha} \dim(V_i^\alpha) \langle V_i^\alpha \rangle.$$

It is clear that ω_α does not depend on the choice of representatives $\{V_i^\alpha\}_{i \in I_\alpha}$. The fact that the duality $V \mapsto V^*$ transforms simple objects in $\mathcal{C}_\alpha$ into simple objects in $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha^{-1}}$ and preserves the dimension implies that

$$(6.6.b) \quad (\omega_\alpha)^* = \omega_{\alpha^{-1}}$$

for all $\alpha \in \pi$. Since the action of any $\alpha \in \pi$ on $\mathcal{C}$ transforms simple objects in $\mathcal{C}_\beta$ into simple objects in $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha\beta\alpha^{-1}}$ we have

$$(6.6.c) \quad \varphi_\alpha(\omega_\beta) = \omega_{\alpha\beta\alpha^{-1}}.$$

The next lemma generalizes a property of canonical colors well-known in the case $\pi = 1$. We follow the proof given in [Bru].

6.6.1. Lemma. For any $\alpha, \beta \in \pi$ and $U \in \mathcal{C}_\beta$, $\omega_\alpha \langle U \rangle = \dim(U) \omega_{\alpha\beta}$ and $\langle U \rangle \omega_\alpha = \dim(U) \omega_{\beta\alpha}$.

Proof. We prove only the first equality, the second one is similar. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_\alpha \langle U \rangle &= \sum_{i \in I_\alpha} \dim(V_i^\alpha) \langle V_i^\alpha \rangle \langle U \rangle = \sum_{i \in I_\alpha} \dim(V_i^\alpha) \langle V_i^\alpha \otimes U \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i \in I_\alpha} \sum_{j \in I_{\alpha\beta}} \dim(V_i^\alpha) \mu_{V_j^{\alpha\beta}, V_i^\alpha \otimes U} \langle V_j^{\alpha\beta} \rangle = \sum_{i \in I_\alpha} \sum_{j \in I_{\alpha\beta}} \dim(V_i^\alpha) \mu_{V_i^\alpha, V_j^{\alpha\beta} \otimes U^*} \langle V_j^{\alpha\beta} \rangle \\ &= \sum_{j \in I_{\alpha\beta}} \left(\sum_{i \in I_\alpha} \dim(V_i^\alpha) \mu_{V_i^\alpha, V_j^{\alpha\beta} \otimes U^*} \right) \langle V_j^{\alpha\beta} \rangle = \sum_{j \in I_{\alpha\beta}} \dim(V_j^{\alpha\beta} \otimes U^*) \langle V_j^{\alpha\beta} \rangle \\ &= \sum_{j \in I_{\alpha\beta}} \dim(V_j^{\alpha\beta}) \dim(U^*) \langle V_j^{\alpha\beta} \rangle = \dim(U^*) \omega_{\alpha\beta} = \dim(U) \omega_{\alpha\beta}. \end{aligned}$$

6.7. Elements $\mathcal{D}, \Delta_\pm$ of K . In the sequel we shall need several elements of K associated with the neutral component $\mathcal{C}_1$ of a modular crossed π -category $\mathcal{C}$ over

K . Let $\{V_i\}_{i \in I_1}$ be representatives of the isomorphism classes of simple objects in $\mathcal{C}_1$. A *rank* of $\mathcal{C}_1$ is an element $\mathcal{D} \in K$ such that

$$\mathcal{D}^2 = \sum_{i \in I_1} (\dim(V_i))^2 \in K.$$

The existence of a rank is a minor technical condition which does not reduce the range of our constructions.

Since each $V_i \in \mathcal{C}_1$ is a simple object, the twist $\theta_{V_i} : V_i \rightarrow \varphi_1(V_i) = V_i$ equals $v_i \text{id}_{V_i}$ with $v_i \in K$. Since θ_{V_i} is invertible, $v_i \in K^*$. Set

$$\Delta_{\pm} = \sum_{i \in I_1} v_i^{\pm 1} (\dim(V_i))^2 \in K.$$

We can interpret $\Delta_{\pm} \in K$ as the invariant F of an unknot $\ell \subset S^3$ endowed with framing ± 1 , trivial homomorphism $\pi_1(C_\ell) \rightarrow 1 \subset \pi$ and (generalized) color $\omega_1 \in L_1$. It is known that $\mathcal{D}, \Delta_{\pm}$ are invertible in K and that $\Delta_+ \Delta_- = \mathcal{D}^2$ (see [Tu2, Formula II.2.4.a]).

6.8. Examples and constructions of modular π -categories. The ribbon π -category $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}(a, b, c, \theta)$ constructed in Section 2.6 is modular by the obvious reasons: each category $\mathcal{C}_\alpha$ has only one object which is simple and the matrix $S = [1]$ is the unit (1×1) -matrix. If $G \subset \pi$ is a finite subgroup of the center of π then pushing $\mathcal{C}(a, b, c, \theta)$ forward along the projection $\pi \rightarrow \pi/G$ we obtain a ribbon crossed (π/G) -category satisfying axioms (6.1.1)-(6.1.4) but possibly not (6.1.5). This is a special case of the following easy fact: if the kernel of a group epimorphism $q : \pi' \rightarrow \pi$ is finite and acts as the identity on a modular π' -category $\mathcal{C}'$ then the push-forward π -category $q_*(\mathcal{C}')$ (cf. Sections 1.4, 2.5) satisfies all axioms of a modular π -category except possibly (6.1.5). On the other hand, the pull-back of any modular π -category $\mathcal{C}$ along a group homomorphism $\pi' \rightarrow \pi$ is always a modular π' -category.

A tensor product of a finite family of modular crossed π -categories is always modular. The direct product of $n \geq 2$ modular crossed π -categories is not modular because the unit object in such a direct product is not simple, $\text{End}(\mathbb{I}) = K^n$.

It is easy to check that the mirror of a modular π -category is a modular π -category, cf. [Tu2, Exercise II.1.9.2].

Examples of modular π -categories with $\pi = \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}$ are provided by the categories of representations of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbf{C}))$ at roots of unity. We use a topological description of these categories given in [Tu2] (cf. [Th]). Let $r \geq 3$ be an odd integer and a be a primitive complex root of unity of order $4r$. In [Tu2] the author used the tangles and the Jones-Wenzl idempotents to define a ribbon category $\mathcal{V}(a)$ whose objects are finite sequences $(j_1, \dots, j_l)$ of integer numbers belonging to the set $\{1, 2, \dots, r-2\}$. We label such an object with $j_1 + \dots + j_l \pmod{2} \in \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z} = \pi$. The category $\mathcal{V}(a)$ splits as a disjoint union of two full subcategories comprising objects labelled by 0 and 1, respectively. The crossing isomorphisms $\{\varphi_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \pi}$ are

the identity maps. The standard ribbon structure in $\mathcal{V}(a)$ makes $\mathcal{V}(a)$ a ribbon crossed π -category. Its simple objects are the same as in the standard theory. The results of [Tu2, Section XII.7.5] show that if r is odd then $\mathcal{V}(a)$ a modular π -category. More general examples of modular π -categories with abelian π associated with quantum groups will be discussed in [LT].

7. Invariants of 3-dimensional π -manifolds

7.1. Principal π -bundles and π -manifolds. Let π be a (discrete) group. A *principal π -bundle* over a space M is a regular covering $\tilde{M} \rightarrow M$ with group of automorphisms π . The spaces $\tilde{M}$ and M are called the *total space* and the *base* of the bundle. Two principal π -bundles over M are *isomorphic* if there is a homeomorphism of their total spaces commuting with the action of π and inducing the identity map $M \rightarrow M$.

The isomorphism classes of principal π -bundles over a manifold M are classified by the homotopy classes of maps from M to the Eilenberg-MacLane space $K(\pi, 1)$. The *monodromy* of a principal π -bundle ξ over M at a point $z \in M$ is the homomorphism $\pi_1(M, z) \rightarrow \pi$ induced by the classifying map $M \rightarrow K(\pi, 1)$ of ξ . The monodromy of ξ at z is defined up to conjugation by an element of π . The monodromy can be computed geometrically as follows. Choose a point $\tilde{z}$ lying over z in the total space of ξ . Any loop α in (M, z) lifts to a path in the total space of ξ beginning in $\tilde{z}$ and ending in $g\tilde{z}$ with $g = g(\alpha) \in \pi$. The monodromy of ξ is then the group homomorphism $\pi_1(M, z) \rightarrow \pi$ defined by $[\alpha] \mapsto g(\alpha)$. A different choice of $\tilde{z}$ leads to a conjugated homomorphism. If M is connected then we obtain thus a bijective correspondence between the isomorphism classes of principal π -bundles over M and the group homomorphisms $\pi_1(M, z) \rightarrow \pi$ considered up to conjugation. The monodromies of ξ at two points $y, z \in M$ and the isomorphism $\pi_1(M, y) \rightarrow \pi_1(M, z)$ induced by any path connecting y to z form a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_1(M, y) & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(M, z) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \pi & \xlongequal{\quad} & \pi \end{array}$$

commutative up to conjugation in π .

By a *π -manifold* we mean a pair (M, ξ) where M is a manifold and ξ is a principal π -bundle over M . We say that a π -manifold (M, ξ) is *m -dimensional* (resp. closed, connected, oriented, etc.) if M is *m -dimensional* (resp. closed, connected, oriented, etc.). A *homeomorphism of π -manifolds* $(M, \xi) \rightarrow (M', \xi')$ is a homeomorphism of the total spaces of ξ, ξ' commuting with the action of π . Such a homeomorphism induces a homeomorphism $M \rightarrow M'$. We will work in the category of oriented manifolds and consider only homeomorphisms of π -manifolds $(M, \xi) \rightarrow (M', \xi')$ inducing orientation preserving homeomorphisms $M \rightarrow M'$.

7.2. Invariant $\tau_{\mathcal{C}}$ of 3-dimensional π -manifolds. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a modular crossed π -category over a commutative unital ring K . We shall derive from $\mathcal{C}$ a homeomor-

phism invariant $\tau_{\mathcal{C}}$ of closed oriented 3-dimensional π -manifolds. Let $L = \oplus_{\alpha \in \pi} L_{\alpha}$ be the algebra of colors of $\mathcal{C}$ and let $\mathcal{D}, \Delta_{\pm}$ be the elements of K^* associated with $\mathcal{C}$ in Section 6.7.

Let (M, ξ) be a closed connected oriented 3-dimensional π -manifold. Present M as the result of surgery on S^3 along a framed link ℓ with $\#\ell$ components. Recall that M is obtained by gluing $\#\ell$ solid tori to the exterior $E = E_{\ell}$ of ℓ . Take any point $z \in E \subset M$. The inclusion $E \subset M$ induces an epimorphism $\pi_1(C_{\ell}, z) = \pi_1(E, z) \rightarrow \pi_1(M, z)$ where $C_{\ell} = S^3 \setminus \ell$. Composing it with a homomorphism $\pi_1(M, z) \rightarrow \pi$ representing the monodromy of ξ at z we obtain a group homomorphism, $g : \pi_1(C_{\ell}, z) \rightarrow \pi$. We fix an arbitrary orientation of ℓ . The triple (ℓ, z, g) is thus a π -link. Clearly, it is a special π -link in the sense of Section 5.4. We provide (ℓ, z, g) with the following (generalized) coloring. To every path $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow C_{\ell}$ with $\gamma(0) = z, \gamma(1) \in \tilde{\ell}$, we assign $\omega_{g(\mu_{\gamma})} \in L_{g(\mu_{\gamma})}$. By (6.6.c), this satisfies conditions (i), (ii) of Section 5.4 and defines a *canonical coloring* of (ℓ, z, g) . Denote the resulting colored π -link by ℓ_{can} . Set

$$(7.2.a) \quad \tau_{\mathcal{C}}(M, \xi) = \Delta_{-}^{\sigma(\ell)} \mathcal{D}^{-\sigma(\ell) - \#\ell - 1} F(\ell_{can}) \in K$$

where $\sigma(\ell)$ is the signature of the compact oriented 4-manifold W_{ℓ} bounded by M and obtained from the 4-ball B^4 by attaching n 2-handles along tubular neighborhoods of the components of ℓ in $S^3 = \partial B^4$. Here the orientation of W_{ℓ} is induced by the one of M . We use the “outward vector first” convention for the induced orientation: at any point of $M = \partial W_{\ell}$ the orientation of W_{ℓ} is determined by the tuple (a tangent vector directed outwards, a positive basis in the tangent space of M).

7.3. Theorem. $\tau_{\mathcal{C}}(M, \xi)$ is a homeomorphism invariant of (M, ξ) .

Proof. We should prove that $\tau_{\mathcal{C}}(M, \xi)$ does not depend on the choices made in its definition. Under the conjugation of the monodromy $\pi_1(M, z) \rightarrow \pi$ by an element $\alpha \in \pi$, the homomorphism g is replaced with $\alpha g \alpha^{-1}$. It follows from (6.6.c) that the colored π -links ℓ_{can} corresponding to g and $\alpha g \alpha^{-1}$ are related by the transformation φ_{α} defined in the proof of Lemma 3.4.1. By Theorem 3.6 and (3.5.(v)), the corresponding values $F(\ell_{can}) \in \text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{I}) = K$ are also related via φ_{α} . Since φ_{α} acts in $\text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{I})$ as the identity, $F(\ell_{can})$ does not depend on the choice of monodromy in its conjugacy class.

The independence of the choice of z follows from the invariance of $F(\ell_{can})$ under transfers described in Section 3.1.

Let ℓ' be obtained from ℓ by reversing the orientation on one of the components. By (6.6.b), ℓ_{can} and ℓ'_{can} are related by the transformation described in Section 4.7.2 and therefore $F(\ell_{can}) = F(\ell'_{can})$. Therefore $\tau_{\mathcal{C}}(M, \xi)$ does not depend on the choice of orientation on ℓ .

To prove the independence of the choice of ℓ we use the Kirby theory of moves on framed links. It is shown in [Ki] that any two framed links in S^3 yielding

after surgery homeomorphic 3-manifolds can be related by certain transformations called Kirby moves. There are moves of two kinds. The first move adds to a framed link $\ell \subset S^3$ a distant unknot $\ell^\pm$ with framing ± 1 ; under this move the 4-manifold W_ℓ is transformed into its connected sum with CP^2 . The second move preserves W_ℓ and is induced by a sliding of a 2-handle of W_ℓ across another 2-handle. We need a more precise version of this theory. For a framed link $\ell \subset S^3$, denote the result of surgery on ℓ by M_ℓ . A *surgery presentation* of a closed connected oriented 3-manifold M is a pair (a framed link $\ell \subset S^3$, an isotopy class of degree +1 homeomorphisms $f : M \rightarrow M_\ell$). Note that any framing preserving isotopy of ℓ onto itself induces a homeomorphism $j_0 : M_\ell \rightarrow M_\ell$. Clearly, $(\ell, j_0 f)$ is a surgery presentation of M ; we say that it is obtained from (ℓ, f) by isotopy. The first Kirby move $\ell \mapsto \ell' = \ell \amalg \ell^\pm$ induces a homeomorphism $j_1 : M_\ell \rightarrow M_{\ell'}$ which is the identity outside a small 3-ball containing $\ell^\pm$. The second Kirby move $\ell \mapsto \ell'$ induces a diffeomorphism $W_\ell \rightarrow W_{\ell'}$ which restricts to a homeomorphism $j_2 : M_\ell \rightarrow M_{\ell'}$. In both cases we say that the surgery presentation $(\ell', j_k f : M \rightarrow M_{\ell'})$ (where $k = 1, 2$) is obtained from $(\ell, f : M \rightarrow M_\ell)$ by the k -th Kirby move. The arguments in [Ki, Section 2] show that for any surgery presentations $(\ell_1, f_1 : M_1 \rightarrow M_{\ell_1})$ and $(\ell_2, f_2 : M_2 \rightarrow M_{\ell_2})$ of closed connected oriented 3-manifolds M_1, M_2 and for any isotopy class of degree +1 homeomorphisms $f : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ there is a sequence of Kirby moves and isotopies transforming (ℓ_1, f_1) into $(\ell_2, f_2 f)$.

The result M_ℓ of surgery on a special π -link $\ell \subset S^3$ is a π -manifold in the obvious way. Any Kirby move on a special π -link $\ell \subset S^3$ yields a special π -link $\ell' \subset S^3$ where the homomorphism $\pi_1(C_{\ell'}) \rightarrow \pi$ is the composition of the inclusion homomorphism $\pi_1(C_{\ell'}) \rightarrow \pi_1(M_{\ell'})$, the isomorphism $\pi_1(M_{\ell'}) = \pi_1(M_\ell)$ induced by the homeomorphism $M_\ell \rightarrow M_{\ell'}$ mentioned above and the homomorphism $\pi_1(M_\ell) \rightarrow \pi$ induced by the given homomorphism $\pi_1(C_\ell) \rightarrow \pi$. The results of the previous paragraph imply that if two special π -links in S^3 yield after surgery homeomorphic π -manifolds then these π -links can be related by a finite sequence of Kirby moves and isotopies.

It is clear that $\tau_C(M, \xi)$ is invariant under isotopies on ℓ . To prove the theorem it is thus enough to show that $\tau_C(M, \xi)$ is invariant under the Kirby moves on ℓ . We begin with the first Kirby move $\ell \mapsto \ell' = \ell \amalg \ell^\pm$. The meridian of the unknot $\ell^\pm$ is contractible in M and therefore the π -link ℓ' is a disjoint union of ℓ and the framed unknot $\ell^\pm$ endowed with the trivial homomorphism to π . The colored π -link ℓ'_{can} is a disjoint union of ℓ_{can} and the framed unknot $\ell_{can}^\pm$ endowed with the trivial homomorphism to π and the color $\omega_1 \in L_1$. We have

$$F(\ell'_{can}) = F(\ell_{can}^\pm) F(\ell_{can}) = \Delta_\pm F(\ell_{can}).$$

Therefore the invariance of $\tau_C(M, \xi)$ under the first Kirby move follows from the formulas $\#(\ell') = \#\ell + 1$, $\sigma(\ell') = \sigma(\ell) \pm 1$, $\Delta_+ \Delta_- = \mathcal{D}^2$.

We consider the second Kirby moves in the restricted form studied by Fenn and Rourke, [FR1]. The Kirby-Fenn-Rourke moves split into positive and negative ones. It is explained in [RT] that (modulo the first Kirby moves) it is enough to consider only one of these families. Consider for concreteness a negative Kirby-Fenn-Rourke move $\ell \mapsto \ell'$. It replaces a piece T of ℓ lying in a ball by another

piece T' lying in the same ball and having the same endpoints. Here T is a system of parallel strings with parallel framing and T' is obtained from T by applying a full left-hand twist and adding an unknotted component t which encircles T and has framing -1 . Note that $\# \ell' = \# \ell + 1$ and $\sigma(\ell') = \sigma(\ell) - 1$. We need to prove that $F(\ell'_{can}) = \Delta_- F(\ell_{can})$. This equality follows from a “local” equality involving only T and T' . To formulate this local equality we first position T as a trivial braid in $\mathbf{R}^2 \times [0, 1]$ with constant framing. The framed tangle $T' = T \cup t \subset \mathbf{R}^2 \times [0, 1]$ is obtained from T as explained above. We orient T and t . Note that $C_T = (\mathbf{R}^2 \times [0, 1]) \setminus T$ is obtained from $C_{T'} = (\mathbf{R}^2 \times [0, 1]) \setminus T'$ by the surgery on t . Therefore any group homomorphism $g : \pi_1(C_T) \rightarrow \pi$ induces a group homomorphism $g' : \pi_1(C_{T'}) \rightarrow \pi$ such that g' maps the homotopy class of the (-1) -longitude of t into $1 \in \pi$. Any coloring u of (T, g) induces a unique coloring u' of (T', g') such that the sources and targets of T and T' coincide and the component t of T' has the canonical color. The local equality mentioned above says that for any orientation of $T \cup t$, any group homomorphism $g : \pi_1(C_T) \rightarrow \pi$, and any coloring u of (T, g) , we have

$$(7.3.a) \quad F(T', g', u') = \Delta_- F(T, g, u).$$

Let us prove this formula. Let $(\varepsilon_1, \alpha_1, U_1), \dots, (\varepsilon_k, \alpha_k, U_k)$ be the source of T . Using the standard technique of coupons colored with identity morphisms we can reduce the general case to the case where T consists of only one string oriented from top to bottom and colored with object $\otimes_{r=1}^k (U_r)^{\varepsilon_r} \in \mathcal{C}$. Using a decomposition of the identity endomorphism of this object provided by axiom (6.1.3), we can further reduce ourselves to the case where the string T is colored with a simple object of $\mathcal{C}$. Thus we can assume that T is a string oriented from top to bottom and the source and target of T (and T') are a 1-term sequence $(+, \alpha, V)$ where $\alpha \in \pi$ and V is a simple object of $\mathcal{C}_\alpha$. By the argument used above, the invariant $F(T', g', u')$ does not change if we invert the orientation of t . Therefore we can assume that t is oriented so that its linking number with T equals -1 . Let us denote the colored π -tangles T, T' by T_V, T'_V . Clearly, $F(T_V) = \text{id}_V$. Since the object V is simple, $F(T'_V) = k \text{id}_V$ with $k \in K$. We have to prove only that $k = \Delta_-$. To this end we close T'_V into a colored 2-component π -link $\hat{T}'_V$. As in the standard theory (cf. [Tu2, Corollary I.2.7.1]),

$$F(\hat{T}'_V) = \text{tr}(F(T'_V)) = \text{tr}(k \text{id}_V) = k \text{tr}(\text{id}_V) = k \dim(V).$$

On the other hand, the link $\hat{T}'_V$ is obtained by doubling described in Section 4.7.3 from an unknot $\ell^- \subset S^3$ endowed with framing -1 , trivial homomorphism $\pi_1(C_{\ell^-}) \rightarrow 1 \subset \pi$ and color $\omega_{\alpha^{-1}} V \in L_1$. By Lemma 6.6.1 and the results of Section 6.7 the invariant F of this colored π -unknot $(\ell^-, \omega_{\alpha^{-1}} V)$ is computed by

$$F(\ell^-, \omega_{\alpha^{-1}} V) = F(\ell^-, \dim(V) \omega_1) = \dim(V) F(\ell^-, \omega_1) = \dim(V) \Delta_-.$$

Since F is preserved under the doubling, we have

$$F(\hat{T}'_V) = F(\ell^-, \omega_{\alpha^{-1}} V) = \dim(V) \Delta_- = \Delta_- \dim(V).$$

Comparing these two computations of $F(\hat{T}'_V)$ and using Lemma 6.5, we obtain $k = \Delta_-$.

7.4. Computations and remarks. 1. The 3-sphere S^3 is simply connected and therefore admits a unique structure of a π -manifold. Presenting S^3 as the result of surgery on S^3 along an empty link we obtain $\tau_{\mathcal{C}}(S^3) = \mathcal{D}^{-1}$.

2. For each $\alpha \in \pi$, there is a unique π -structure ξ_α on $S^1 \times S^2$ whose monodromy along $S^1 \times pt$ equals α . We prove in Section 7.7 that

$$(7.4.a) \quad \tau_{\mathcal{C}}(S^1 \times S^2, \xi_\alpha) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if the category } \mathcal{C}_\alpha \text{ is void,} \\ 1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

3. Formula (7.2.a) can be rewritten in a more symmetric form:

$$\tau_{\mathcal{C}}(M, \xi) = \mathcal{D}^{-b_1(M)-1} \Delta_-^{-\sigma_-} \Delta_+^{-\sigma_+} F(\ell_{can})$$

where $b_1(M) = \# \ell - \sigma_+ - \sigma_-$ is the first Betti number of M and σ_+ (resp. σ_-) is the number of positive (resp. negative) squares in the diagonal decomposition of the intersection form $H_2(W_\ell) \times H_2(W_\ell) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$. This shows that the invariant

$$\tau'_{\mathcal{C}}(M, \xi) = \Delta_-^{-\sigma_-} \Delta_+^{-\sigma_+} F(\ell_{can}) = \mathcal{D}^{b_1(M)+1} \tau_{\mathcal{C}}(M, \xi)$$

does not depend on the choice of $\mathcal{D}$. Note that $\tau'_{\mathcal{C}}(M, \xi)$ is defined for a wider class of ribbon crossed π -categories $\mathcal{C}$ satisfying (6.1.1)-(6.1.4) and such that $\Delta_+, \Delta_- \in K^*$. The latter condition is a weakened form of (6.1.5): it follows from (6.1.1)-(6.1.5) but in general does not imply (6.1.5). However, the invertibility of the matrix S is needed for the construction of a TQFT.

4. It is easy to deduce from definitions that the invariant $\mathcal{D} \tau_{\mathcal{C}}$ is multiplicative with respect to the connected sum of π -manifolds. In other words, for closed connected oriented 3-dimensional π -manifolds M, N

$$\tau_{\mathcal{C}}(M \# N) = \mathcal{D} \tau_{\mathcal{C}}(M) \tau_{\mathcal{C}}(N)$$

where the structure of a π -manifold on $M \# N$ is defined so that its monodromy extends the monodromies of M and N .

5. We extend $\tau_{\mathcal{C}}(M, \xi)$ to non-connected closed oriented 3-dimensional π -manifolds by multiplicativity so that $\tau_{\mathcal{C}}(M \amalg N, \xi) = \tau_{\mathcal{C}}(M, \xi|_M) \tau_{\mathcal{C}}(N, \xi|_N)$.

6. Let $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{V}(a)$ be the modular $(\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z})$ -category associated with a primitive complex root of unity a of order $4r$ with odd $r \geq 3$ discussed in Section 6.8. The principle $\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}$ -bundles over M are numerated by elements $\xi \in H^1(M; \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z})$. The corresponding invariants $\tau_{\mathcal{C}}(M, \xi)$ were first introduced in [Bl], [KM], [Tu1]. The invariant $\tau_{\mathcal{C}}(M, \xi)$ corresponding to $\xi = 0 \in H^1(M; \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z})$ is called the quantum $SO(3)$ -invariant of M . This example suggests that there should be similar categorical structures yielding invariants of spin 3-manifolds or more generally 3-manifolds endowed with principal bundles over their spaces of tangent frames. The author hopes to consider this elsewhere.

7.5. Extended π -manifolds. The invariant $\tau_{\mathcal{C}}$ defined above can be generalized to 3-manifolds with partial π -structure, i.e., with a principal π -bundle on the complement of a framed oriented link or more generally on the complement of a ribbon graph. This graph should be colored over $\mathcal{C}$. We consider here only 3-manifolds without boundary and ribbon graphs without inputs or outputs, the manifolds with boundary will be discussed in Section 10. We proceed to precise definitions.

Let M be a closed connected oriented 3-manifold. A *ribbon graph* in M consists of a finite number of framed oriented embedded arcs, circles and coupons which are disjoint, except that the endpoints of the arcs lie on the bases of the coupons, and the framing satisfies the same conditions as in Section 4.1. Since M is connected, the complement of a ribbon graph in M is connected. A π -graph in M is a ribbon graph $\Omega \subset M$ whose complement is endowed with a base point $z \in M \setminus \Omega$ and a homomorphism $\pi_1(M \setminus \Omega, z) \rightarrow \pi$. This data determines a principal π -bundle over $M \setminus \Omega$ which may extend or not to M . We shall use the language of monodromies rather than principal bundles; that is why we pay attention to the base points. Note that a π -graph without coupons is a π -link as defined in Section 3.1.

Fix a modular crossed π -category $\mathcal{C}$. A π -graph in M is *colored* (over $\mathcal{C}$) if it is equipped with two functions u, v satisfying the same conditions as in Section 4.1. The notion of an ambient isotopy in M applies to π -graphs and colored π -graphs in M in the obvious way. This allows us to consider the (ambient) isotopy classes of such graphs. As in Section 3.1, we can transfer the structure of a colored π -graph Ω along paths in $M \setminus \Omega$ relating various base points. The transfers preserve the ambient isotopy class of a colored π -graph.

A pair consisting of a closed connected oriented 3-manifold M and a colored π -graph in M is called a *connected 3-dimensional extended π -manifold* (without boundary). Let (M, Ω) , (M', Ω') be two connected 3-dimensional extended π -manifolds without boundary. Here $\Omega = (\Omega \subset M, z, g : \pi_1(M \setminus \Omega, z) \rightarrow \pi, u, v)$ is a colored π -graph in M and $\Omega' = (\Omega' \subset M', z', g' : \pi_1(M' \setminus \Omega', z') \rightarrow \pi, u', v')$ is a colored π -graph in M' . By an *e-homeomorphism* $(M, \Omega) \rightarrow (M', \Omega')$ we mean a degree +1 homeomorphism of triples $f : (M, \Omega, z) \rightarrow (M', \Omega', z')$ preserving the framing, the orientation and the splitting of Ω, Ω' into strata and such that $g' f_{\#} = g : \pi_1(M \setminus \Omega, z) \rightarrow \pi$ and for any path γ in $M \setminus \Omega$ leading from z to an arc or a circle of $\tilde{\Omega}$ (resp. a coupon of $\tilde{\Omega}$) we have $u'_{f \circ \gamma} = u_{\gamma}$ (resp. $v'_{f \circ \gamma} = v_{\gamma}$). In particular, if $M' = M$ and Ω' is obtained from Ω via an ambient isotopy $\{f_t : M \rightarrow M\}_{t \in [0,1]}$ (where $f_0 = \text{id}_M$) then f_1 is an *e-homeomorphism* $(M, \Omega) \rightarrow (M', \Omega')$.

The invariant $\tau_{\mathcal{C}}$ generalizes to extended π -manifolds as follows. Let $\Omega = (\Omega, z, g : \pi_1(M \setminus \Omega, z) \rightarrow \pi, u, v)$ be a colored π -graph in a closed connected oriented 3-manifold M . Present M as the result of surgery on S^3 along a framed link ℓ . As above, M is obtained by gluing $\# \ell$ solid tori to the exterior E of ℓ in S^3 . Applying isotopy to $\Omega \subset M$ we can deform it into $E \subset M$. Similarly, we can push the base point $z \in M \setminus \Omega$ into E . Thus, we may assume that $\Omega \subset E$ and $z \in E$. The inclusion $E \subset M$ induces an epimorphism $\pi_1(S^3 \setminus (\ell \cup \Omega), z) = \pi_1(E \setminus \Omega, z) \rightarrow \pi_1(M \setminus \Omega, z)$. Composing this with g we obtain a homomorphism, $\tilde{g} : \pi_1(S^3 \setminus (\ell \cup \Omega), z) \rightarrow \pi$. Fix an arbitrary orientation of ℓ . The triple $(\ell \cup \Omega, z, \tilde{g})$

is thus a π -graph in S^3 . We equip ℓ with the canonical color as in Section 7.2 and keep the given coloring of Ω . (By the inclusion $E \subset M$, any path in E is also a path in M .) Denote the resulting colored π -graph in S^3 by $\ell_{can} \cup \Omega$. Set

$$(7.5.a) \quad \tau_C(M, \Omega) = \Delta_-^{\sigma(\ell)} \mathcal{D}^{-\sigma(\ell) - \# \ell - 1} F(\ell_{can} \cup \Omega) \in K.$$

7.6. Theorem. *Let Ω be a colored π -graph in a closed connected oriented 3-manifold M . Then $\tau_C(M, \Omega)$ does not depend on the choices made in its definition and is an e -homeomorphism invariant of the pair (M, Ω) .*

Theorem 7.6 includes Theorem 7.3 as a special case $\Omega = \emptyset$. In this case the homomorphism $g : \pi_1(M \setminus \Omega, z) = \pi_1(M, z) \rightarrow \pi$ provides M with a structure, ξ , of a π -manifold and $\tau_C(M, \Omega) = \tau_C(M, \xi)$. Theorem 7.6 implies that $\tau_C(M, \Omega)$ is invariant under ambient isotopies of Ω . In particular, $\tau_C(M, \Omega)$ is invariant under transfers of the base point.

The proof of Theorem 7.6 reproduces the proof of Theorem 7.3 with natural changes (cf. [Tu2, Section II.3]).

Theorem 7.6 implies that $\tau_C(M, \Omega)$ is an isotopy invariant of Ω . Restricting ourselves to colored π -graphs consisting of circles we obtain an isotopy invariant of colored π -links in M .

If $M = S^3$ then $\tau_C(M, \Omega) = \mathcal{D}^{-1} F(\Omega)$ (we may take $\ell = \emptyset$ to compute $\tau_C(S^3, \Omega)$). The invariant $\tau_C(M, \Omega)$ satisfies the following multiplicativity law:

$$(7.6.a) \quad \tau_C(M_1 \# M_2, \Omega_1 \amalg \Omega_2) = \mathcal{D} \tau_C(M_1, \Omega_1) \tau_C(M_2, \Omega_2)$$

where Ω_1, Ω_2 are colored π -graphs in closed connected oriented 3-manifolds M_1, M_2 respectively. The properties of the invariant F of colored π -graphs in S^3 established in Section 4.7 generalize in the obvious way to colored π -graphs in closed 3-manifolds.

Taking disjoint unions of connected extended π -manifolds we can obtain non-connected extended π -manifolds. The invariant τ_C extends to them by multiplicativity.

7.7. Proof of (7.4.a). Set

$$d_\alpha = \dim(\omega_\alpha) = \sum_{i \in I_\alpha} (\dim(V_i^\alpha))^2 \in K$$

where I_α is the set of isomorphism classes of simple objects in $\mathcal{C}_\alpha$ and V_i^α is a simple object of $\mathcal{C}_\alpha$ representing $i \in I_\alpha$. We can obtain $(S^1 \times S^2, \xi_\alpha)$ by surgery on S^3 along a π -unknot ℓ with zero framing and with homomorphism $\pi_1(C_\ell) \rightarrow \pi$ sending a meridian of ℓ into $\alpha \in \pi$. Clearly, $\sigma(\ell) = 0$. By definition,

$$\tau_C(S^1 \times S^2, \xi_\alpha) = \mathcal{D}^{-2} F(\ell_{can}) = \mathcal{D}^{-2} d_\alpha.$$

If the category $\mathcal{C}_\alpha$ is void then $\tau_{\mathcal{C}}(S^1 \times S^2, \xi_\alpha) = d_\alpha = 0$. Assume that $\mathcal{C}_\alpha$ is non-void. It suffices to prove that $d_\alpha = \mathcal{D}^2$.

By the assumption and axiom (6.1.3), the category $\mathcal{C}_\alpha$ has at least one simple object. Choose a simple object $U \in \mathcal{C}_\alpha$. Consider a link diagram in $\mathbf{R}^2$ obtained as disjoint union of two small embedded circles ℓ_1, ℓ_2 equipped with clockwise orientation. We label ℓ_1, ℓ_2 with (α, U) and (β, ω_β) , respectively, where $\beta \in \pi$. This determines a special colored π -link in S^3 . Apply the surgery on S^3 along ℓ_2 . Then ℓ_1 represents a colored π -knot (in fact an unknot), $\Omega = \Omega(\alpha, \beta, U)$, in the result of the surgery $S^1 \times S^2$. It follows from definitions (using multiplicativity of F with respect to disjoint union) that

$$\tau_{\mathcal{C}}(S^1 \times S^2, \Omega) = \mathcal{D}^{-2} \dim(U) d_\beta.$$

This formula follows also from (7.6.a) if we observe that $(S^1 \times S^2, \Omega)$ is a connected sum of the π -manifold $(S^1 \times S^2, \xi_\beta)$ and the extended π -manifold (S^3, ℓ_1) . Observe now that the extended π -manifolds $(S^1 \times S^2, \Omega(\alpha, \beta, U))$ and $(S^1 \times S^2, \Omega(\alpha, \beta\alpha, U))$ are e -homeomorphic. To see this, we identify Ω with the equatorial circle in the 2-sphere $pt \times S^2 \subset S^1 \times S^2$. This circle splits $pt \times S^2$ into two half-discs whose regular neighborhoods are 3-balls, containing Ω . These two 3-balls give rise to two splittings of $(S^1 \times S^2, \Omega)$ into connected sum

$$(S^1 \times S^2, \Omega) = (S^1 \times S^2, \xi_\beta) \# (S^3, \ell_1)$$

and

$$(S^1 \times S^2, \Omega) = (S^1 \times S^2, \xi_{\beta\alpha}) \# (S^3, \ell_1).$$

Hence $(S^1 \times S^2, \Omega(\alpha, \beta, U))$ and $(S^1 \times S^2, \Omega(\alpha, \beta\alpha, U))$ are e -homeomorphic. This implies

$$\mathcal{D}^{-2} \dim(U) d_\beta = \mathcal{D}^{-2} \dim(U) d_{\beta\alpha}.$$

Since $\dim(U) \in K^*$, we obtain $d_\beta = d_{\beta\alpha}$. For $\beta = 1$, this gives $d_\alpha = d_1 = \mathcal{D}^2$.

7.8. Remark. The argument in Section 7.7 shows that the set of $\alpha \in \pi$ such that $\mathcal{C}_\alpha \neq \emptyset$ is a subgroup of π (in fact a normal subgroup).

8. A 2-dimensional homotopy modular functor

A 3-dimensional topological quantum field theory (TQFT) derived from a modular category comprises two ingredients: a modular functor assigning K -modules (called modules of conformal blocks) to surfaces and an invariant of 3-dimensional cobordisms. The surfaces in this theory have a certain additional structure consisting of a finite family of marked points and a Lagrangian space in real 1-dimensional homology, such surfaces are said to be *extended*. It turns out that a modular crossed π -category gives rise to a homotopy quantum field theory (HQFT) for π -surfaces and π -cobordisms. This has two ingredients: a homotopy modular functor

assigning K -modules to extended π -surfaces and an invariant of 3-dimensional π -cobordisms. In this section we discuss the homotopy modular functor. In the case $\pi = 1$ we recover the standard theory.

8.1. Preliminaries. We shall use the language of pointed homotopy theory. A topological space is *pointed* if all its connected components are provided with base points. A map between pointed spaces is a continuous map sending base points into base points and considered up to homotopy constant on the base points.

We fix an Eilenberg-MacLane space $X = K(\pi, 1)$ associated with π and a base point $x \in X$. We assume that X is a CW-space. Note that maps from a pointed connected CW-complex Y into X bijectively correspond to group homomorphisms $\pi_1(Y) \rightarrow \pi = \pi_1(X, x)$. The language of maps to X is essentially equivalent to but slightly more convenient than the language of group homomorphisms into π because it allows to treat connected and non-connected Y on the same footing.

We fix a modular crossed π -category $\mathcal{C}$.

8.2. Extended π -surfaces. We first define extended π -surfaces without marks. An *extended π -surface without marks* is a pointed closed oriented surface Υ endowed with a map $\Upsilon \rightarrow X = K(\pi, 1)$ and with a Lagrangian space $\lambda \subset H_1(\Upsilon; \mathbf{R})$. According to our conventions, the map $\Upsilon \rightarrow X$ sends the base points of all the components of Υ into $x \in X$ and is considered up to homotopy constant on the base points. Recall that a Lagrangian space in $H_1(\Upsilon; \mathbf{R})$ is a linear subspace of maximal dimension (equal to $\frac{1}{2}\dim H_1(\Upsilon; \mathbf{R})$) on which the homological intersection form $H_1(\Upsilon; \mathbf{R}) \times H_1(\Upsilon; \mathbf{R}) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is zero.

Now we define more general extended π -surfaces with marks. Let Υ be a pointed closed oriented surface. A point $p \in \Upsilon$ is *marked* if it is equipped with a sign $\varepsilon_p = \pm 1$ and a tangent direction, i.e., a ray $\mathbf{R}_+ v$ where v is a non-zero tangent vector at p . A *marking* of Υ is a finite (possibly void) set of distinct marked points $P \subset \Upsilon$ disjoint from the base points (of the components) of Υ . Pushing slightly a marked point $p \in P$ in the given tangent direction we obtain another point $\tilde{p} \in \Upsilon$ which in analogy with knot theory can be viewed as a “longitude” of p . Set $\tilde{P} = \cup_{p \in P} \tilde{p} \subset \Upsilon \setminus P$. Clearly, $\text{card}(\tilde{P}) = \text{card}(P)$. For a path $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \Upsilon \setminus P$ connecting a point $z = \gamma(0)$ to $\tilde{p} \in \tilde{P}$, denote by $\mu_\gamma \in \pi_1(\Upsilon \setminus P, z)$ the homotopy class of the loop $(\gamma m_p \gamma^{-1})^{\varepsilon_p} = \gamma m_p^{\varepsilon_p} \gamma^{-1}$, where m_p is a small loop beginning and ending in $\tilde{p}$ and encircling the point $p \in P$ in the clockwise direction. (The clockwise direction is opposite to the one induced by the orientation of Υ .)

A π -*marking* of Υ is a marking $P \subset \Upsilon$ endowed with a map $g : \Upsilon \setminus P \rightarrow X = K(\pi, 1)$ sending the base points of Υ into $x \in X$ and considered up to homotopy constant on the base points. A π -marking $P \subset \Upsilon$ is said to be *colored* if it is equipped with a function u which assigns to every path $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \Upsilon \setminus P$ leading from a base point, $z \in \Upsilon$, to $\tilde{P}$ an object $u_\gamma \in \mathcal{C}$ such that

- (i) u_γ is preserved under homotopies of γ in $\Upsilon \setminus P$ fixing $\gamma(0), \gamma(1)$;
- (ii) $u_\gamma \in \mathcal{C}_{g_\#(\mu_\gamma)}$ where $g_\# : \pi_1(\Upsilon \setminus P, z) \rightarrow \pi = \pi_1(X, x)$ is the homomorphism induced by g ;

(iii) if β is a loop in $(\Upsilon \setminus P, z)$, then $u_{\beta\gamma} = \varphi_{g\#([\beta])}(u_\gamma)$.

An *extended π -surface* comprises a pointed closed oriented surface Υ , a colored π -marking $P \subset \Upsilon$, and a Lagrangian space $\lambda = \lambda(\Upsilon) \subset H_1(\Upsilon; \mathbf{R})$. In the case $P = \emptyset$ we obtain an extended π -surface without marks as above. A disjoint union of a finite number of extended π -surfaces is an extended π -surface in the obvious way. The empty set is considered as an empty extended π -surface.

A *weak e -homeomorphism* of extended π -surfaces $(\Upsilon, P, g, u, \lambda) \rightarrow (\Upsilon', P', g', u', \lambda')$ is a degree +1 homeomorphism of pairs $f : (\Upsilon, P) \rightarrow (\Upsilon', P')$ such that

- f preserves the base points, the signs of the marked points and their tangent directions;
- $g'f = g : \Upsilon \setminus P \rightarrow X$ and for any path γ in $\Upsilon \setminus P$ leading from a base point to $\tilde{P}$ we have $u'_{f \circ \gamma} = u_\gamma$.

A weak e -homeomorphism f as above is called an *e -homeomorphism* if the induced isomorphism $f_* : H_1(\Upsilon; \mathbf{R}) \rightarrow H_1(\Upsilon'; \mathbf{R})$ maps λ onto λ' .

For any extended π -surface Υ , the opposite e -surface $-\Upsilon$ is obtained from Υ by reversing the orientation of Υ and multiplying the signs of all the marked points by -1 while keeping the rest of the data. Clearly, $-(-\Upsilon) = \Upsilon$. The transformation $\Upsilon \mapsto -\Upsilon$ is natural in the sense that any (weak) e -homeomorphism $f : \Upsilon \rightarrow \Upsilon'$ gives rise to a (weak) e -homeomorphism $-f : -\Upsilon \rightarrow -\Upsilon'$ which coincides with f as a mapping.

8.3. Homotopy modular functor. The modular crossed π -category $\mathcal{C}$ gives rise to a 2-dimensional homotopy modular functor $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{C}}$. This functor assigns

- to each extended π -surface Υ a projective K -module of finite type $\mathcal{T}(\Upsilon)$;
- to each weak e -homeomorphism of extended π -surfaces $f : \Upsilon \rightarrow \Upsilon'$ an isomorphism $f_{\#} : \mathcal{T}(\Upsilon) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}(\Upsilon')$.

A construction of $\mathcal{T}$ will be outlined in Section 10. We state here a few simple properties of $\mathcal{T}$:

(8.3.1) for disjoint extended π -surfaces Υ, Υ' , there is a natural isomorphism $\mathcal{T}(\Upsilon \amalg \Upsilon') = \mathcal{T}(\Upsilon) \otimes_K \mathcal{T}(\Upsilon')$;

(8.3.2) $\mathcal{T}(\emptyset) = K$;

(8.3.3) the isomorphism $f_{\#}$ associated to a weak e -homeomorphism f is invariant under isotopy of f in the class of weak e -homeomorphisms;

(8.3.4) for any weak e -homeomorphisms $f : \Upsilon \rightarrow \Upsilon'$ and $f' : \Upsilon' \rightarrow \Upsilon''$, we have

$$(8.3.a) \quad (f'f)_{\#} = (\mathcal{D}\Delta_{-1})^{\mu(f_*(\lambda(\Upsilon)), \lambda(\Upsilon'), (f')_*^{-1}(\lambda(\Upsilon'')))} f'_{\#} f_{\#}$$

where f_*, f'_* denote the action of f, f' in the real 1-homology and μ denotes the Maslov index of triples of Lagrangian subspaces of $H_1(\Upsilon'; \mathbf{R})$;

(8.3.5) for any extended π -surface Υ , there is a non-degenerate bilinear pairing $d_{\Upsilon} : \mathcal{T}(\Upsilon) \otimes_K \mathcal{T}(-\Upsilon) \rightarrow K$. The pairings $\{d_{\Upsilon}\}_{\Upsilon}$ are natural with respect to weak e -homeomorphisms, multiplicative with respect to disjoint unions, and symmetric in the sense that $d_{-\Upsilon}$ is the composition of d_{Υ} with the standard flip $\mathcal{T}(-\Upsilon) \otimes_K \mathcal{T}(\Upsilon) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}(\Upsilon) \otimes_K \mathcal{T}(-\Upsilon)$.

The pairing d_{Υ} can be used to identify $(\mathcal{T}(\Upsilon))^* = \text{Hom}_K(\mathcal{T}(\Upsilon), K)$ with $\mathcal{T}(-\Upsilon)$.

If two extended π -surfaces Υ, Υ' differ only by the choice of a Lagrangian space in homology then the identity homeomorphism $\text{id} : \Upsilon \rightarrow \Upsilon'$ is a weak e -homeomorphism and defines thus an isomorphism $\mathcal{T}(\Upsilon) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}(\Upsilon')$. This together with (8.3.a) shows that the projective space associated with $\mathcal{T}(\Upsilon)$ does not depend on the choice of $\lambda(\Upsilon)$. Note also that the numerical factor in (8.3.a) equals to 1 if f or f' is an e -homeomorphism: in this case $\mu(f_*(\lambda(\Upsilon)), \lambda(\Upsilon'), (f')_*^{-1}(\lambda(\Upsilon''))) = 0$.

A connected extended π -surface and the corresponding module can be explicitly described (at least up to isomorphism) as follows. Let Υ be a closed connected oriented surface of genus $n \geq 0$ with base point z and $m \geq 0$ marked points $P = \{p_1, \dots, p_m\} \subset \Upsilon \setminus \{z\}$. Let $\varepsilon_r = \pm 1$ be the sign of p_r . For $r = 1, \dots, m$, choose an arc γ_r in $\Upsilon \setminus P$ leading from z to $\tilde{p}_r$. We assume that these m arcs are embedded and disjoint except in their common endpoint z . Recall the homotopy class $\mu_{\gamma_r} \in \pi_1(\Upsilon \setminus P, z)$ of the loop encircling p_r , see Section 8.2. The group $\pi_1(\Upsilon \setminus P, z)$ is known to be generated by $\mu_{\gamma_1}, \dots, \mu_{\gamma_m}$ and $2n$ elements $a_1, b_1, \dots, a_n, b_n$ subject to the only relation

$$(8.3.b) \quad (\mu_{\gamma_1})^{\varepsilon_1} \dots (\mu_{\gamma_m})^{\varepsilon_m} [a_1, b_1] \dots [a_n, b_n] = 1$$

where $[a, b] = aba^{-1}b^{-1}$. Choose $m + 2n$ elements $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m, \alpha_1, \beta_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \beta_n \in \pi$ satisfying

$$(\mu_1)^{\varepsilon_1} \dots (\mu_m)^{\varepsilon_m} [\alpha_1, \beta_1] \dots [\alpha_n, \beta_n] = 1.$$

Then the formulas $\mu_{\gamma_r} \mapsto \mu_r, a_s \mapsto \alpha_s, b_s \mapsto \beta_s$ with $r = 1, \dots, m; s = 1, \dots, n$ define a group homomorphism $\pi_1(\Upsilon \setminus P, z) \rightarrow \pi$ or equivalently a map, $g : \Upsilon \setminus P \rightarrow X$. This makes P a π -marking. For any sequence of objects $\{U_r \in \mathcal{C}_{\mu_r}\}_{r=1}^m$, there is a unique coloring u of P such that $u_{\gamma_r} = U_r$ for all r . The linear subspace λ of $H_1(\Upsilon; \mathbf{R})$ generated by the homology classes of $a_1, \dots, a_n$ is a Lagrangian space. The tuple $(\Upsilon, P, g, u, \lambda)$ is an extended π -surface. Recall the notation $U_r^+ = U_r, U_r^- = U_r^*$. Then

$$(8.3.c) \quad \mathcal{T}(\Upsilon, P, g, u, \lambda)$$

$$= \bigoplus_{i_1 \in I_{\alpha_1}, \dots, i_n \in I_{\alpha_n}} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}} \left(\mathbb{I}, (U_1)^{\varepsilon_1} \otimes \dots \otimes (U_m)^{\varepsilon_m} \otimes \bigotimes_{s=1}^n (V_{i_s}^{\alpha_s} \otimes (\varphi_{\beta_s}(V_{i_s}^{\alpha_s}))^*) \right)$$

where for $\alpha \in \pi$ we denote by I_{α} the set of isomorphism classes of simple objects in the category $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha}$ and denote by $\{V_i^{\alpha}\}_{i \in I_{\alpha}}$ certain representatives of these classes. Note that the group of the isotopy classes of (weak) e -homeomorphisms of $(\Upsilon, P, g, u, \lambda)$ onto itself acts (projectively) on $\mathcal{T}(\Upsilon, P, g, u, \lambda)$.

It is clear that any extended π -surface Υ is weakly e -homeomorphic to an extended π -surface $(\Upsilon, P, g, u, \lambda)$ of the type described in the previous paragraph. Formula (8.3.c) allows thus to compute $\mathcal{T}(\Upsilon)$.

Most properties of the modular functors known for $\pi = 1$ extend to the general case. We leave a detailed discussion to another place. Note only that the splitting formula for the modules of conformal blocks along simple closed curves on surfaces

extends to our setting. Instead of giving a detailed statement we formulate the key algebraic fact underlying this formula.

8.4. Lemma. *Let $\alpha \in \pi$ and $\{V_i^\alpha\}_{i \in I_\alpha}$ be representatives of the isomorphism classes of simple objects in the category $\mathcal{C}_\alpha$. For any objects $V \in \mathcal{C}_\alpha, W \in \mathcal{C}_{\alpha^{-1}}$, there is a canonical isomorphism*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{1}, V \otimes W) = \bigoplus_{i \in I_\alpha} (\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{1}, V \otimes (V_i^\alpha)^*) \otimes_K \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{1}, V_i^\alpha \otimes W)).$$

Proof. This is equivalent to

$$(8.4.a) \quad \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(W^*, V) = \bigoplus_{i \in I_\alpha} (\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(V_i^\alpha, V) \otimes_K \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(W^*, V_i^\alpha)).$$

This isomorphism sends $h \otimes h'$ with $h \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(V_i^\alpha, V), h' \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(W^*, V_i^\alpha)$ into hh' . Equality (8.4.a) involves only morphisms in the category $\mathcal{C}_\alpha$ and follows from axiom (6.1.3), cf. [Tu2, Lemma II.4.2.2].

8.5. Action of π . There is a canonical left action of π on extended π -surfaces and (weak) e -homeomorphisms. The action of $\alpha \in \pi$ transforms an extended π -surface $\Upsilon = (\Upsilon, P, g, u, \lambda)$ into ${}^\alpha\Upsilon = (\Upsilon, P, \alpha_*g, \varphi_\alpha u, \lambda)$ where $\alpha_* : (X, x) \rightarrow (X, x)$ is the map inducing the conjugation $\beta \mapsto \alpha\beta\alpha^{-1}$ in $\pi_1(X, x) = \pi$ and φ_α is the given action of α on $\mathcal{C}$. For a (weak) e -homeomorphism of extended π -surfaces $f : \Upsilon \rightarrow \Upsilon'$, we define ${}^\alpha f$ as the same map f viewed as a (weak) e -homeomorphism ${}^\alpha\Upsilon \rightarrow {}^\alpha\Upsilon'$.

The modular functor $\mathcal{T}$ can be enriched as follows: for every extended π -surface Υ and each $\alpha \in \pi$ there is a canonical isomorphism $\alpha_* : \mathcal{T}(\Upsilon) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}({}^\alpha\Upsilon)$, see Section 10.3. For $\alpha, \beta \in \pi$ we have $(\alpha\beta)_* = \alpha_*\beta_*$. For a weak e -homeomorphism of extended π -surfaces $f : \Upsilon \rightarrow \Upsilon'$, we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{T}(\Upsilon) & \xrightarrow{f_\#} & \mathcal{T}(\Upsilon') \\ \alpha_* \downarrow & & \downarrow \alpha_* \\ \mathcal{T}({}^\alpha\Upsilon) & \xrightarrow{({}^\alpha f)_\#} & \mathcal{T}({}^\alpha\Upsilon'). \end{array}$$

8.6. Computations on the torus. Consider formula (8.3.c) in the case where Υ is a torus without marked points, i.e., $m = 0, n = 1$. The group $\pi_1(\Upsilon, z)$ is generated by two elements a, b subject to $[a, b] = 1$. A map $g : \Upsilon \rightarrow X$ is determined by $g_\#(a) = \alpha, g_\#(b) = \beta$ where α, β are commuting elements of π . The 1-dimensional subspace λ of $H_1(\Upsilon; \mathbf{R})$ generated by the homology class of a is a Lagrangian space. By (8.3.c),

$$\mathcal{T}(\Upsilon, g, \lambda) = \bigoplus_{i \in I_\alpha} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{1}, V_i^\alpha \otimes (\varphi_\beta(V_i^\alpha))^*) = \bigoplus_{i \in I_\alpha} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\varphi_\beta(V_i^\alpha), V_i^\alpha).$$

Observe that for any simple objects U, U' of $\mathcal{C}$,

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(U, U') = \begin{cases} K, & \text{if } U \text{ is isomorphic to } U', \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Note also that φ_{β} maps $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha}$ into itself and induces a permutation on the set I_{α} . Therefore $\mathcal{T}(\Upsilon, g, \lambda) = K^N$ where $N = \mathrm{card}\{i \in I_{\alpha} \mid \varphi_{\beta}(i) = i\}$. For instance if $\beta = 1$ then $N = \mathrm{card}(I_{\alpha})$. More generally, if (Υ, g, λ) is an extended π -torus without marks such that the image of $g_{\#} : \pi_1(\Upsilon) \rightarrow \pi$ is a cyclic group generated by $\alpha \in \pi$, then

$$\mathcal{T}(\Upsilon, g, \lambda) = K^{\mathrm{card}(I_{\alpha})}.$$

Indeed, we can choose the generators $a, b \in \pi_1(\Upsilon)$ as above so that $\alpha = g_{\#}(a)$, $\beta = g_{\#}(b) = 1$.

Consider now the case where Υ is a torus with one marked point p , i.e., $m = n = 1$. We use the notation of Section 8.3 but omit the index 1 and write $p, \varepsilon, \gamma, a, b, \mu, \alpha, \beta, U$ for $p_1, \varepsilon_1, \gamma_1, a_1, b_1, \mu_1, \alpha_1, \beta_1, U_1$. Thus $P = \{p\}$ and the group $\pi_1(\Upsilon \setminus P, z)$ is generated by three elements μ_{γ}, a, b subject to $(\mu_{\gamma})^{\varepsilon} [a, b] = 1$. The map $g : \Upsilon \setminus P \rightarrow X$ is determined by $g_{\#}(\mu_{\gamma}) = \mu$, $g_{\#}(a) = \alpha$, $g_{\#}(b) = \beta$ where $\mu, \alpha, \beta \in \pi$ satisfy $\mu^{\varepsilon} [\alpha, \beta] = 1$. The coloring u of P is determined by $u_{\gamma} = U$. The 1-dimensional subspace λ of $H_1(\Upsilon; \mathbf{R})$ generated by the homology class of a is a Lagrangian space. Then

$$\mathcal{T}(\Upsilon, P, g, u, \lambda) = \bigoplus_{i \in I_{\alpha}} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{1}, U^{\varepsilon} \otimes V_i^{\alpha} \otimes (\varphi_{\beta}(V_i^{\alpha}))^*).$$

In general, taking different systems of generators (8.3.b) of $\pi_1(\Upsilon \setminus P, z)$ we can obtain different descriptions of one and the same extended π -surface. The modules appearing on the right-hand side of (8.3.c) are then isomorphic. For instance, in the case $m = n = 1$ the identity $[a, b] = [aba^{-1}, a^{-1}]$ implies that the group $\pi_1(\Upsilon \setminus P, z)$ is generated by three elements $\mu_{\gamma}, aba^{-1}, a^{-1}$ subject to $(\mu_{\gamma})^{\varepsilon} [aba^{-1}, a^{-1}] = 1$. The same map $g : \Upsilon \setminus P \rightarrow X$ as above is determined by $g_{\#}(\mu_{\gamma}) = \mu$, $g_{\#}(aba^{-1}) = \alpha\beta\alpha^{-1}$, $g_{\#}(a^{-1}) = \alpha^{-1}$. The same coloring u is determined by $u_{\gamma} = U$. Thus under these two choices of generators of $\pi_1(\Upsilon \setminus P, z)$ we obtain two extended π -surfaces which differ only in the Lagrangian spaces generated by the homology classes of a and b , respectively. The corresponding K -modules are then isomorphic:

$$\begin{aligned} (8.6.a) \quad & \bigoplus_{i \in I_{\alpha}} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{1}, U^{\varepsilon} \otimes V_i^{\alpha} \otimes (\varphi_{\beta}(V_i^{\alpha}))^*) \\ &= \bigoplus_{j \in I_{\alpha\beta\alpha^{-1}}} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{1}, U^{\varepsilon} \otimes V_j^{\alpha\beta\alpha^{-1}} \otimes (\varphi_{\alpha^{-1}}(V_j^{\alpha\beta\alpha^{-1}}))^*) \\ &= \bigoplus_{k \in I_{\beta}} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{1}, U^{\varepsilon} \otimes \varphi_{\alpha}(V_k^{\beta}) \otimes (V_k^{\beta})^*) \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from the fact that φ_α induces a bijection $I_\beta \rightarrow I_{\alpha\beta\alpha^{-1}}$.

9. A 2-dimensional HQFT

We discuss the 2-dimensional homotopy quantum field theory (HQFT) underlying the homotopy modular functor of Section 8.

9.1. Preliminaries on 2-dimensional HQFT's. We recall briefly the notion of a 2-dimensional HQFT referring for details to [Tu3]. A *2-dimensional HQFT with target* $X = K(\pi, 1)$ has two ingredients: a family $\{L_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \pi}$ of projective K -modules of finite type numerated by the elements of π and a function τ assigning to surfaces equipped with a map to X certain K -linear homomorphisms. More precisely, let W be a compact oriented surface with pointed oriented boundary. A component c of ∂W is *positive* (resp. *negative*) if its given orientation is opposite to the one induced from W (resp. coincides with the one induced from W). We write $\varepsilon_c = +$ and $\varepsilon_c = -$, respectively. We view W as a cobordism between $\cup_{c, \varepsilon_c = -} c$ and $\cup_{c, \varepsilon_c = +} c$. Let $g : W \rightarrow X$ be a map sending the base points of all the components of ∂W into a base point $x \in X$. Each component $c \subset \partial W$ is pointed and oriented so that the map $g|_c : c \rightarrow X$ is a loop in (X, x) . Denote its homotopy class by $\alpha_c \in \pi$. The function τ assigns to each such pair (W, g) a K -homomorphism

$$(9.1.a) \quad \tau(W, g) : \bigotimes_{c, \varepsilon_c = -} L_{\alpha_c} \rightarrow \bigotimes_{c, \varepsilon_c = +} L_{\alpha_c}.$$

The homomorphism $\tau(W, g)$ should satisfy a few axioms. It should be preserved under homotopy of g relative to the base points on ∂W . It should be multiplicative under disjoint union of cobordisms. The gluing of cobordisms should correspond to composition of homomorphisms. The value of τ on a cylinder should be the identity homomorphism.

In particular, if W is a closed oriented surface endowed with a map $g : W \rightarrow X$ then the homomorphism $\tau(W, g) : K \rightarrow K$ is multiplication by a certain element of K . This element, denoted also by $\tau(W, g)$, is a homotopy invariant of g .

The algebraic counterparts of 2-dimensional HQFT's are crossed π -algebras over K . A π -graded algebra or, briefly, a π -algebra over K is an associative algebra L over K endowed with a splitting $L = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \pi} L_\alpha$ such that each L_α is a projective K -module of finite type, $L_\alpha L_\beta \subset L_{\alpha\beta}$ for any $\alpha, \beta \in \pi$, and L has a (right and left) unit $1_L \in L_1$ where 1 is the neutral element of π . A *crossed π -algebra* over K is a π -algebra L over K endowed with a symmetric K -bilinear form (inner product) $\eta : L \times L \rightarrow K$ and a group homomorphism $\varphi : \pi \rightarrow \text{Aut}(L)$ satisfying the following conditions:

(9.1.1) $\eta(L_\alpha \otimes L_\beta) = 0$ if $\alpha\beta \neq 1$; the restriction of η to $L_\alpha \otimes L_{\alpha^{-1}}$ is non-degenerate for all $\alpha \in \pi$, and $\eta(ab, c) = \eta(a, bc)$ for any $a, b, c \in L$;

(9.1.2) for all $\alpha \in \pi$, $\varphi_\alpha = \varphi(\alpha)$ is an algebra automorphism of L preserving η and such that $\varphi_\alpha(L_\beta) \subset L_{\alpha\beta\alpha^{-1}}$ for all $\beta \in \pi$;

(9.1.3) $\varphi_\alpha|_{L_\alpha} = \text{id}$, for all $\alpha \in \pi$ and $\varphi_\alpha(b)a = ab$ for any $a \in L_\alpha, b \in L_\beta$;

(9.1.4) for any $\alpha, \beta \in \pi$ and any $c \in L_{\alpha\beta\alpha^{-1}\beta^{-1}}$,

$$\text{Tr}(c\varphi_\beta : L_\alpha \rightarrow L_\alpha) = \text{Tr}(\varphi_{\alpha^{-1}}c : L_\beta \rightarrow L_\beta).$$

Here the homomorphism on the left-hand side sends any $a \in L_\alpha$ into $c\varphi_\beta(a) \in L_\alpha$ and the homomorphism on the right-hand side sends any $b \in L_\beta$ into $\varphi_{\alpha^{-1}}(cb) \in L_\beta$.

According to [Tu3], for any 2-dimensional HQFT $(\{L_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \pi}, \tau)$ with target $X = K(\pi, 1)$ the direct sum $L = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \pi} L_\alpha$ has the structure of a crossed π -algebra. Moreover, this establishes a bijective correspondence between the isomorphism classes of 2-dimensional HQFT's with target $K(\pi, 1)$ and the isomorphism classes of crossed π -algebras.

9.2. Underlying 2-dimensional HQFT. The 2-dimensional homotopy modular functor $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{C}}$ derived from a modular crossed π -category $\mathcal{C}$ has an “underlying” 2-dimensional HQFT $(\{L_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \pi}, \tau)$. Here $L = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \pi} L_\alpha$ is the algebra of colors of $\mathcal{C}$ defined in Section 5.3. The values of τ are obtained, roughly speaking, by taking the dimensions of the modules assigned by $\mathcal{T}$ to the surfaces. In particular, for a closed oriented surface W and a map $g : W \rightarrow X$ we have $\tau(W, g) = \text{Dim } \mathcal{T}(\Upsilon_{W,g}) \in K$ where $\Upsilon_{W,g}$ is an extended π -surface without marks obtained from W by choosing an arbitrary Lagrangian space in $H_1(W; \mathbf{R})$, choosing arbitrary base points on the components of W and deforming g so that it maps these base points into x . According to the results of Section 8, the isomorphism class of the module $\mathcal{T}(\Upsilon_{W,g})$ and therefore its dimension do not depend on the choices in the construction of $\Upsilon_{W,g}$.

To describe the homomorphism (9.1.a) for any pair $(W, g : W \rightarrow X)$ as in Section 9.1 we proceed as follows. For each component c of ∂W , the K -module L_{α_c} is free with basis given by Lemma 6.2. (Recall that $\alpha_c \in \pi$ is represented by the loop $g|_c$ in (X, x) .) We present $\tau(W, g)$ by a matrix with respect to the tensor products of these bases. Fix for all c a simple object $V_c \in \mathcal{C}_{\alpha_c}$. Consider the basis elements

$$\bigotimes_{c, \varepsilon_c = -} \langle V_c \rangle \in \bigotimes_{c, \varepsilon_c = -} L_{\alpha_c} \quad \text{and} \quad \bigotimes_{c, \varepsilon_c = +} \langle V_c \rangle \in \bigotimes_{c, \varepsilon_c = +} L_{\alpha_c}.$$

It suffices to describe the corresponding matrix term of $\tau(W, g)$. We denote it by $\langle \tau(W, g) | \{V_c\}_c \rangle$.

We upgrade W to an extended π -surface with marks as follows. Assume for simplicity that W is connected and choose a base point $z \in W \setminus \partial W$. We deform $g : W \rightarrow X$ relative to ∂W so that $g(z) = x$. We cap W with 2-discs by gluing to each component c of ∂W a copy of the unit complex 2-disc $D = \{a \in \mathbf{C} \mid |a| \leq 1\}$. The gluing is effected so that the point $1 \in \partial D$ is identified with the base point of c . This results in a closed orientable surface Υ which we provide with orientation extending the one in $W \subset \Upsilon$. The centers (corresponding to $a = 0 \in D$) of the glued 2-discs form a finite set $P \subset \Upsilon$. We provide each $p \in P$ with sign $+$ and tangent direction corresponding to $\mathbf{R}_+ \subset \mathbf{C}$. We agree that pushing $p \in P$ along

its tangent direction we obtain the base point $\tilde{p}$ of the corresponding component, c_p , of ∂W .

Clearly, W is a deformation retract of $\Upsilon \setminus P$. Therefore the map $g : W \rightarrow X$ extends to a map $\Upsilon \setminus P$ denoted also by g . This makes P a π -marking on Υ . We color P as follows. For a path $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \Upsilon \setminus P$ leading from z to $\tilde{p}$, the path $g \circ \gamma$ is a *loop* in (X, x) so that we can consider its homotopy class $[g \circ \gamma] \in \pi$. Set

$$(9.2.a) \quad u_\gamma = \begin{cases} \varphi_{[g \circ \gamma]}(V_{c_p}), & \text{if } \varepsilon_{c_p} = +1, \\ \varphi_{[g \circ \gamma]}(V_{c_p}^*), & \text{if } \varepsilon_{c_p} = -1. \end{cases}$$

Conditions (i) - (iii) of Section 8.2 are straightforward. In particular, Condition (ii) follows from the equality

$$g_\#(\mu_\gamma) = [g \circ \gamma](\alpha_{c_p})^{\varepsilon_{c_p}} [g \circ \gamma]^{-1}.$$

Choosing an arbitrary Lagrangian space $\lambda \subset H_1(\Upsilon; \mathbf{R})$ we obtain an extended π -surface $(\Upsilon, g, P, u, \lambda)$. Set

$$\langle \tau(W, g) \mid \{V_c\}_c \rangle = \text{Dim } \mathcal{T}(\Upsilon, g, P, u, \lambda).$$

One can check that $(\{L_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \pi}, \tau)$ is a 2-dimensional HQFT with target X . We describe here the structure of a crossed π -algebra in $L = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \pi} L_\alpha$ underlying this 2-dimensional HQFT. First, define a pairing $\eta : L \times L \rightarrow K$ by $\eta(\langle U, f \rangle, \langle U', f' \rangle) = \text{Tr}(f \otimes f')_*$ where $\langle U, f \rangle, \langle U', f' \rangle$ are arbitrary additive generators of L as in Section 5.3 and $(f \otimes f')_*$ denotes the endomorphism of $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{1}, U \otimes U')$ sending each $h \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{1}, U \otimes U')$ into $(f \otimes f')h$. It is easy to check that η is a well-defined bilinear pairing.

9.3. Theorem. *The π -graded algebra $L = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \pi} L_\alpha$ with form η and the action φ of π defined in Section 5.3 is a crossed π -algebra.*

Proof. For each $\alpha \in \pi$, fix representatives $\{V_i^\alpha\}_{i \in I_\alpha}$ of the isomorphism classes of simple objects in the category $\mathcal{C}_\alpha$. By Lemma 6.2, L_α is a free K -module with basis $\{\langle V_i^\alpha \rangle\}_{i \in I_\alpha}$.

Let us check (9.1.1). Observe that for any objects U, U' of $\mathcal{C}$,

$$\eta(\langle U \rangle, \langle U' \rangle) = \text{Dim}(\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{1}, U \otimes U')) = \text{Dim}(\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(U^*, U')).$$

In particular if the objects U, U' are simple then

$$(9.3.a) \quad \eta(\langle U \rangle, \langle U' \rangle) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } U' \text{ is isomorphic to } U^*, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

This implies that $\eta(L_\alpha \otimes L_\beta) = 0$ if $\alpha\beta \neq 1$ and the bases $\{\langle V_i^\alpha \rangle\}_{i \in I_\alpha}$ and $\{\langle V_i^{\alpha^{-1}} \rangle\}_{i \in I_{\alpha^{-1}}}$ are dual to each other with respect to η . Hence the non-degeneracy of η . Formula $\eta(ab, c) = \eta(a, bc)$ follows from the definition of η .

By (9.3.a) and Corollary 4.6, the form η is symmetric. Formula (9.3.a) implies that η is invariant under the action of π . All other conditions in (9.1.2), (9.1.3) were checked in Section 5.3.

To check (9.1.4) let $c = \langle U \rangle$ where U is an object of $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha\beta\alpha^{-1}\beta^{-1}}$. The homomorphism $c\varphi_\beta : L_\alpha \rightarrow L_\alpha$ sends $\langle V_i^\alpha \rangle$ into

$$\langle U \otimes \varphi_\beta(V_i^\alpha) \rangle = \sum_{j \in I_\alpha} \mu_{V_j^\alpha, U \otimes \varphi_\beta(V_i^\alpha)} \langle V_j^\alpha \rangle.$$

Therefore

$$(9.3.b) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{Tr}(c\varphi_\beta) &= \sum_{i \in I_\alpha} \mu_{V_i^\alpha, U \otimes \varphi_\beta(V_i^\alpha)} \\ &= \sum_{i \in I_\alpha} \mu_{U \otimes \varphi_\beta(V_i^\alpha), V_i^\alpha} = \sum_{i \in I_\alpha} \text{Dim}(\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{1}, U^* \otimes V_i^\alpha \otimes (\varphi_\beta(V_i^\alpha))^*)). \end{aligned}$$

A similar computation shows that

$$(9.3.c) \quad \text{Tr}(\varphi_{\alpha^{-1}}c : L_\beta \rightarrow L_\beta) = \sum_{k \in I_\beta} \text{Dim}(\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{1}, U^* \otimes \varphi_\alpha(V_k^\beta) \otimes (V_k^\beta)^*)).$$

By (8.6.a) (where U should be replaced with U^*), the right-hand sides of (9.3.b) and (9.3.c) are the dimensions of isomorphic K -modules and therefore they are equal.

9.4. Remarks. 1. The proof of (9.1.4) in Theorem 9.3 uses the existence of the homotopy modular functor associated with $\mathcal{C}$. It would be useful to have a direct algebraic proof.

2. The neutral component L_1 of the algebra of colors of $\mathcal{C}$ is known to be a semisimple commutative K -algebra (cf. [Tu2, Section IV.12.4]). More precisely, the algebra L_1 splits as a direct sum of $\text{card}(I_1)$ copies of K . This and the results of [Tu3] imply that if K is a field of characteristic 0 then the HQFT $(\{L_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \pi}, \tau)$ is semi-cohomological, i.e., is determined by a finite family of K -valued weights and 2-dimensional cohomology classes of subgroups of π of finite index.

10. A 3-dimensional HQFT

Each modular crossed π -category $\mathcal{C}$ gives rise to a 3-dimensional homotopy quantum field theory (HQFT) with target space $K(\pi, 1)$. As in the standard case $\pi = 1$, this HQFT has several equivalent versions. We describe here one of these versions formulated in terms of extended π -manifolds.

Throughout Section 10 we fix a modular crossed π -category $\mathcal{C}$ and an Eilenberg-MacLane CW-complex $X = K(\pi, 1)$ with base point $x \in X$.

10.1. Extended π -manifolds with boundary. Recall the notion of a connected extended π -manifold without boundary introduced in Section 7.5. In the

present context it is more convenient to use (pointed) maps to $X = K(\pi, 1)$ rather than group homomorphisms to π . Thus, instead of a group homomorphism $\pi_1(M \setminus \Omega, z) \rightarrow \pi$ as in Section 7.5, we shall consider a (pointed) map $g : M \setminus \Omega \rightarrow X$ inducing this homomorphism. (In the definition of a coloring we should then replace g by $g_\#$.) After this minor modification of the definitions of Section 7.5 we can extend them to manifolds with boundary.

Let M be a compact connected oriented 3-manifold with non-void pointed boundary. A *ribbon graph* $\Omega \subset M$ consists of a finite family of framed oriented embedded arcs, circles and coupons (the *strata* of Ω) such that

- (i) the strata of Ω are disjoint except that some endpoints of the arcs lie on the bases of the coupons;
- (ii) all other endpoints of the arcs of Ω lie on ∂M and form the (finite) set $\Omega \cap \partial M$; this set does not contain the base points of ∂M ;
- (iii) the framings of the strata form a framing of Ω , i.e., a continuous non-singular vector field on Ω transversal to Ω ; at $\Omega \cap \partial M$ the framing is tangent to ∂M ;
- (iv) on each coupon of Ω the framing is transversal to the coupon and yields together with the orientation of the coupon the given orientation of M .

Slightly pushing Ω along its framing we obtain a disjoint copy $\tilde{\Omega}$ of Ω . Pushing a stratum t of Ω along the framing we obtain a stratum $\tilde{t}$ of $\tilde{\Omega}$.

A π -graph in M is a ribbon graph $\Omega \subset M$ endowed with a map $g : M \setminus \Omega \rightarrow X$ which sends the base points of all the components of ∂M into $x \in X$. We consider g up to homotopy constant on the base points of ∂M .

Let (Ω, g) be a π -graph in M . A *coloring of Ω with respect to a base point z of a component of ∂M* comprises two functions u and v . The function u assigns to every arc or circle t of Ω and to every path $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow M \setminus \Omega$ connecting z to $\gamma(1) \in \tilde{t} \subset \tilde{\Omega}$ a certain object $u_\gamma \in \mathcal{C}_{g_\#(\mu_\gamma)}$ where $\mu_\gamma \in \pi_1(M \setminus \Omega, z)$ is the meridian of t determined by γ and $g_\# : \pi_1(M \setminus \Omega, z) \rightarrow \pi$ is the homomorphism induced by g . The function v assigns to every coupon Q of Ω and to every path γ in $M \setminus \Omega$ connecting z to $\gamma(1) \in \tilde{Q} \subset \tilde{\Omega}$ a certain morphism v_γ in $\mathcal{C}_{g_\#(\mu_\gamma)}$. The functions u, v should satisfy the same conditions as in Section 4.1 (where g should be replaced with $g_\#$).

A coloring (u, v) of Ω with respect to the base point z of a component of ∂M can be canonically transported to a coloring of Ω with respect to the base point, z' , of any other component of ∂M . (Here it is essential that M is connected). Namely, choose a path $\rho : [0, 1] \rightarrow M \setminus \Omega$ with $\rho(0) = z, \rho(1) = z'$. For an arc or circle t of Ω and a path $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow M \setminus \Omega$ connecting z' to $\gamma(1) \in \tilde{t}$ set

$$u_\gamma = (\varphi_{[g \circ \rho]})^{-1}(u_{\rho\gamma}).$$

Here the path $g \circ \rho$ is a *loop* in (X, x) so that we can consider its homotopy class $[g \circ \rho] \in \pi$. The path $\rho\gamma$ connects z to $\gamma(1) \in \tilde{t}$ so that $u_{\rho\gamma}$ is an object of $\mathcal{C}_{g_\#(\mu_{\rho\gamma})}$. We have $\mu_{\rho\gamma} = \rho\mu_\gamma\rho^{-1}$ and so $g_\#(\mu_{\rho\gamma}) = [g \circ \rho]g_\#(\mu_\gamma)[g \circ \rho]^{-1}$. Hence the functor $(\varphi_{[g \circ \rho]})^{-1}$ maps $\mathcal{C}_{g_\#(\mu_{\rho\gamma})}$ into $\mathcal{C}_{g_\#(\mu_\gamma)}$ and u_γ is an object of $\mathcal{C}_{g_\#(\mu_\gamma)}$. Similarly, for a coupon Q of Ω and a path $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow M \setminus \Omega$ connecting z' to $\gamma(1) \in \tilde{Q}$ set

$$v_\gamma = (\varphi_{[g \circ \rho]})^{-1}(v_{\rho\gamma}).$$

It is easy to check that these formulas define a coloring of Ω with respect to z' . It follows from definitions that this coloring does not depend on the choice of ρ . Thus, a coloring of Ω with respect to the base point of one component of ∂M canonically extends to a system of colorings of Ω with respect to the base points of all components of ∂M . This system is called a *coloring* of Ω . To specify a coloring of Ω it is enough to specify a coloring of Ω with respect to one base point, the colorings of Ω with respect to all the other base points are obtained by the transport as above.

A tuple $(M, \Omega, g, u, v, \lambda)$ consisting of a colored π -graph (Ω, g, u, v) in M and a Lagrangian space $\lambda \subset H_1(\partial M; \mathbf{R})$ is called a *connected extended π -manifold with boundary*.

Given a connected extended π -manifold $(M, \Omega, g, u, v, \lambda)$, the surface ∂M becomes an extended π -surface as follows. By definition, ∂M is pointed. We provide ∂M with orientation induced by the one in M (see Section 7.2 for our orientation convention). Set $P = \Omega \cap \partial M$. Clearly, P is a finite subset of ∂M disjoint from the base points. A point $p \in P$ is provided with sign $-$ if the adjacent arc of Ω is oriented towards p and with sign $+$ otherwise. We provide p with tangent direction generated by the framing vector at p (by (iii) this vector is tangent to ∂M). We can assume that $\tilde{P} = \tilde{\Omega} \cap \partial M$. Denote by ∂g the restriction of $g : M \setminus \Omega \rightarrow X$ to $\partial M \setminus P$. The pair $(P, \partial g)$ is a π -marking on ∂M . We define its coloring ∂u as follows. Every path $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \partial M \setminus P$ leading from a base point to $\tilde{P}$ is a path in $M \setminus \Omega$ leading to $\tilde{\Omega}$. Set $(\partial u)_\gamma = u_\gamma \in \mathcal{C}$. It is clear that the tuple $(\partial M, P, \partial g, \partial u, \lambda)$ is an extended π -surface. By definition, the boundary of an extended π -manifold without boundary is an empty surface.

Let $(M, \Omega, g, u, v, \lambda)$ and $(M', \Omega', g', u', v', \lambda')$ be two connected extended π -manifolds with boundary. A *weak e -homeomorphism*

$$(M, \Omega, g, u, v, \lambda) \rightarrow (M', \Omega', g', u', v', \lambda')$$

is a degree $+1$ pointed homeomorphism of pairs $f : (M, \Omega) \rightarrow (M', \Omega')$ preserving the framing, the orientation and the splitting of Ω, Ω' into strata and such that $g'f = g : M \setminus \Omega \rightarrow X$ and for any path γ in $M \setminus \Omega$ leading from a base point of ∂M to an arc or a circle of $\tilde{\Omega}$ (resp. a coupon of $\tilde{\Omega}$) we have $u'_{f \circ \gamma} = u_\gamma$ (resp. $v'_{f \circ \gamma} = v_\gamma$). A weak e -homeomorphism is an *e -homeomorphism* if the induced isomorphism $H_1(\partial M; \mathbf{R}) \rightarrow H_1(\partial M'; \mathbf{R})$ maps λ onto λ' . It is clear that a (weak) e -homeomorphism of extended π -manifolds induces a (weak) e -homeomorphism of their boundaries.

Taking disjoint unions of connected extended π -manifolds with or without boundary we obtain general extended π -manifolds. The notions of boundary, e -homeomorphism and weak e -homeomorphism generalize to them in the obvious way.

An example of an extended π -manifold is provided by the cylinder $\Upsilon \times [0, 1]$ over an extended π -surface $\Upsilon = (\Upsilon, P \subset \Upsilon, g : \Upsilon \setminus P \rightarrow X, u, \lambda)$. The cylinder has the following structure of an extended π -manifold. We provide $\Upsilon \times [0, 1]$ with the product orientation where the interval $[0, 1]$ is oriented from left to right. We

provide $\partial(\Upsilon \times [0, 1]) = (\Upsilon \times 0) \amalg (\Upsilon \times 1)$ with base points $z \times 0, z \times 1$ where $z \in \Upsilon \setminus P$ runs over the base points of the components of Υ . Set $\Omega = \cup_{p \in P} (p \times [0, 1])$ and provide each arc $p \times [0, 1]$ with constant framing determined by a vector representing the given tangent direction at p . We orient the arc $p \times [0, 1]$ towards $p \times 1$ if the sign of p is $-$ and towards $p \times 0$ otherwise. Let pr be the projection $(\Upsilon \times [0, 1]) \setminus \Omega \rightarrow \Upsilon \setminus P$. Then the map $g \circ \text{pr}$ makes Ω a π -graph and the formula $\gamma \mapsto u_{\text{pr} \circ \gamma}$ defines its coloring. Finally, we provide $\Upsilon \times [0, 1]$ with Lagrangian space in real 1-homology of the boundary equal to the direct sum of copies of λ in $H_1(\Upsilon \times 0; \mathbf{R})$ and $H_1(\Upsilon \times 1; \mathbf{R})$. This makes $\Upsilon \times [0, 1]$ an extended π -manifold. Clearly, $\partial(\Upsilon \times [0, 1]) = ((-\Upsilon) \times 0) \amalg (\Upsilon \times 1) = (-\Upsilon) \amalg \Upsilon$ where $=$ means the equality of extended π -surfaces.

10.2. The HQFT $(\mathcal{T}_C, \tau_C)$. The HQFT derived from a modular crossed π -category $\mathcal{C}$ comprises the 2-dimensional homotopy modular functor $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}_C$ discussed in Section 8 and a function, $\tau = \tau_C$, assigning to every extended 3-dimensional π -manifold M a vector $\tau(M) \in \mathcal{T}(\partial M)$. A construction of τ will be outlined in Section 10.4. We state here main properties of τ :

(10.2.1) if $f : M \rightarrow M'$ is an e -homeomorphism of extended π -manifolds then $(f|_{\partial M})_{\#}(\tau(M)) = \tau(M')$ where $(f|_{\partial M})_{\#} : \mathcal{T}(\partial M) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}(\partial M')$ is the isomorphism induced by the e -homeomorphism $f|_{\partial M} : \partial M \rightarrow \partial M'$;

(10.2.2) for disjoint extended π -manifolds M, M' , we have

$$\tau(M \amalg M') = \tau(M) \otimes \tau(M') \in \mathcal{T}(\partial M) \otimes_K \mathcal{T}(\partial M') = \mathcal{T}(\partial M \amalg \partial M');$$

(10.2.3) for an extended π -manifold M without boundary, the invariant $\tau(M) \in \mathcal{T}(\emptyset) = K$ is the invariant introduced in Section 7.5;

(10.2.4) for any extended π -surface Υ , the vector $\tau(\Upsilon \times [0, 1]) \in \mathcal{T}(-\Upsilon) \otimes_K \mathcal{T}(\Upsilon)$ is the image of $d_{\Upsilon} \in (\mathcal{T}(\Upsilon) \otimes_K \mathcal{T}(-\Upsilon))^*$ under the identifications

$$(\mathcal{T}(\Upsilon) \otimes_K \mathcal{T}(-\Upsilon))^* = (\mathcal{T}(\Upsilon))^* \otimes_K (\mathcal{T}(-\Upsilon))^* = \mathcal{T}(-\Upsilon) \otimes_K \mathcal{T}(\Upsilon)$$

induced by d_{Υ} .

A fundamental property of τ is a formula which computes $\tau(M)$ for an extended π -manifold M obtained by gluing two extended π -manifolds M_1, M_2 along their boundaries or along several components of their boundaries. In other words, if a closed surface in M splits M into two pieces M_1, M_2 then the gluing formula computes $\tau(M)$ from $\tau(M_1), \tau(M_2)$. By (10.2.2), it is enough to state this formula for connected M .

We first consider the case $\partial M = \emptyset$. Let M be a closed connected oriented 3-manifold and $\Omega = (\Omega \subset M, z \in M \setminus \Omega, g : M \setminus \Omega \rightarrow X, u, v)$ be a colored π -graph in M . Let $\Sigma \subset M$ be a closed non-void surface in M splitting M into two compact submanifolds M_1, M_2 with $\partial M_1 = \partial M_2 = \Sigma$. We provide each M_r with orientation induced by the one in M . Assume (deforming if necessary Σ in M) that Σ meets Ω transversally in a finite set of points lying on the arcs and circles of Ω . We fix a base point on each component of Σ so that these base points

do not belong to $\Sigma \cap \Omega$. It is clear that $\Omega_r = \Omega \cap M_r$ is a ribbon graph in M_r for $r = 1, 2$. Here the framing of Ω_r is the restriction of the framing of Ω . We can thus assume that $\tilde{\Omega}_r = \tilde{\Omega} \cap M_r$. We upgrade Ω_r to a colored π -graph as follows. Deforming if necessary $g : M \setminus \Omega \rightarrow X$ (keeping $g(z) = x$) we can assume that g sends all the base points of Σ into $x \in X$. Denote by g_r the restriction of g to $M_r \setminus \Omega_r \subset M \setminus \Omega$. The pair (Ω_r, g_r) is a π -graph in M_r . Transporting (as in Section 10.1) the given coloring (u, v) of Ω along arbitrary paths in M connecting z to the base points of Σ we obtain colorings of Ω with respect to these points. (These colorings do not depend on the choice of the paths). Now restricting these colorings to the paths γ in $M_r \setminus \Omega_r$ connecting the base points of $\Sigma = \partial M_r$ to $\tilde{\Omega}_r$ we obtain a coloring (u_r, v_r) of (Ω_r, g_r) . Fix a Lagrangian space $\lambda_0 \subset H_1(\Sigma; \mathbf{R})$. Then the tuple $(M_r, \Omega_r, g_r, u_r, v_r, \lambda_0)$ is an extended π -manifold briefly denoted M_r . Its boundary ∂M_r is an extended π -surface with underlying surface Σ . It follows from definitions that $\partial M_2 = -\partial M_1$ where minus denotes the negation of extended π -surfaces. We have the vectors $\tau(M_1) \in \mathcal{T}(\partial M_1)$ and $\tau(M_2) \in \mathcal{T}(\partial M_2) = \mathcal{T}(-\partial M_1)$. Then

$$(10.2.a) \quad \tau(M) = (\mathcal{D}\Delta_-^{-1})^n d_{\partial M_1}(\tau(M_1) \otimes \tau(M_2)) \in K$$

where M denotes the extended π -manifold (M, Ω, z, g, u, v) , $d_{\partial M_1}$ is the pairing $\mathcal{T}(\partial M_1) \otimes_K \mathcal{T}(-\partial M_1) \rightarrow K$ provided by (8.3.5) and n is an integer computed as follows. Consider the inclusion homomorphism $H_1(\partial M_r; \mathbf{R}) \rightarrow H_1(M_r; \mathbf{R})$ and observe that its kernel, λ_r , is a Lagrangian subspace in $H_1(\partial M_1; \mathbf{R}) = H_1(\partial M_2; \mathbf{R}) = H_1(\Sigma; \mathbf{R})$. Then $n = \mu(\lambda_1, \lambda_0, \lambda_2) \in \mathbf{Z}$ is the Maslov index of the Lagrangian spaces $\lambda_1, \lambda_0, \lambda_2$ in $H_1(\partial M_1; \mathbf{R})$.

Let now $M = (M, \Omega, g : M \setminus \Omega \rightarrow X, u, v, \lambda)$ be a connected extended π -manifold with boundary. Let $\Sigma \subset M \setminus \partial M$ be a closed non-void surface splitting M into two compact submanifolds M_1, M_2 . For $r = 1, 2$, we have $\partial M_r = \Sigma \amalg (\partial M \cap M_r)$ where $\partial M \cap M_r = \partial M \cap \partial M_r$ is a disjoint union (possibly void) of certain components of ∂M such that $\partial M = (\partial M \cap M_1) \amalg (\partial M \cap M_2)$. Assume that $\lambda = \lambda^1 \oplus \lambda^2$ where λ^r is a Lagrangian space in $H_1(\partial M \cap M_r; \mathbf{R})$. Then the extended π -surface ∂M splits as the disjoint union of extended π -surfaces $\Upsilon^1 = (\partial M \cap M_1, \lambda^1)$ and $\Upsilon^2 = (\partial M \cap M_2, \lambda^2)$.

Assume that Σ meets Ω transversally in a finite set of points lying on the arcs and circles of Ω . We fix a base point on each component of Σ so that these base points do not belong to $\Sigma \cap \Omega$. Deforming if necessary $g : M \setminus \Omega \rightarrow X$ (keeping g on ∂M) we can assume that g sends all the base points of Σ into $x \in X$. As above, $\Omega_r = \Omega \cap M_r$ is a ribbon graph in M_r for $r = 1, 2$ where the orientation in M_r is induced by the one in M and the framing on Ω_r is the restriction of the one on Ω . Denote by g_r the restriction of g to $M_r \setminus \Omega_r \subset M \setminus \Omega$. The pair (Ω_r, g_r) is a π -graph in M_r . Choose a component of ∂M and transport the given coloring (u, v) of Ω at its base point along arbitrary paths in M to the base points of Σ . This gives colorings of Ω with respect to the base points of Σ . These colorings depends neither on the choice of the initial component of ∂M nor on the choice of the paths in M . Now restricting the colorings of Ω (with respect to the base points of Σ and

$\partial M \cap M_r$) to the paths γ in $M_r \setminus \Omega_r$ we obtain a coloring (u_r, v_r) of (Ω_r, g_r) . Fix a Lagrangian space $\lambda_0 \subset H_1(\Sigma; \mathbf{R})$. Then the tuple $(M_r, \Omega_r, g_r, u_r, v_r, \lambda_0 \oplus \lambda^r)$ is an extended π -manifold briefly denoted M_r . Its boundary ∂M_r is a disjoint union of Υ^r and an extended π -surface Υ_r with underlying surface Σ . Clearly, $\Upsilon_2 = -\Upsilon_1$. For $r = 1, 2$, we have a vector

$$\tau(M_r) \in \mathcal{T}(\partial M_r) = \mathcal{T}(\Upsilon^r) \otimes_K \mathcal{T}(\Upsilon_r).$$

Then

$$(10.2.b) \quad \tau(M) = (\mathcal{D}\Delta_-^{-1})^n d_{\Upsilon_1}(\tau(M_1) \otimes \tau(M_2)) \in \mathcal{T}(\Upsilon^1) \otimes_K \mathcal{T}(\Upsilon^2)$$

where d_{Υ_1} is the pairing $\mathcal{T}(\Upsilon_1) \otimes_K \mathcal{T}(\Upsilon_2) \rightarrow K$ provided by (8.3.5) and n is an integer computed as follows. Let λ_r be the subset of $H_1(\Upsilon_r; \mathbf{R}) = H_1(\Sigma; \mathbf{R})$ consisting of elements homological in M_r to elements of $\lambda^r \subset H_1(\partial M \cap M_r; \mathbf{R})$. One can check that λ_r is a Lagrangian space. Then $n = \mu(\lambda_1, \lambda_0, \lambda_2) \in \mathbf{Z}$ is the Maslov index of $\lambda_1, \lambda_0, \lambda_2$ in $H_1(\Upsilon^1; \mathbf{R})$.

10.3. Extended π -cobordisms. As in the study of TQFT's, we can reformulate the vector τ_C as an operator invariant of π -cobordisms. An *extended (3-dimensional) π -cobordism* is a triple $(M, \partial_- M, \partial_+ M)$ where M is a 3-dimensional extended π -manifold and $\partial_- M, \partial_+ M$ are extended π -surfaces such that $\partial M = (-\partial_- M) \amalg \partial_+ M$. We call $\partial_- M, \partial_+ M$ the *bottom base* and *top base* of the cobordism, respectively. Clearly,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}(\partial M) &= \mathcal{T}(-\partial_- M) \otimes_K \mathcal{T}(\partial_+ M) = (\mathcal{T}(\partial_- M))^* \otimes_K \mathcal{T}(\partial_+ M) \\ &= \text{Hom}_K(\mathcal{T}(\partial_- M), \mathcal{T}(\partial_+ M)). \end{aligned}$$

By these identifications, the vector $\tau(M) \in \mathcal{T}(\partial M)$ determines a homomorphism $\mathcal{T}(\partial_- M) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}(\partial_+ M)$ denoted $\tau(M, \partial_- M, \partial_+ M)$. Note that this definition implicitly involves the pairing $d_{\partial_- M}$. By axioms (10.2.1) and (10.2.2), the homomorphism $\tau(M, \partial_- M, \partial_+ M)$ is natural with respect to e -homeomorphisms of cobordisms and $\otimes$ -multiplicative with respect to disjoint unions.

For example, if $\partial_- M = \emptyset$ then $\partial_+ M = \partial M$ and $\tau(M, \partial_- M, \partial_+ M) : \mathcal{T}(\emptyset) = K \rightarrow \mathcal{T}(\partial M)$ sends any $k \in K$ into $k \tau(M)$. If $\partial_+ M = \emptyset$ then $\partial_- M = -\partial M$ and $\tau(M, \partial_- M, \partial_+ M) : \mathcal{T}(-\partial M) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}(\emptyset) = K$ sends any $h \in \mathcal{T}(-\partial M)$ into $d_{\partial M}(\tau(M), h)$. By (10.2.3), if $\partial M = \emptyset$ then $\tau(M, \partial_- M, \partial_+ M) : K \rightarrow K$ is multiplication by the invariant $\tau(M) \in K$ introduced in Section 7.5.

We can reformulate formulas (10.2.a) and (10.2.b) as

$$\tau(M, \partial_- M, \partial_+ M) = (\mathcal{D}\Delta_-^{-1})^n \tau(M_2, \partial_-(M_2), \partial_+(M_2)) \circ \tau(M_1, \partial_-(M_1), \partial_+(M_1))$$

where we view M, M_1, M_2 as extended π -cobordisms with bottom bases $-(\partial M \cap M_1), -(\partial M \cap M_1), -\Upsilon_2 = \Upsilon_1$ and top bases $\partial M \cap M_2, \Upsilon_1, \partial M \cap M_2$, respectively.

For an extended π -surface $\Upsilon = (\Upsilon, P \subset \Upsilon, g : \Upsilon \setminus P \rightarrow X, u, \lambda)$, the triple $(\Upsilon \times [0, 1], \Upsilon \times 0, \Upsilon \times 1)$ is an extended π -cobordism whose bases are copies of Υ . By (10.2.4),

$$\tau(\Upsilon \times [0, 1], \Upsilon \times 0, \Upsilon \times 1) = \text{id}_{\mathcal{T}(\Upsilon)} : \mathcal{T}(\Upsilon) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}(\Upsilon).$$

For every $\alpha \in \pi$, we define a twisted cylinder $(\Upsilon \times [0, 1])^\alpha$ as follows. It differs from $\Upsilon \times [0, 1]$ only by the choice of the map $(\Upsilon \times [0, 1]) \setminus \Omega \rightarrow X$ and the choice of the coloring of the ribbon graph $\Omega = P \times [0, 1]$. The map in question is chosen so that its restriction to the bottom base equals g and its restrictions to arcs $z \times [0, 1]$ are loops representing α^{-1} for all the base points $z \in \Upsilon \setminus P$ of the components of Υ . The coloring of Ω is chosen so that its restriction to the bottom base coincides with u . It is clear that $(\Upsilon \times [0, 1])^\alpha$ is an extended π -cobordism with bottom base Υ and top base ${}^\alpha\Upsilon$. The operator invariant of this cobordism defines the action of α discussed in Section 8.5:

$$\alpha_* = \tau((\Upsilon \times [0, 1])^\alpha, \Upsilon, {}^\alpha\Upsilon) : \mathcal{T}(\Upsilon) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}({}^\alpha\Upsilon).$$

10.4. Construction of $(\mathcal{T}_C, \tau_C)$. The construction closely follows the known construction of 3-dimensional TQFT's from modular categories, see [Tu2]. First, one defines the operator invariant for extended π -cobordisms whose boundary components are parametrized, i.e., identified with standard π -surfaces in S^3 . (Only the geometric position of the surfaces in S^3 is standard, the map to $X = K(\pi, 1)$ is arbitrary). Then one uses these operators to define the action of weak e -homeomorphisms. Finally, one replaces the parametrizations with Lagrangian spaces in homology. We skip the details and give here only one of the key lemmas whose proof is somewhat different from the standard case.

10.5. Lemma. *Let $T \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \times [0, 1]$ be a tangle consisting of a vertical interval t oriented downwards and its meridian m , both with zero framing. Sending all meridians of t into $1 \in \pi$ and all meridians of m into $\alpha \in \pi$, we make T a π -tangle, T_α . Let $V \in \mathcal{C}_1$ be a simple object in the neutral component of $\mathcal{C}$. Let $T_\alpha(V)$ be T_α colored so that m acquires the canonical color as in Section 7.2 and the target of T_α is the triple $(+1, 1 \in \pi, V)$. Then the source of $T_\alpha(V)$ is the triple $(+1, 1 \in \pi, \varphi_{\alpha^{-1}}(V))$ and $F(T_\alpha(V)) \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\varphi_{\alpha^{-1}}(V), V)$ is computed by*

$$F(T_\alpha(V)) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{D}^2 \text{id}_{\mathbb{I}}, & \text{if } V = \mathbb{I}, \\ 0, & \text{if } V \text{ is not isomorphic to } \mathbb{I}. \end{cases}$$

Proof. We begin with another useful identity. Fix $\beta \in \pi$ and an object $W \in \mathcal{C}_\beta$. Consider the π -tangle T_β as in the statement of the lemma with α replaced by β . We present T_β by a plane diagram with two crossings. We attach W to the arc of the diagram representing m and attach V (resp. $\varphi_{\beta^{-1}}(V)$) to the arc incident to

the output (resp. input). Denote the resulting colored π -tangle by T_W^V . Its target and source are the triples $(+1, 1 \in \pi, V)$ and $(+1, 1 \in \pi, \varphi_{\beta^{-1}}(V))$, respectively. We claim that

$$(10.5.a) \quad F(T_W^V) F(T_\alpha(\varphi_{\beta^{-1}}(V))) = \dim(W) F(T_{\beta\alpha}(V)) : \varphi_{\alpha^{-1}\beta^{-1}}(V) \rightarrow V.$$

We first prove this equality and then deduce from it the claim of the lemma. Glueing T_W^V on the top of $T_\alpha(\varphi_{\beta^{-1}}(V))$ we obtain a colored π -tangle

$$\tilde{T} = T_W^V \circ T_\alpha(\varphi_{\beta^{-1}}(V)).$$

Geometrically, $\tilde{T}$ consists of a vertical interval with two meridians and all framings zero. Clearly,

$$F(T_W^V) F(T_\alpha(\varphi_{\beta^{-1}}(V))) = F(\tilde{T}).$$

Denote by ℓ_W^β a colored π -knot (or rather unknot) in $\mathbf{R}^2 \times [0, 1]$ represented by a plane circle labeled with (β, W) . Sliding the W -colored circle of $\tilde{T}$ along the can -colored circle we can transform $\tilde{T}$ into a disjoint union $\ell_W^\beta \amalg T_{\beta\alpha}(V)$. (An explicit splitting of this handle sliding into a composition of Kirby-Fenn-Rourke moves is given in [Tu2, p. 93]). As in the proof of Theorem 7.3, we obtain

$$F(\tilde{T}) = F(\ell_W^\beta \amalg T_{\beta\alpha}(V)) = F(\ell_W^\beta) F(T_{\beta\alpha}(V)) = \dim(W) F(T_{\beta\alpha}(V)).$$

This implies (10.5.a).

Now we can prove the claim of the lemma. If $V = \mathbb{1}$ then formula (2.2.f) implies that we can push the circle stratum of T across the arc stratum without changing the operator invariant. This yields our claim in this case. Assume that V is not isomorphic to $\mathbb{1}$. Let I be the set of isomorphism classes of simple objects in $\mathcal{C}_1$ and let $\{V_i \in \mathcal{C}_1\}_{i \in I}$ be representatives of these classes. We can assume that $V = V_i$ for a certain $i \in I$. For $W = V_j$ with $j \in I$ we can compute $F(T_W^V) \in \text{End}(V)$ explicitly. Since V is simple, $F(T_W^V) = k \text{id}_V$ with $k \in K$. The closure of T_W^V is the Hopf link whose components are colored with $V = V_i, W = V_j$. Therefore $k \dim(i) = S_{i,j}$ where $\dim(i) = \dim(V) = \dim(V_i)$. Hence $k = (\dim(i))^{-1} S_{i,j}$ where we use the invertibility of $\dim(i)$ (Lemma 6.5). Substituting this in (10.5.a) (for $\beta = 1$) we obtain

$$(\dim(i))^{-1} S_{i,j} F(T_\alpha(V)) = \dim(j) F(T_\alpha(V)).$$

By [Tu2, formula (3.8.b)], $\sum_{j \in I} \dim(j) S_{i,j} = 0$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} F(T_\alpha(V)) &= \mathcal{D}^{-2} \sum_{j \in I} \dim(j) \dim(j) F(T_\alpha(V)) \\ &= \mathcal{D}^{-2} (\dim(i))^{-1} \sum_{j \in I} \dim(j) S_{i,j} F(T_\alpha(V)) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

11. Hopf group-coalgebras

11.1. Hopf algebras. For convenience of the reader we recall the standard definitions of quasitriangular and ribbon Hopf algebras, see for instance [KRT], [Tu2]. A *Hopf algebra* over K is a tuple $(A, \Delta, \varepsilon, s)$ where A is an associative unital algebra over K , $\Delta : A \rightarrow A^{\otimes 2} = A \otimes A$ and $\varepsilon : A \rightarrow K$ are algebra homomorphisms, $s : A \rightarrow A$ is an algebra anti-homomorphism such that Δ is coassociative and

$$(\text{id}_A \otimes \varepsilon)\Delta = (\varepsilon \otimes \text{id}_A)\Delta = \text{id}_A : A \rightarrow A,$$

$$\mu(s \otimes \text{id}_A)\Delta = \mu(\text{id}_A \otimes s)\Delta = 1_A \varepsilon : A \rightarrow A$$

where μ is multiplication in A .

Let A be a Hopf algebra over K . Denote the flip (permutation) $A^{\otimes 2} \rightarrow A^{\otimes 2}$ by Perm . A pair $(A, R \in A^{\otimes 2})$ is called a *quasitriangular Hopf algebra* if R is invertible in $A^{\otimes 2}$ and satisfies the following identities:

$$\text{Perm}(\Delta(a)) = R\Delta(a)R^{-1},$$

for all $a \in A$ and

$$(\text{id}_A \otimes \Delta)(R) = R_{13}R_{12}, \quad (\Delta \otimes \text{id}_A)(R) = R_{13}R_{23}$$

where $R_{12} = R \otimes 1_A \in A^{\otimes 3}$, $R_{23} = 1_A \otimes R \in A^{\otimes 3}$ and $R_{13} = (\text{id}_A \otimes \text{Perm})(R_{12}) \in A^{\otimes 3}$. These identities imply the Yang-Baxter equality $R_{12}R_{13}R_{23} = R_{23}R_{13}R_{12}$.

Let (A, R) be a quasitriangular Hopf algebra. A triple $(A, R, v \in A)$ is called a *ribbon Hopf algebra* if v is an invertible element of the center of A such that $s(v) = v$ and $\Delta(v) = (v \otimes v)\text{Perm}(R)R$. If $A = (A, R, v)$ is a ribbon Hopf algebra then the tuple $(A, (\text{Perm}(R))^{-1}, v^{-1})$ is also a ribbon Hopf algebra.

It is well-known that the category of representations of a quasitriangular (resp. ribbon) Hopf algebra is a braided (resp. ribbon) monoidal category.

11.2. Hopf π -coalgebras. Let π be a group. The notion of a π -coalgebra is dual to the notion of a (unital) π -graded algebra. By a π -coalgebra over K , we mean the following data:

- a family of K -modules $\{A_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \pi}$;
- a family of K -linear homomorphisms (called the comultiplication)

$$\Delta = \{\Delta_{\alpha, \beta} : A_{\alpha\beta} \rightarrow A_\alpha \otimes A_\beta\}_{\alpha, \beta \in \pi};$$

- a K -homomorphism $\varepsilon_1 : A_1 \rightarrow K$ (the counit).

This data should satisfy the following two axioms:

(11.2.1) Δ is coassociative in the sense that for any $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \pi$,

$$(\Delta_{\alpha, \beta} \otimes \text{id}_{A_\gamma})\Delta_{\alpha\beta, \gamma} = (\text{id}_{A_\alpha} \otimes \Delta_{\beta, \gamma})\Delta_{\alpha, \beta\gamma} : A_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \rightarrow A_\alpha \otimes A_\beta \otimes A_\gamma;$$

(11.2.2) for any $\alpha \in \pi$,

$$(\text{id}_{A_\alpha} \otimes \varepsilon_1) \Delta_{\alpha,1} = (\varepsilon_1 \otimes \text{id}_{A_\alpha}) \Delta_{1,\alpha} = \text{id}_{A_\alpha} : A_\alpha \rightarrow A_\alpha$$

A *Hopf π -coalgebra* over K is a π -coalgebra (A, Δ, ε) where each A_α is an associative K -algebra with multiplication μ_α and (left and right) unit 1_α endowed with algebra anti-isomorphisms $s = \{s_\alpha : A_\alpha \rightarrow A_{\alpha^{-1}}\}_{\alpha \in \pi}$ (the antipode) such that

(11.2.3) for all $\alpha, \beta \in \pi$ the comultiplication $\Delta_{\alpha,\beta}$ is an algebra homomorphism and $\Delta_{\alpha,\beta}(1_{\alpha\beta}) = 1_\alpha \otimes 1_\beta$;

(11.2.4) the counit $\varepsilon_1 : A_1 \rightarrow K$ is an algebra homomorphism and $\varepsilon_1(1_1) = 1_K$;

(11.2.5) for any $\alpha \in \pi$,

$$\mu_\alpha(s_{\alpha^{-1}} \otimes \text{id}_{A_\alpha}) \Delta_{\alpha^{-1},\alpha} = \mu_\alpha(\text{id}_{A_\alpha} \otimes s_{\alpha^{-1}}) \Delta_{\alpha,\alpha^{-1}} = 1_\alpha \varepsilon_1 : A_1 \rightarrow A_\alpha.$$

It follows from the properties of algebra anti-isomorphisms that $s_\alpha(1_\alpha) = 1_{\alpha^{-1}}$ for all $\alpha \in \pi$. Note also that the tuple $(A_1, \Delta_{1,1}, \varepsilon_1, s_1)$ is a Hopf algebra in the usual sense of the word. We call it the *neutral component* of A .

Warning: the notion of a Hopf π -coalgebra is not self-dual. It is quite interesting to study the dual notion of a Hopf π -algebra but we shall not need it in this paper.

A *crossed Hopf π -coalgebra* over K is a Hopf π -coalgebra $(\{A_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \pi}, \Delta, \varepsilon_1, s)$ endowed with a family of algebra isomorphisms $\varphi = \{\varphi_\alpha : A_\beta \rightarrow A_{\alpha\beta\alpha^{-1}}\}_{\alpha,\beta \in \pi}$ such that

(11.2.6) each φ_α preserves the counit, the antipode, and the comultiplication, i.e., for any $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \pi$, we have

$$\varepsilon_1 \varphi_\alpha|_{A_1} = \varepsilon_1,$$

$$\varphi_\alpha s_\beta = s_{\alpha\beta\alpha^{-1}} \varphi_\alpha : A_\beta \rightarrow A_{\alpha\beta\alpha^{-1}},$$

$$(\varphi_\alpha \otimes \varphi_\alpha) \Delta_{\beta,\gamma} = \Delta_{\alpha\beta\alpha^{-1}, \alpha\gamma\alpha^{-1}} \varphi_\alpha : A_{\beta\gamma} \rightarrow A_{\alpha\beta\alpha^{-1}} \otimes A_{\alpha\gamma\alpha^{-1}};$$

(11.2.7) φ is an action of π , i.e., $\varphi_{\alpha\alpha'} = \varphi_\alpha \varphi_{\alpha'}$ for all $\alpha, \alpha' \in \pi$.

It is clear that $\varphi_\alpha(1_\beta) = 1_{\alpha\beta\alpha^{-1}}$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in \pi$ and $\varphi_\alpha(A_1) = A_1$. Restricting the action φ of π to A_1 we obtain an action of π on A_1 by Hopf algebra endomorphisms.

We end this subsection with two examples of crossed Hopf π -coalgebras. Both examples are derived from an action of π on a Hopf algebra $(A, \Delta, \varepsilon, s)$ over K by Hopf algebra endomorphisms. Set $A^\pi = \{A_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \pi}$ where for each $\alpha \in \pi$, the algebra A_α is a copy of A . Fix an identification isomorphism of algebras $i_\alpha : A \rightarrow A_\alpha$. For $\alpha, \beta \in \pi$, we define a comultiplication $\Delta_{\alpha,\beta} : A_{\alpha\beta} \rightarrow A_\alpha \otimes A_\beta$ by

$$\Delta_{\alpha,\beta}(i_{\alpha\beta}(a)) = \sum_{(a)} i_\alpha(a') \otimes i_\beta(a'')$$

where $a \in A$ and $\Delta(a) = \sum_{(a)} a' \otimes a''$ is the given comultiplication in A written in Sweedler's sigma notation. The counit $\varepsilon_1 : A_1 \rightarrow K$ is defined by $\varepsilon_1(i_1(a)) = \varepsilon(a) \in K$ for $a \in A$. For $\alpha \in \pi$, the antipode $s_\alpha : A_\alpha \rightarrow A_{\alpha^{-1}}$ is given by

$$s_\alpha(i_\alpha(a)) = i_{\alpha^{-1}}(s(a))$$

where $a \in A$. For $\alpha, \beta \in \pi$, the homomorphism $\varphi_\alpha : A_\beta \rightarrow A_{\alpha\beta\alpha^{-1}}$ is defined by $\varphi_\alpha(i_\beta(a)) = i_{\alpha\beta\alpha^{-1}}(\alpha(a))$. All the axioms of a crossed Hopf π -coalgebra for A^π follow directly from definitions.

The second example differs only by the definition of the comultiplication and the antipode. Let $\overline{A}^\pi$ be the same family of algebras $\{A_\alpha = A\}_{\alpha \in \pi}$ with the same counit, the same action φ of π , the comultiplication $\overline{\Delta}_{\alpha,\beta} : A_{\alpha\beta} \rightarrow A_\alpha \otimes A_\beta$ and the antipode $\overline{s}_\alpha : A_\alpha \rightarrow A_{\alpha^{-1}}$ defined by

$$\overline{\Delta}_{\alpha,\beta}(i_{\alpha\beta}(a)) = \sum_{(a)} i_\alpha(\beta(a')) \otimes i_\beta(a''),$$

$$\overline{s}_\alpha(i_\alpha(a)) = i_{\alpha^{-1}}(\alpha(s(a))) = i_{\alpha^{-1}}(s(\alpha(a)))$$

where $a \in A$. The axioms of a crossed Hopf π -coalgebra for $\overline{A}^\pi$ follow from definitions. Both A^π and $\overline{A}^\pi$ are extensions of A since $A_1^\pi = \overline{A}_1^\pi = A_1$ as Hopf algebras.

In particular, if G is a Lie group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ then the universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{g})$ has a canonical structure of a Hopf algebra and G acts on $U(\mathfrak{g})$ by Hopf algebra endomorphisms induced by the group conjugation. The constructions above give crossed Hopf G -algebras $(U(\mathfrak{g}))^G = \{U(\mathfrak{g})_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in G}$ and $\overline{(U(\mathfrak{g}))}^G = \{U(\mathfrak{g})_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in G}$ where each $U(\mathfrak{g})_\alpha$ is a copy of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ sitting at $\alpha \in G$.

11.3. Quasitriangular Hopf π -coalgebras. Let $A = (\{A_\alpha\}, \Delta, \varepsilon_1, s, \varphi)$ be a crossed Hopf π -coalgebra. A *universal R -matrix* in A is a family of invertible elements

$$(11.3.a) \quad R = \{R_{\alpha,\beta} \in A_\alpha \otimes A_\beta\}_{\alpha,\beta \in \pi}$$

satisfying the following conditions:

$$(11.3.1) \quad \text{for any } \alpha, \beta \in \pi, a \in A_{\alpha\beta},$$

$$R_{\alpha,\beta} \Delta_{\alpha,\beta}(a) = \text{Perm}_{\beta,\alpha}((\varphi_{\alpha^{-1}} \otimes \text{id}_{A_\alpha}) \Delta_{\alpha\beta\alpha^{-1},\alpha}(a)) R_{\alpha,\beta}$$

where $\text{Perm}_{\beta,\alpha}$ is the flip $A_\beta \otimes A_\alpha \rightarrow A_\alpha \otimes A_\beta$;

$$(11.3.2) \quad \text{for any } \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \pi,$$

$$(\text{id}_{A_\alpha} \otimes \Delta_{\beta,\gamma})(R_{\alpha,\beta\gamma}) = (R_{\alpha,\gamma})_{1\beta 3} (R_{\alpha,\beta})_{12\gamma}$$

and

$$(\Delta_{\alpha,\beta} \otimes \text{id}_{A_\gamma})(R_{\alpha\beta,\gamma}) = ((\varphi_\beta \otimes \text{id}_{A_\gamma})(R_{\beta^{-1}\alpha\beta,\gamma}))_{1\beta 3} (R_{\beta,\gamma})_{\alpha 23}$$

where for K -modules P, Q and $r = \sum_j p_j \otimes q_j \in P \otimes Q$ we set

$$r_{12\gamma} = r \otimes 1_\gamma \in P \otimes Q \otimes A_\gamma, \quad r_{\alpha 23} = 1_\alpha \otimes r \in A_\alpha \otimes P \otimes Q$$

and

$$r_{1\beta 3} = \sum_j p_j \otimes 1_\beta \otimes q_j \in P \otimes A_\beta \otimes Q;$$

(11.3.3) the family (11.3.a) is invariant under the endomorphisms φ_α , i.e.,

$$(\varphi_\alpha \otimes \varphi_\alpha)(R_{\beta,\gamma}) = R_{\alpha\beta\alpha^{-1},\alpha\gamma\alpha^{-1}}.$$

A crossed Hopf π -coalgebra endowed with a universal R -matrix is said to be *quasitriangular*. It is easy to deduce from (11.3.1) - (11.3.3) the Yang-Baxter equality for R :

$$(R_{\alpha,\beta})_{12\gamma} ((\varphi_\beta \otimes \text{id}_{A_\gamma})(R_{\beta^{-1}\alpha\beta,\gamma}))_{1\beta 3} (R_{\beta,\gamma})_{\alpha 23} = (R_{\beta,\gamma})_{\alpha 23} (R_{\alpha,\gamma})_{1\beta 3} (R_{\alpha,\beta})_{12\gamma}.$$

11.4. Ribbon Hopf π -coalgebras. Let A be a quasitriangular (crossed) Hopf π -coalgebra with universal R -matrix (11.3.a). A *twist* in A is a collection of invertible elements $\{\theta_\alpha \in A_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \pi}$ such that

$$(11.4.1) \quad \varphi_\alpha(a) = \theta_\alpha^{-1} a \theta_\alpha \text{ for all } \alpha \in \pi, a \in A_\alpha;$$

$$(11.4.2) \quad s_\alpha(\theta_\alpha) = \theta_{\alpha^{-1}} \text{ for all } \alpha \in \pi;$$

$$(11.4.3) \quad \text{for all } \alpha, \beta \in \pi,$$

$$\Delta_{\alpha,\beta}(\theta_{\alpha\beta}) = (\theta_\alpha \otimes \theta_\beta) \text{Perm}_{\beta,\alpha}((\text{id}_{A_\beta} \otimes \varphi_\alpha) R_{\beta,\alpha}) R_{\alpha,\beta};$$

$$(11.4.4) \quad \varphi_\alpha(\theta_\beta) = \theta_{\alpha\beta\alpha^{-1}} \text{ for all } \alpha, \beta \in \pi.$$

A quasitriangular crossed Hopf π -coalgebra endowed with a twist is said to be *ribbon*.

It follows from definitions that the neutral component A_1 of A endowed with $R_{1,1} \in A_1 \otimes A_1, \theta_1 \in A_1$ is a ribbon Hopf algebra in the sense of Section 11.1. In particular, the equality $\varphi_1 = \text{id}$ implies that θ_1 lies in the center of A_1 .

For $\pi = 1$, the notions introduced in Sections 11.3 and 11.4 boil down to the standard notions of quasitriangular and ribbon Hopf algebras.

11.5. Examples. We give here two examples of ribbon Hopf π -coalgebras. Let $(A, \Delta, \varepsilon, s, R, v)$ be a ribbon Hopf algebra over K . An element $\alpha \in A$ is *group-like* if $\Delta(\alpha) = \alpha \otimes \alpha$ and $\varepsilon(\alpha) = 1 \in K$. Any group-like element α is invertible and $s(\alpha) = \alpha^{-1}$. The group-like elements of A form a group, $\pi = \pi(A)$, under multiplication in A . For $\alpha \in \pi$, the formula $a \mapsto \alpha a \alpha^{-1}$ with $a \in A$ defines a Hopf algebra endomorphism of A . This gives an action of π on A by Hopf algebra endomorphisms. Applying the constructions of Section 11.2 to this action we obtain crossed Hopf π -coalgebras A^π and $\overline{A}^\pi$. We define a universal R -matrix and twist in A^π by

$$R_{\alpha,\beta} = (i_\alpha \otimes i_\beta)((1_A \otimes \alpha^{-1}) R) \in A_\alpha \otimes A_\beta \quad \text{and} \quad \theta_\alpha = i_\alpha(v\alpha^{-1}) \in A_\alpha$$

where $\alpha, \beta \in \pi$. We define a universal R -matrix and twist in $\overline{A}^\pi$ by

$$\overline{R}_{\alpha,\beta} = (i_\alpha \otimes i_\beta)(R(\beta^{-1} \otimes 1_A)) \in A_\alpha \otimes A_\beta \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{\theta}_\alpha = i_\alpha(v\alpha^{-1}) \in A_\alpha$$

where $\alpha, \beta \in \pi$. A direct computation shows that $(A^\pi, \{R_{\alpha,\beta}\}_{\alpha,\beta \in \pi}, \{\theta_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \pi})$ and $(\overline{A}^\pi, \{\overline{R}_{\alpha,\beta}\}_{\alpha,\beta \in \pi}, \{\overline{\theta}_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \pi})$ are ribbon Hopf π -coalgebras.

Group-like elements of a quantum universal enveloping algebra $A = U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ are well-known. For instance, if $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(N+1)$ and q is generic then $\pi(A) = \mathbf{Z}^N$ is a free abelian group of rank N generated by the canonical group-like elements $K_1, \dots, K_N \in A$. If q is a primitive root of unity of order ℓ , then one usually considers a version A^{res} of $A = U_q(\mathfrak{sl}(N+1))$ with $K_i^\ell = 1$ for all $i = 1, \dots, N$ (see [KRT]). Then $\pi(A^{\text{res}}) = (\mathbf{Z}/\ell\mathbf{Z})^N$.

11.6. Operations on crossed Hopf group-coalgebras. In analogy with the pull-back of group-categories, we can pull back a Hopf π -coalgebra A along a group homomorphism $q : \pi' \rightarrow \pi$. This gives a Hopf π' -algebra $A' = q^*(A)$ defined by $A'_\alpha = A_{q(\alpha)}$ for any $\alpha \in \pi'$. If A is crossed (resp. quasitriangular, ribbon), then A' has the structure of a crossed (resp. quasitriangular, ribbon) Hopf π' -algebra obtained by lifting the data from A to A' in the obvious way. Taking $\pi = 1$ and choosing as A any quantum group, we obtain an example of a ribbon Hopf π' -algebra for any π' .

The constructions of direct sum, tensor product, and transfer discussed in Sections 1.4, 2.5, 13 have their analogues for crossed, quasitriangular and ribbon Hopf group-coalgebras. We leave the details to the reader. (A transfer for π -algebras is discussed in a related setting in [Tu3]).

Given a crossed Hopf π -coalgebra $A = (\{A_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \pi}, \Delta, \varepsilon_1, s, \varphi)$ we define its mirror $\overline{A} = (\{\overline{A}_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \pi}, \overline{\Delta}, \overline{\varepsilon}_1, \overline{s}, \overline{\varphi})$. For $\alpha \in \pi$, set $\overline{A}_\alpha = A_{\alpha^{-1}}$. For $a \in \overline{A}_{\alpha\beta}$, set

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\Delta}_{\alpha,\beta}(a) &= (\varphi_\beta \otimes \text{id}_{A_{\beta^{-1}}})\Delta_{\beta^{-1}\alpha^{-1}\beta,\beta^{-1}}(a) \\ &= (\text{id}_{A_{\alpha^{-1}}} \otimes \varphi_{\beta^{-1}})\Delta_{\alpha^{-1},\beta^{-1}}\varphi_\beta(a) \in A_{\alpha^{-1}} \otimes A_{\beta^{-1}} = \overline{A}_\alpha \otimes \overline{A}_\beta. \end{aligned}$$

This defines a comultiplication $\overline{\Delta}_{\alpha,\beta} : \overline{A}_{\alpha\beta} \rightarrow \overline{A}_\alpha \otimes \overline{A}_\beta$. Set $\overline{\varepsilon}_1 = \varepsilon_1 : \overline{A}_1 = A_1 \rightarrow K$. For $\alpha \in \pi$, set

$$\overline{s}_\alpha = \varphi_\alpha s_{\alpha^{-1}} : \overline{A}_\alpha = A_{\alpha^{-1}} \rightarrow A_\alpha = \overline{A}_{\alpha^{-1}}.$$

Finally set $\overline{\varphi}_\alpha = \varphi_\alpha$ for all $\alpha \in \pi$. A direct computation shows that $\overline{A} = (\{\overline{A}_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \pi}, \overline{\Delta}, \overline{\varepsilon}_1, \overline{s}, \overline{\varphi})$ is a crossed Hopf π -coalgebra. Moreover, if R, θ are a universal R -matrix and a twist in A then the formulas

$$\overline{R}_{\alpha,\beta} = (\text{Perm}(R_{\beta^{-1},\alpha^{-1}}))^{-1} \in \overline{A}_\alpha \otimes \overline{A}_\beta, \quad \overline{\theta}_\alpha = (\theta_{\alpha^{-1}})^{-1} \in \overline{A}_\alpha$$

define a universal R -matrix and a twist in $\overline{A}$. It is easy to see that $\overline{\overline{A}} = A$.

For example, the crossed Hopf π -coalgebras A^π and $\overline{A}^\pi$ defined in Section 11.2 are mirrors of each other. The ribbon Hopf π -coalgebras A^π and $\overline{A}^\pi$ constructed in Section 11.5 are related as follows: $\overline{A}^\pi$ is the mirror of B^π where $B = (A, (\text{Perm}(R))^{-1}, v^{-1})$ and $\pi = \pi(A) = \pi(B)$.

11.7. Categories of representations. We shall associate with every Hopf π -coalgebra $A = (\{A_\alpha\}, \Delta, \varepsilon_1, s, \varphi)$ a category of representations $\text{Rep}(A)$ which has a

natural structure of a π -category. Moreover, if A is crossed (resp. quasitriangular, ribbon) then $\text{Rep}(A)$ is crossed (resp. braided, ribbon).

By an A_α -module we mean a left A_α -module whose underlying K -module is projective of finite type. (The unit of A_α is supposed to act as the identity.) The category $\text{Rep}(A)$ is the disjoint union of the categories $\{\text{Rep}(A_\alpha)\}_{\alpha \in \pi}$ where $\text{Rep}(A_\alpha)$ is the category of A_α -modules and A_α -linear homomorphisms. The tensor product and the unit object in $\text{Rep}(A)$ are defined in the usual way using the comultiplication Δ and the counit ε_1 . The associativity morphisms are the standard identification isomorphisms for modules $(U \otimes V) \otimes W = U \otimes (V \otimes W)$; they will be suppressed from the notation. The same applies to the morphisms l, r which are the standard identifications $U \otimes K = U = K \otimes U$.

For $U \in \text{Rep}(A_\alpha)$, we have $U^* = \text{Hom}_K(U, K) \in \text{Rep}(A_{\alpha^{-1}})$ where $a \in A_{\alpha^{-1}}$ acts as the transpose of $x \mapsto s_{\alpha^{-1}}(a)(x) : U \rightarrow U$. The duality morphism $d_U : U^* \otimes U \rightarrow \mathbb{I} = K$ is the evaluation pairing; it gives rise to b_U in the usual way, cf. [Tu2, Chapter XI]. The second line of equalities in (11.2.2) implies that d_U, b_U are A_1 -linear.

The automorphism φ_α of A defines an automorphism, Φ_α , of $\text{Rep}(A)$. If $U \in \text{Rep}(A_\beta)$ then $\Phi_\alpha(U)$ has the same underlying K -module as U and each $a \in A_{\alpha\beta\alpha^{-1}}$ acts as multiplication by $\varphi_\alpha^{-1}(a) \in A_\beta$. Every A_β -homomorphism $U \rightarrow U'$ is mapped to itself considered as a $A_{\alpha\beta\alpha^{-1}}$ -homomorphism. It is easy to check that $\text{Rep}(A)$ is a crossed π -category.

A universal R -matrix (11.3.a) in A induces a braiding in $\text{Rep}(A)$ as follows. For $U \in \text{Rep}(A_\alpha), V \in \text{Rep}(A_\beta)$, the braiding $c_{V,W} : V \otimes W \rightarrow {}^V W \otimes V$ is the composition of multiplication by $R_{\alpha,\beta}$, permutation $V \otimes W \rightarrow W \otimes V$ and the K -isomorphism $W \otimes V = {}^V W \otimes V$ which comes from the fact that $W = {}^V W$ as K -modules. The conditions defining a universal R -matrix ensure that $\{c_{V,W}\}_{V,W}$ is a braiding.

A twist θ in A induces a twist in $\text{Rep}(A)$: for any A_α -module V , the morphism $\theta_V : V \rightarrow {}^V V$ is the composition of multiplication by $\theta_\alpha \in A_\alpha$ and the K -isomorphism $V \rightarrow {}^V V$ which comes from the fact that ${}^V V = V$ as K -modules. Conditions (11.4.1), (11.4.4) imply that the resulting homomorphism $V \rightarrow {}^V V$ is A_α -linear. Condition (2.3.1) follows from definitions, conditions (2.3.2) - (2.3.4) follow from (11.4.2) - (11.4.4), respectively. Thus, $\text{Rep}(A)$ is a ribbon crossed π -category.

As an exercise the reader may check that $\overline{\text{Rep}(A)} = \text{Rep}(\overline{A})$.

11.8. Remarks. 1. The idea of a Hopf group-coalgebra comes from the following observation. Consider a topological group G . For $\alpha \in G$, denote by $C_\alpha = C_\alpha(G)$ the algebra of germs of continuous functions in $\alpha \in G$. The group multiplication $G \times G \rightarrow G$ induces an algebra homomorphism $C_1 \rightarrow C_1 \hat{\otimes} C_1$ which makes C_1 a topological Hopf algebra. Similarly, the group multiplication in G induces an algebra homomorphism $\Delta_{\alpha,\beta} : C_{\alpha\beta} \rightarrow C_\alpha \hat{\otimes} C_\beta$ for any $\alpha, \beta \in G$. This makes the system of algebras $\{C_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in G}$ a (topological) Hopf G -coalgebra.

In this example we can compute $\Delta_{\alpha,\beta}$ via $\Delta_{1,1}$ and the adjoint action of G on C_1 as follows. Observe first that left multiplication by α induces an algebra

isomorphism, $i_\alpha : C_1 \rightarrow C_\alpha$. Let x, y be two elements of G close to $1 \in G$. Then $\alpha x, \beta y$ are close to α, β , respectively. For $f \in C_1$,

$$\begin{aligned} (i_\alpha^{-1} \otimes i_\beta^{-1})\Delta_{\alpha,\beta}(i_{\alpha\beta}(f))(x, y) &= \Delta_{\alpha,\beta}(i_{\alpha\beta}(f))(\alpha x, \beta y) \\ &= i_{\alpha\beta}(f)(\alpha x \beta y) = f((\alpha\beta)^{-1}\alpha x \beta y) = f(\beta^{-1}x \beta y) = \Delta_{1,1}(f)(\beta^{-1}x \beta, y). \end{aligned}$$

This computation leads to the second example of Hopf group-coalgebras in Section 11.2.

2. Many aspects of the theory of Hopf algebras generalize to crossed Hopf π -coalgebras. The notion of a modular Hopf algebra [RT] can be extended to this setting which gives rise to modular crossed π -categories. The Drinfeld's double construction and the Drinfeld's theory of quasi-Hopf algebras also generalize to this setting. In particular, the double of a crossed Hopf π -coalgebra is a quasitriangular crossed Hopf π -coalgebra, see [Zu].

3. By now there is quite a number of constructions of topological invariants of 3-manifolds from Hopf algebras. Note in particular the constructions introduced by Turaev-Viro [TV], Hennings [He], and Kuperberg [Ku]. All of them can be generalized to our setting. This allows to derive invariants of 3-dimensional π -manifolds from certain crossed Hopf π -coalgebras, see Appendix 2 and [Vi].

4. There is a special case of the definitions above where all the crossed isomorphisms $\{\varphi_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \pi}$ are the identity maps. Namely, assume that A is a Hopf π -coalgebra over an abelian group π . Then the trivial homomorphism $\varphi = 1 : \pi \rightarrow \text{Aut}(A)$ makes A a crossed Hopf π -coalgebra. In this case the definitions of the universal R -matrix and the twist in A considerably simplify. Such quasitriangular crossed Hopf π -coalgebras were first considered by T. Ohtsuki [Oh1], [Oh2]. He calls them colored Hopf algebras and derives examples of such algebras from $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$.

12. Canonical extensions

We show in this section that a monoidal (resp. braided, ribbon) category canonically extends to a certain crossed (resp. braided, ribbon) group-category.

12.1. Extensions of a monoidal category. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a K -additive monoidal category with left duality. Observe that $\mathcal{C}$ is a $\{1\}$ -category where $\{1\}$ is a trivial group. For any group π , the category $\mathcal{C}$ gives rise to a π -category $\mathcal{C}^\pi$ obtained by pulling back $\mathcal{C}$ along the trivial homomorphism $\pi \rightarrow 1$. By definition, $\mathcal{C}^\pi = \coprod_{\alpha \in \pi} \mathcal{C}_\alpha^\pi$ where the objects of $\mathcal{C}_\alpha^\pi$ are pairs $(U \in \mathcal{C}, \alpha)$. A morphism $(U, \alpha) \rightarrow (V, \beta)$ with $\alpha, \beta \in \pi$ is $0 \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(U, V)$ if $\alpha \neq \beta$ and any element of $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(U, V)$ if $\alpha = \beta$. The operations on objects and the unit object are defined by

$$(U, \alpha) \otimes (V, \beta) = (U \otimes V, \alpha\beta), \quad (U, \alpha)^* = (U^*, \alpha^{-1}), \quad \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{C}^\pi} = (\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{C}}, 1).$$

The structural morphisms (1.1.a,b,e) and composition and tensor product of morphisms are induced by the corresponding operations in $\mathcal{C}$ in the obvious way.

Assume now that π acts on $\mathcal{C}$ by automorphisms. Such an action is determined by a group homomorphism $\pi \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathcal{C})$ where $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{C})$ is the group of automorphisms of $\mathcal{C}$ defined in Section 2.1. Then $\mathcal{C}^\pi$ acquires the structure of a crossed π -category as follows. For $\alpha \in \pi$ and $(V, \beta) \in \mathcal{C}_\beta^\pi$, set

$$\varphi_\alpha(V, \beta) = (\alpha(V), \alpha\beta\alpha^{-1}) \in \mathcal{C}_{\alpha\beta\alpha^{-1}}^\pi.$$

For a morphism $f : (V, \beta) \rightarrow (W, \gamma)$ in $\mathcal{C}^\pi$ with $f \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(V, W)$ set

$$\varphi_\alpha(f) = \alpha(f) \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\alpha(V), \alpha(W)) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^\pi}(\varphi_\alpha(V, \beta), \varphi_\alpha(W, \gamma)).$$

All the axioms of a crossed π -category follow from definitions. Applying this construction to $\pi = \text{Aut}(\mathcal{C})$, we obtain a canonical extension of $\mathcal{C}$ to a crossed $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{C})$ -category.

12.2. The group $\text{Aut}_0(\mathcal{C})$. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a K -additive monoidal category with left duality. Denote by $\text{id}_{\mathcal{C}}$ the identity functor $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ sending each object and each morphism of $\mathcal{C}$ into itself. We introduce a group $\text{Aut}_0(\mathcal{C})$, formed by monoidal equivalences of $\text{id}_{\mathcal{C}}$ to automorphisms of $\mathcal{C}$. More precisely, an element of $\text{Aut}_0(\mathcal{C})$ is a pair $(\alpha \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{C}), \text{an invertible monoidal morphism of functors } F : \text{id}_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \alpha)$. The latter means that for each object $U \in \mathcal{C}$ we have an invertible morphism $F_U : U \rightarrow \alpha(U)$ in $\mathcal{C}$ such that

(i) for any morphism $f : U \rightarrow V$ in $\mathcal{C}$ the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U & \xrightarrow{f} & V \\ F_U \downarrow & & \downarrow F_V \\ \alpha(U) & \xrightarrow{\alpha(f)} & \alpha(V); \end{array}$$

(ii) $F_{\mathbb{I}} = \text{id}_{\mathbb{I}}$ and for any $U, V \in \mathcal{C}$, we have $F_{U \otimes V} = F_U \otimes F_V$.

The product of two pairs $(\alpha, F), (\alpha', F') \in \text{Aut}_0(\mathcal{C})$ is the pair $(\alpha\alpha', FF')$ where for each $U \in \mathcal{C}$ we have $(FF')_U = F_{\alpha'(U)}F'_U : U \rightarrow (\alpha\alpha')(U)$. It is clear that $\text{Aut}_0(\mathcal{C})$ is a group with respect to this multiplication. The pair $(\alpha = \text{id}_{\mathcal{C}}, F = \{\text{id}_U\}_{U \in \mathcal{C}})$ is the unit object of $\text{Aut}_0(\mathcal{C})$.

Forgetting F , we obtain a group homomorphism $i_0 : \text{Aut}_0(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathcal{C})$ whose image consists of the automorphisms of $\mathcal{C}$ monoidally equivalent to $\text{id}_{\mathcal{C}}$. The key property of the elements of the image is given by the following lemma.

12.2.1. Lemma. *If $\mathcal{C}$ is braided then all elements of $i_0(\text{Aut}_0(\mathcal{C})) \subset \text{Aut}(\mathcal{C})$ preserve the braiding. If $\mathcal{C}$ is ribbon then all elements of $i_0(\text{Aut}_0(\mathcal{C}))$ preserve both the braiding and the twist.*

Proof. Let $\{c_{U,V} : U \otimes V \rightarrow V \otimes U\}_{U,V \in \mathcal{C}}$ be a braiding in $\mathcal{C}$. Let $(\alpha, F) \in$

$\text{Aut}_0(\mathcal{C})$. Then for any $U, V \in \mathcal{C}$ we have a commutative diagram

$$(12.2.a) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} U \otimes V & \xrightarrow{c_{U,V}} & V \otimes U \\ F_{U \otimes V} \downarrow & & \downarrow F_{V \otimes U} \\ \alpha(U) \otimes \alpha(V) & \xrightarrow{\alpha(c_{U,V})} & \alpha(V) \otimes \alpha(U). \end{array}$$

On the other hand, by the naturality of the braiding we have

$$F_{V \otimes U} c_{U,V} = (F_V \otimes F_U) c_{U,V} = c_{\alpha(U), \alpha(V)} (F_U \otimes F_V) = c_{\alpha(U), \alpha(V)} F_{U \otimes V}.$$

Thus, if we replace in (12.2.a) the bottom arrow by $c_{\alpha(U), \alpha(V)}$ we obtain a commutative diagram. Since all the arrows in (12.2.a) are invertible morphisms, $c_{\alpha(U), \alpha(V)} = \alpha(c_{U,V})$. Similarly, if $\{\theta_U : U \rightarrow U\}_{U \in \mathcal{C}}$ is a twist in $\mathcal{C}$ then for any $U \in \mathcal{C}$ we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U & \xrightarrow{\theta_U} & U \\ F_U \downarrow & & \downarrow F_U \\ \alpha(U) & \xrightarrow{\alpha(\theta_U)} & \alpha(U). \end{array}$$

By the naturality of the twist we have $F_U \theta_U = \theta_{\alpha(U)} F_U$ and therefore $\alpha(\theta_U) = \theta_{\alpha(U)}$.

12.3. The canonical extension of a ribbon category. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a braided K -additive monoidal category with left duality. Set $\pi = \text{Aut}_0(\mathcal{C})$. We define here a canonical extension of $\mathcal{C}$ to a braided crossed π -category. Applying the constructions of Section 12.1 to the group homomorphism $i_0 : \pi = \text{Aut}_0(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathcal{C})$ we obtain a crossed π -category $\mathcal{C}^\pi$. The braiding $\{c_{U,V} : U \otimes V \rightarrow V \otimes U\}_{U,V \in \mathcal{C}}$ in $\mathcal{C}$ induces a braiding in $\mathcal{C}^\pi$ as follows. Let $u = (U, (\alpha, F)), v = (V, (\beta, G))$ be objects of $\mathcal{C}^\pi$ where $U, V \in \mathcal{C}$ and $(\alpha, F), (\beta, G) \in \pi$. Observe that

$$u \otimes v = (U \otimes V, (\alpha, F)(\beta, G)), \quad \varphi_{(\alpha, F)}(v) = (\alpha(V), (\alpha, F)(\beta, G)(\alpha, F)^{-1}),$$

$${}^u v \otimes u = \varphi_{(\alpha, F)}(v) \otimes u = (\alpha(V) \otimes U, (\alpha, F)(\beta, G)).$$

The invertible morphism $(F_V \otimes \text{id}_U) c_{U,V} : U \otimes V \rightarrow \alpha(V) \otimes U$ in $\mathcal{C}$ defines an invertible morphism in $\mathcal{C}^\pi$

$$(12.3.a) \quad c_{u,v} : u \otimes v \rightarrow {}^u v \otimes u.$$

If $\mathcal{C}$ is a ribbon category, then the twist $\{\theta_U : U \rightarrow U\}_{U \in \mathcal{C}}$ in $\mathcal{C}$ induces a twist in $\mathcal{C}^\pi$ as follows. Let $u = (U, (\alpha, F)) \in \mathcal{C}^\pi$. Then the invertible morphism $F_U \theta_U : U \rightarrow \alpha(U)$ in $\mathcal{C}$ defines an invertible morphism in $\mathcal{C}^\pi$

$$(12.3.b) \quad \theta_u : u \rightarrow {}^u u = \varphi_{(\alpha, F)}(u) = (\alpha(U), (\alpha, F)).$$

12.3.1. Theorem. *The morphisms (12.3.a) with $u, v \in \mathcal{C}^\pi$ form a braiding in $\mathcal{C}^\pi$. If $\mathcal{C}$ is ribbon, then the morphisms (12.3.b) with $u \in \mathcal{C}^\pi$ form a twist in $\mathcal{C}^\pi$. If $\mathcal{C}$ is modular, then so is $\mathcal{C}^\pi$.*

The proof goes by a routine verification of the axioms, we leave it to the reader. Note that the neutral component of $\mathcal{C}^\pi$ is $\mathcal{C}$ with its original braiding and twist.

12.4. Remarks and examples. 1. Condition (12.2.i) on a pair $(\alpha, F) \in \text{Aut}_0(\mathcal{C})$ shows that the action of α on morphisms is completely determined by F . Setting $F(U) = \alpha(U)$ for $U \in \mathcal{C}$, we can reformulate the definition of $\text{Aut}_0(\mathcal{C})$ entirely in terms of F . An element of $\text{Aut}_0(\mathcal{C})$ is thus described as a pair (a bijection F from the set of objects of $\mathcal{C}$ into itself, a system of invertible morphisms $\{F_U : U \rightarrow F(U)\}_{U \in \mathcal{C}}$) such that

- (i) $F(\mathbb{1}) = \mathbb{1}$ and $F_{\mathbb{1}} = \text{id}_{\mathbb{1}}$;
- (ii) for any $U, V \in \mathcal{C}$, we have $F(U \otimes V) = F(U) \otimes F(V)$ and $F_{U \otimes V} = F_U \otimes F_V$,
- (iii) for any $U \in \mathcal{C}$, we have $F(U^*) = (F(U))^*$ and $F_{U^*} = ((F_U)^*)^{-1}$ where $(F_U)^* : (F(U))^* \rightarrow U^*$ is the transpose of F_U .

2. Assume that in Example 2.6, the group π is abelian. Then the corresponding category $\mathcal{C}$ is a ribbon monoidal category in the usual sense of the word. Any automorphism of $\mathcal{C}$ monoidally equivalent to $\text{id}_{\mathcal{C}}$ is equal to $\text{id}_{\mathcal{C}}$ since all non-zero morphisms in $\mathcal{C}$ are proportional to the identity morphisms of objects. An element $(\alpha, F) \in \text{Aut}_0(\mathcal{C})$ is therefore completely determined by the map $U \mapsto F_U \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(U, U) = K$ where U runs over the elements of π . The inclusion $(\alpha, F) \in \text{Aut}_0(\mathcal{C})$ is equivalent to the condition that this map is a group homomorphism $\pi \rightarrow K^* \subset K$. Hence, $\text{Aut}_0(\mathcal{C}) = \text{Hom}(\pi, K^*) = \pi^*$. By Theorem 12.3.1, $\mathcal{C}$ gives rise to a ribbon crossed π^* -category $\mathcal{C}^{\pi^*}$.

3. Let G be a topological group and $\mathcal{C} = \text{Rep}(G)$ be the category of finite dimensional linear representations of G and G -linear homomorphisms. It is clear that $\mathcal{C}$ is a K -additive monoidal category with left duality. Moreover, this category is braided (in fact symmetric) with braiding given by the flips (permutations) $U \otimes V \rightarrow V \otimes U$. The category $\mathcal{C}$ is ribbon with twist $\theta_U = \text{id}_U : U \rightarrow U$ for all $U \in \mathcal{C}$. There is a group homomorphism $g \mapsto (\alpha^g, F^g) : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}_0(\mathcal{C})$ defined as follows. Given $g \in G$, the functor $\alpha^g : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ sends a G -module $(U, \rho_U : G \rightarrow \text{End}(U))$ into the same K -module U where each $h \in G$ acts as $\rho_U(g^{-1}hg)$. The functor α^g sends any G -linear homomorphism $f : U \rightarrow V$ into itself. It is clear that $\alpha^g \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{C})$. The morphism $F_U^g : U \rightarrow \alpha^g(U)$ in $\mathcal{C}$ is defined by $u \mapsto \rho_U(g^{-1})(u) : U \rightarrow U$ where u runs over U . The family $\{F_U^g\}_{U \in \mathcal{C}}$ satisfies the conditions of Section 12.2. Thus, $(\alpha^g, F^g) \in \text{Aut}_0(\mathcal{C})$. Pulling back the canonical extension $\mathcal{C}^{\text{Aut}_0(\mathcal{C})}$ along the group homomorphism $g \mapsto (\alpha^g, F^g) : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}_0(\mathcal{C})$ we obtain a ribbon crossed G -category $(\text{Rep}(G))^G$.

If G is a semisimple complex connected Lie group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, then the category $\text{Rep}(G)$ coincides with the category $U(\mathfrak{g})\text{-mod}$ of finite dimensional $U(\mathfrak{g})$ -modules. It would be most interesting to find a quantum deformation of the ribbon crossed G -category $(\text{Rep}(G))^G = (U(\mathfrak{g})\text{-mod})^G$ generalizing the deformation $U_q(\mathfrak{g})\text{-mod}$ of $U(\mathfrak{g})\text{-mod}$ arising in the theory of quantum groups.

4. One can generalize the constructions of this section to the case where the initial category $\mathcal{C}$ is a (braided or ribbon) crossed group-category; one should then consider only those automorphisms of $\mathcal{C}$ which are compatible with the given group action. We shall not pursue this line here.

13. Transfer of categories

Throughout this section $G \subset \pi$ is a subgroup of a group π . We shall show that each G -category $\mathcal{C}$ gives rise to a π -category $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ via a natural transfer. If $\mathcal{C}$ is crossed (resp. braided, ribbon) then $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ is crossed (resp. braided, ribbon).

13.1. Transfer for group-categories. Fix a G -category $\mathcal{C}$. We shall construct a π -category $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ called the *transfer* of $\mathcal{C}$.

Fix a representative $\omega_i \in \pi$ for each right coset class $i \in G \backslash \pi$ so that $i = G\omega_i \subset \pi$. For $\alpha \in \pi$, set

$$N(\alpha) = \{i \in G \backslash \pi \mid \omega_i \alpha \omega_i^{-1} \in G\} \subset G \backslash \pi.$$

An object U of $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ is a triple $(\alpha \in \pi, \text{ a subset } A \text{ of } N(\alpha), \text{ a family } \{U_i \in \mathcal{C}_{\omega_i \alpha \omega_i^{-1}}\}_{i \in A})$. The set A (which may be void) will be denoted by $|U|$. The morphisms in $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ are defined by

$$\text{Hom}_{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}}(U, U') = \prod_{i \in |U| \cap |U'|} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(U_i, U'_i).$$

Thus a morphism $f : U \rightarrow U'$ in $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ is a family $\{f_i : U_i \rightarrow U'_i\}_{i \in |U| \cap |U'|}$ of morphisms in $\mathcal{C}$. We view each f_i as the i -th coordinate of f . The K -linear structure in $\text{Hom}_{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}}(U, U')$ is coordinate-wise. The composition of two morphisms $f : U \rightarrow U'$ and $f' : U' \rightarrow U''$ is defined in coordinates by

$$(f'f)_i = \begin{cases} f'_i f_i : U_i \rightarrow U''_i, & \text{if } i \in |U| \cap |U'| \cap |U''|, \\ 0 : U_i \rightarrow U''_i, & \text{if } i \in (|U| \cap |U''|) \setminus |U'|. \end{cases}$$

This is an associative composition in $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ because the composition of morphisms in $\mathcal{C}$ is associative and the composition of a zero morphism in $\mathcal{C}$ with any other morphism is again a zero morphism. This defines $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ as a K -additive category. We have a splitting $\tilde{\mathcal{C}} = \coprod_{\alpha \in \pi} \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_\alpha$ where $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_\alpha$ is the full subcategory of $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ with objects $(\alpha, A, \{U_i\}_{i \in A})$.

The unit object of $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ is the triple $(1 \in \pi, A = G \backslash \pi, \{U_i = \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{C}}\}_{i \in A})$. The duality and tensor product for objects of $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ are defined by

$$(\alpha, A, \{U_i\}_{i \in A})^* = (\alpha^{-1}, A, \{U_i^*\}_{i \in A}),$$

$$(\alpha, A, \{U_i\}_{i \in A}) \otimes (\beta, B, \{V_j\}_{j \in B}) = (\alpha\beta, A \cap B, \{U_i \otimes V_j\}_{i \in A \cap B}).$$

Note that $N(\alpha) = N(\alpha^{-1})$ and that the inclusions $A \subset N(\alpha), B \subset N(\beta)$ imply that $A \cap B \subset N(\alpha\beta)$. Observe the identities $|U^*| = |U|, |U \otimes V| = |U| \cap |V|$.

The tensor product of morphisms $f : U \rightarrow U'$ and $g : V \rightarrow V'$ is defined by

$$(f \otimes g)_i = f_i \otimes g_i : U_i \otimes V_i \rightarrow U'_i \otimes V'_i$$

for all $i \in |U| \cap |V| \cap |U'| \cap |V'|$. It is a simple exercise to check the identity $(f' \otimes g')(f \otimes g) = f'f \otimes g'g$.

The structural morphisms a, l, r, b, d in $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ are all defined coordinate-wisely and their coordinates are the corresponding morphisms in $\mathcal{C}$. In particular, for every $U \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ we define $b_U : \mathbb{1} \rightarrow U \otimes U^*$ by $(b_U)_i = b_{U_i} : \mathbb{1} \rightarrow U_i \otimes (U_i)^*$ where $i \in |U|$. Similarly, $(d_U)_i = d_{U_i}, (l_U)_i = l_{U_i}, (r_U)_i = r_{U_i}$ where $i \in |U|$. The associativity morphisms are defined by $(a_{U,V,W})_i = a_{U_i,V_i,W_i}$ for all $i \in |U| \cap |V| \cap |W|$. The naturality of a, l, r and the identities (1.1.c,d,f,g) follow from the corresponding properties of $\mathcal{C}$. In general, the isomorphism class of $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ depends on the choice of $\omega_i \in i$.

13.2. Transfer for crossed group-categories. For each crossed G -category $(\mathcal{C}, \varphi : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathcal{C}))$, its transfer $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ is a crossed π -category. We need only to define the action of π on $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$. Fix the representatives $\omega_i \in i$ for $i \in G \backslash \pi$ needed in the construction of $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$. Consider the left action of π on $G \backslash \pi$ defined by $\alpha(i) = i\alpha^{-1}$ for $\alpha \in \pi, i \in G \backslash \pi$. We have $G\omega_{\alpha(i)} = G\omega_i\alpha^{-1}$ so that $\alpha_i = \omega_{\alpha(i)}\alpha\omega_i^{-1} \in G$ for all $\alpha \in \pi, i \in G \backslash \pi$.

For $\beta \in \pi$, the map $j \mapsto \alpha(j)$ sends bijectively $N(\beta)$ onto $N(\alpha\beta\alpha^{-1})$. For every $j \in N(\beta)$, we have the functor

$$\varphi_{\alpha_j} : \mathcal{C}_{\omega_j\beta\omega_j^{-1}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\omega_{\alpha(j)}\alpha\beta\alpha^{-1}(\omega_{\alpha(j)})^{-1}}.$$

Given an object $V = (\beta, B, \{V_j\}_{j \in B})$ of $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_\beta$, we apply $\{\varphi_{\alpha_j}\}_{j \in B}$ coordinate-wisely to obtain an object $\tilde{\varphi}_\alpha(V) = (\alpha\beta\alpha^{-1}, \alpha(B), \{\varphi_{\alpha_j}(V_j)\}_{j \in B})$ of $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\alpha\beta\alpha^{-1}}$. More precisely,

$$\tilde{\varphi}_\alpha(V) = (\alpha\beta\alpha^{-1}, \alpha(B), \{\varphi_{\alpha_{\alpha^{-1}(i)}}(V_{\alpha^{-1}(i)})\}_{i \in \alpha(B)}).$$

Clearly, $|\tilde{\varphi}_\alpha(V)| = \alpha(|V|)$. The action of $\tilde{\varphi}_\alpha$ on a morphism $f : V \rightarrow V'$ is given by

$$\tilde{\varphi}_\alpha(f) = \{\varphi_{\alpha_{\alpha^{-1}(i)}}(f_{\alpha^{-1}(i)} : V_{\alpha^{-1}(i)} \rightarrow V'_{\alpha^{-1}(i)})\}_{i \in \alpha(|V|) \cap \alpha(|V'|)}.$$

The functor $\tilde{\varphi}_\alpha$ preserves the structural morphisms in $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ because by assumptions the functors $\{\varphi_{\alpha_j}\}_j$ preserve the structural morphisms in $\mathcal{C}$. The category $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ with action $\tilde{\varphi}$ of π satisfies all the axioms of a crossed π -category. The isomorphism class of $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ does not depend on the choice of $\omega_i \in i$.

13.3. Transfer for braided and ribbon group-categories. If $\mathcal{C}$ is a braided crossed G -category then its transfer $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ acquires the structure of a braided π -category as follows. Let $U = (\alpha, A, \{U_i\}_{i \in A})$ and $V = (\beta, B, \{V_j\}_{j \in B})$ be objects of $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$. By definition,

$$U \otimes V = (\alpha\beta, A \cap B, \{U_i \otimes V_i\}_{i \in A \cap B}),$$

$${}^U V = \tilde{\varphi}_\alpha(V) = (\alpha\beta\alpha^{-1}, \alpha(B), \{\varphi_{\alpha_{\alpha^{-1}(i)}}(V_{\alpha^{-1}(i)})\}_{i \in \alpha(B)}),$$

$${}^U V \otimes U = (\alpha\beta, \alpha(B) \cap A, \{\varphi_{\alpha_{\alpha^{-1}(i)}}(V_{\alpha^{-1}(i)}) \otimes U_i\}_{i \in \alpha(B) \cap A}).$$

The latter expression simplifies if we observe that for any $i \in N(\alpha)$, we have $G\omega_i = G\omega_i\alpha^{-1}$ and therefore $\alpha(i) = i$. This implies that the map $i \mapsto \alpha(i) : G \backslash \pi \rightarrow G \backslash \pi$ is the identity on A . Hence, $\alpha(B) \cap A = B \cap A = A \cap B$ and

$${}^U V \otimes U = (\alpha\beta, A \cap B, \{\varphi_{\alpha_i}(V_i) \otimes U_i\}_{i \in A \cap B}).$$

Now, we define a morphism $c_{U,V} : U \otimes V \rightarrow {}^U V \otimes U$ by its coordinates $(c_{U,V})_i = c_{U_i, V_i} : U_i \otimes V_i \rightarrow \varphi_{\alpha_i}(V_i) \otimes U_i$ where i runs over $A \cap B$ and c_{U_i, V_i} is the given braiding in $\mathcal{C}$. Note that $\varphi_{\alpha_i}(V_i) = \varphi_{\omega_i \alpha \omega_i^{-1}}(V_i) = {}^{U_i}(V_i)$. The morphisms $\{c_{U,V}\}_{U,V}$ satisfy all the axioms of a braiding in the crossed π -category $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$; this is easily verified coordinate-wisely.

Similarly, if $\mathcal{C}$ is a ribbon crossed G -category then its transfer $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ has the structure of a ribbon π -category. For an object $U = (\alpha, A, \{U_i\}_{i \in A})$ of $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ we have ${}^U U = (\alpha, A, \{\varphi_{\alpha_i}(U_i)\}_{i \in A})$. Here $\alpha_i = \omega_i \alpha \omega_i^{-1}$ so that $\varphi_{\alpha_i}(U_i) = {}^{U_i}(U_i)$. The twist $\theta_U : U \rightarrow {}^U U$ is defined by its coordinates $(\theta_U)_i = \theta_{U_i} : U_i \rightarrow {}^{U_i}(U_i)$ where i runs over A . The morphisms $\{\theta_U\}_U$ satisfy all the axioms of a twist in the braided π -category $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$.

If $G \neq \pi$ then the transfer $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ of a modular G -category $\mathcal{C}$ is not modular: the algebra of endomorphisms of the unit object of $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ equals $(\text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{C}}))^{[\pi:G]} = K^{[\pi:G]} \neq K$.

Appendix 1. Quasi-abelian cohomology of groups

Let $a = \{a_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma} \in K^*\}_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma \in \pi}$ be a 3-cocycle of a group π with values in K^* and $c = \{c_{\alpha,\beta} \in K^*\}_{\alpha,\beta \in \pi}$ be a family of elements of K^* . Equations (2.6.a,c,e,f) on the pair (a, c) are equivalent to the equations introduced by C. Ospel [Os] from a different viewpoint (in his notation $c_{\alpha,\beta} = \Omega_{\beta,\alpha}$ and $a_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma} = f(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$). Following Ospel, we call such pairs (a, c) satisfying (2.6.a,c,e,f) *quasi-abelian 3-cocycles* on π . Examples of such cocycles are provided by pairs (a, c) where $a = 1$ and c is a bilinear form $(\alpha, \beta) \mapsto c_{\alpha,\beta} : H_1(\pi) \times H_1(\pi) \rightarrow K^*$.

Quasi-abelian 3-cocycles (a, c) on π form a commutative group under pointwise multiplication with unit $a = 1, c = 1$. This group contains the coboundaries of the conjugation invariant 2-cochains. A conjugation invariant 2-cochain is a map $(\alpha, \beta) \mapsto \eta_{\alpha,\beta} : \pi \times \pi \rightarrow K^*$ such that $\eta_{\delta\alpha\delta^{-1}, \delta\beta\delta^{-1}} = \eta_{\alpha,\beta}$ for any $\alpha, \beta, \delta \in \pi$. Its coboundary is defined by

$$a_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma} = \eta_{\alpha,\beta} \eta_{\alpha\beta,\gamma} (\eta_{\alpha,\beta\gamma})^{-1} (\eta_{\beta,\gamma})^{-1}, \quad c_{\alpha,\beta} = \eta_{\alpha,\beta} (\eta_{\beta,\alpha})^{-1}.$$

A direct computation shows that this is a quasi-abelian 3-cocycle. The quotient of the group of quasi-abelian 3-cocycles by the subgroup of coboundaries is the group of quasi-abelian cohomology of π denoted $H_{qa}^3(\pi; K^*)$. Involution (2.6.i) transforms the subgroup of coboundaries into itself and defines an involution on

$H_{qa}^3(\pi; K^*)$. Forgetting c we obtain a homomorphism to the usual cohomology $H_{qa}^3(\pi; K^*) \rightarrow H^3(\pi; K^*)$.

The constructions of Section 2.6 associate with any quasi-abelian 3-cocycle of π and a conjugation invariant family $\{b_\alpha \in K^*\}_{\alpha \in \pi}$ a certain braided crossed π -category. A twist in this category is determined by a family $\{\theta_\alpha \in K^*\}_{\alpha \in \pi}$ satisfying equations (2.6.g,h). The next lemma explicitly describes all the solutions to (2.6.g,h).

Lemma. *Let (a, c) be a quasi-abelian 3-cocycle of π . Then $\{\theta_\alpha = c_{\alpha, \alpha}\}_{\alpha \in \pi}$ satisfies (2.6.g,h). A general solution to (2.6.g,h) is the product of this solution with a group homomorphism $\pi \rightarrow \{k \in K^* \mid k^2 = 1\}$.*

Proof. It is obvious that any two solutions to (2.6.g) (with given c) are obtained from each other by multiplication by a group homomorphism $\pi \rightarrow K^*$. The condition $\theta_{\alpha^{-1}} = \theta_\alpha$ implies that this homomorphism takes values in the group $\{k \in K \mid k^2 = 1\} \subset K^*$.

Let us prove the first claim. A direct computation using first (2.6.e) and then (2.6.f), (2.6.a) yields

$$c_{\alpha\beta, \alpha\beta} = c_{\alpha, \alpha} c_{\beta, \beta} c_{\alpha, \beta} c_{\beta, \alpha} \times \\ \times [(a_{\alpha\beta, \alpha, \beta} a_{\alpha, \beta, \alpha\beta})^{-1} a_{\alpha\beta\alpha\beta^{-1}\alpha^{-1}, \alpha\beta, \beta} a_{\beta\alpha\beta^{-1}, \beta, \alpha} a_{\alpha\beta\alpha^{-1}, \alpha, \beta}].$$

Formula (2.6.g) follows from the fact that the expression in the square brackets is equal to 1. This can be obtained from (1.3.a) by applying substitution $\alpha \mapsto \beta\alpha\beta^{-1}, \gamma \mapsto \alpha, \delta \mapsto \alpha^{-1}\beta\alpha$ and using (2.6.a).

It remains to check the identity $c_{\alpha^{-1}, \alpha^{-1}} = c_{\alpha, \alpha}$. Applying (2.6.e) to $\beta = \gamma = 1$ we obtain $c_{\alpha, 1} = a_{\alpha, 1, 1} a_{1, 1, \alpha}$. Applying (2.6.e) to $\beta = \alpha, \gamma = \alpha^{-1}$ we obtain

$$c_{\alpha, \alpha^{-1}} = (c_{\alpha, \alpha})^{-1} c_{\alpha, 1} a_{\alpha, \alpha^{-1}, \alpha} = (c_{\alpha, \alpha})^{-1} a_{\alpha, 1, 1} a_{1, 1, \alpha} a_{\alpha, \alpha^{-1}, \alpha}.$$

Similarly, substituting $\beta = \delta = 1, \gamma = \alpha$ and $\beta = \alpha, \gamma = \alpha^{-1}, \delta = \alpha^{-1}$ in (2.6.f) we obtain $c_{1, \alpha} = (a_{\alpha, 1, 1} a_{1, 1, \alpha})^{-1}$ and

$$c_{\alpha^{-1}, \alpha^{-1}} = c_{1, \alpha^{-1}} (c_{\alpha, \alpha^{-1}} a_{\alpha^{-1}, \alpha, \alpha^{-1}})^{-1} = (c_{\alpha, \alpha^{-1}} a_{\alpha^{-1}, 1, 1} a_{1, 1, \alpha^{-1}} a_{\alpha^{-1}, \alpha, \alpha^{-1}})^{-1}.$$

Substituting here the expressions for $c_{\alpha, \alpha^{-1}}$ obtained above and using the fact that the right-hand sides of (1.3.b) and (1.3.c) are equal we obtain $c_{\alpha^{-1}, \alpha^{-1}} = c_{\alpha, \alpha}$.

Appendix 2. State sum invariants of 3-dimensional π -manifolds

1. Spherical categories. We begin with a definition of a pivotal monoidal category, cf. [FY], [BW2]. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a K -additive monoidal category with (left) duality b, d and structural morphisms a, l, r , as in Section 1. Using these morphisms one can define for any objects $V, W \in \mathcal{C}$ a canonical isomorphism $\gamma_{V, W} : V^* \otimes W^* \rightarrow (W \otimes V)^*$ (see, for instance, [Tu2, p.31]). In particular, if $\mathcal{C}$ is strict then

$$\gamma_{V, W} = (d_V \otimes \text{id}_{(W \otimes V)^*})(\text{id}_{V^*} \otimes d_W \otimes \text{id}_V \otimes \text{id}_{(W \otimes V)^*})(\text{id}_{V^*} \otimes \text{id}_{W^*} \otimes b_{W \otimes V}),$$

$$\gamma_{V,W}^{-1} = (d_{W \otimes V} \otimes \text{id}_{V^*} \otimes \text{id}_{W^*})(\text{id}_{(W \otimes V)^*} \otimes \text{id}_W \otimes b_V \otimes \text{id}_{W^*})(\text{id}_{(W \otimes V)^*} \otimes b_W).$$

Recall also that the duality defines a contravariant functor $*$: $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ (see Section 2.4).

The data needed to make $\mathcal{C}$ *pivotal* is a system of invertible morphisms $\{\tau_V : V \rightarrow V^{**}\}_{V \in \mathcal{C}}$ satisfying the following four conditions:

(1) τ is a natural transformation $\text{id}_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow **$, i.e., for any morphism $f : V \rightarrow W$ in $\mathcal{C}$, the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V & \xrightarrow{f} & W \\ \tau_V \downarrow & & \downarrow \tau_W \\ V^{**} & \xrightarrow{f^{**}} & W^{**}; \end{array}$$

(2) for any objects $V, W \in \mathcal{C}$, the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V \otimes W & \xrightarrow{\tau_{V \otimes W}} & (V \otimes W)^{**} \\ \tau_V \otimes \tau_W \downarrow & & \downarrow \gamma_{W,V}^* \\ V^{**} \otimes W^{**} & \xrightarrow{\gamma_{V^*, W^*}} & (W^* \otimes V^*)^*; \end{array}$$

(3) for any object $V \in \mathcal{C}$, we have $\tau_{V^*} = (\tau_V^{-1})^* : V^* \rightarrow V^{***}$;

(4) the morphism $\nu = (r_{\mathbb{I}}^*)^{-1} b_{\mathbb{I}} : \mathbb{I} \rightarrow \mathbb{I}^*$ is invertible and $\tau_{\mathbb{I}} = (\nu^{-1})^* \nu$.

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a pivotal category. For a morphism $f : V \rightarrow V$ in $\mathcal{C}$, the trace $\text{tr}(f) \in \text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{I})$ is defined by

$$\text{tr}(f) = d_{V^*}(\tau_V f \otimes \text{id}_{V^*}) b_V : \mathbb{I} \rightarrow \mathbb{I}.$$

The trace verifies $\text{tr}(fg) = \text{tr}(gf)$ for any morphisms $f : V \rightarrow W, g : W \rightarrow V$.

Following [BW2], we call a pivotal category $\mathcal{C}$ *spherical* if $\text{tr}(f) = \text{tr}(f^*)$ for any endomorphism f of an object in $\mathcal{C}$. In a spherical category we always have $\text{tr}(f \otimes g) = \text{tr}(f) \text{tr}(g)$ where on the right-hand side we use multiplication in $\text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{I})$ defined by composition. This multiplication makes $\text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{I})$ a commutative K -algebra with unit $\text{id}_{\mathbb{I}}$. In a spherical category, $\text{tr}(\text{id}_{\mathbb{I}}) = \text{id}_{\mathbb{I}}$.

Barrett and Westbury [BW1] (see also [GK]) extended the state sum approach to 3-manifold invariants introduced in [TV] to spherical categories satisfying a few extra conditions. Namely, they showed that the state sum approach works for any finite semisimple spherical category $\mathcal{C}$ over a field K such that $\text{End}(\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{C}}) = K$. Thus, such a category gives rise to a K -valued topological invariant of closed oriented 3-manifolds.

2. Remarks. 1. A pivotal category $\mathcal{C}$ has a right duality $\tilde{b}, \tilde{d}$ defined by

$$\tilde{b}_V = (\text{id}_{V^*} \otimes \tau_V^{-1}) b_{V^*} : \mathbb{I} \rightarrow V^* \otimes V, \quad \tilde{d}_V = d_{V^*}(\tau_V \otimes \text{id}_{V^*}) : V \otimes V^* \rightarrow \mathbb{I}.$$

One can check that the contravariant functor defined as in Section 2.4 but using $\tilde{b}, \tilde{d}$ coincides with the duality functor $*$ defined in Section 2.4 using b, d . Similarly, the natural isomorphisms $V^* \otimes W^* \rightarrow (W \otimes V)^*$ defined using the right (resp. left) duality coincide. Note also the equality $\nu = r_{\mathbb{I}^*}^{-1} b_{\mathbb{I}} = l_{\mathbb{I}^*}^{-1} \tilde{b}_{\mathbb{I}} : \mathbb{I} \rightarrow \mathbb{I}^*$.

2. Our definition of a pivotal category differs from but is essentially equivalent to the one in [BW2]. Barrett and Westbury define a pivotal category as a monoidal category $\mathcal{C}$ equipped with a contravariant functor $*$: $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$, an isomorphism $\nu : \mathbb{I} \rightarrow \mathbb{I}^*$, morphisms $\{b_V : \mathbb{I} \rightarrow V \otimes V^*, \tau_V : V \rightarrow V^{**}\}_{V \in \mathcal{C}}$, and invertible morphisms $\{\gamma_{V,W} : V^* \otimes W^* \rightarrow (W \otimes V)^*\}_{V,W \in \mathcal{C}}$ satisfying certain conditions. Among their conditions are axioms (1) - (3) above and the equality $\tau_{\mathbb{I}} = (\nu^{-1})^* \nu$ replacing axiom (4) above. Barrett and Westbury do not suppose the existence of morphisms d forming together with b a duality in $\mathcal{C}$. However, this can be deduced from their axioms. We prefer to assume from the very beginning that $\mathcal{C}$ has a duality and extract from it the duality functor $*$ and the isomorphisms γ and ν . Conversely, our axioms imply all the Barrett-Westbury axioms. Only Condition (4) in [BW2, p. 362] is somewhat involved; the proof uses the right duality $\tilde{b}, \tilde{d}$ defined above.

3. Spherical crossed π -categories. We say that a crossed π -category $\mathcal{C}$ is *spherical* if it is spherical in the sense of the definition above and the given system of morphisms $\{\tau_V : V \rightarrow V^{**}\}_{V \in \mathcal{C}}$ is invariant under the action of π on $\mathcal{C}$. A crossed π -category $\mathcal{C}$ is *finite semisimple* if it satisfies axioms (6.1.1) - (6.1.4). The methods of [TV], [BW1] allow to derive from a finite semisimple spherical crossed π -category $\mathcal{C}$ a topological invariant $|M|_{\mathcal{C}} \in K$ of a closed oriented 3-dimensional π -manifold M . The construction goes as follows. A *local order* on a triangulation T of M is a compatible choice for each simplex $\Delta \in T$ of a total order on the set of vertices of Δ . By “compatible” we mean that the order on the vertices of any subsimplex of any $\Delta \in T$ is induced by the order on the vertices of Δ . For instance, a total order on the set of all vertices of T induces a local order on T . Note that a local order on T determines an orientation of all edges of T . Fix now a triangulation T of M and endow it with a local order. Choose in the given homotopy class of maps $M \rightarrow K(\pi, 1)$ a representative, g , sending all the vertices to the base point of $K(\pi, 1)$. We assign to each edge e of T the element $g_e \in \pi$ represented by the loop $g|_e$. In terminology of [Tu3, Sect. 7.2], the function $e \mapsto g_e, e^{-1} \mapsto g_e^{-1}$ is a π -system on T representing the homotopy class of g . For $\alpha \in \pi$, denote by I_{α} the set of the isomorphism classes of simple objects of $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha}$. We define $|T|_{\mathcal{C}}$ as in [BW1] with the difference that we involve only the labelling assigning to each edge e of T an element of $I_{g(e)}$. The rest of the construction is similar to the one in [BW1].

We claim that $|M|_{\mathcal{C}} = |T|_{\mathcal{C}}$ is a well-defined topological invariant of M , i.e., it does not depend on the choice of T , the choice of the local order on T , and the choice of g in the given homotopy class. We outline the proof. Note first that a Pachner move on T extends in a unique way to the π -systems. The extension is uniquely determined by the condition that the values of the π -system on all edges preserved by the move should be preserved. The same arguments as in [BW1] show

that $|T|_C$ does not depend of the choice of the local order on T and is invariant under the Pachner moves. It remains to prove that $|T|_C$ does not depend on the choice of g in its homotopy class. Any two π -systems on T representing homotopic maps $M \rightarrow K(\pi, 1)$ can be related by homotopy moves at the vertices of T , cf. [Tu3, Sect. 7.2]. It would be nice to give a direct proof of the invariance of $|T|_C$ under these moves. The proof outlined below is based on the theory of skeletons of M . A *skeleton* of M is a finite simple 2-polyhedron in M whose complement is a disjoint union of open 3-balls. For instance, the closed 2-cells in M dual to the edges of T form a skeleton $T^* \subset M$. Using this observation, we can dualize the notion of a π -system from triangulations to skeletons of M . (A π -system on a skeleton assigns elements of π to oriented 2-faces). If T is locally ordered then we can provide the 2-cell dual to an edge e with an orientation which determines together with the orientation of e the given orientation of M . This makes T^* an oriented branched 2-polyhedron in the sense of [BP]. Now we observe that the state sum invariant can be defined for a π -system on an oriented branched skeleton of M . This allows us to switch from the language of state sums on triangulations to the language of state sums on oriented branched skeletons. In the latter language, a homotopy move on π -systems can be decomposed into a composition of more elementary Matveev-Piergallini moves and bubblings, cf. [TV] and [Tu3, Sect. 8]. The invariance of the state sum under these moves is verified as in [BW1].

4. Spherical algebras. The notion of a spherical category has its counterpart in the theory of Hopf algebras. Following [BW2] we call a *spherical Hopf algebra* over K any pair (A, w) where A is a Hopf algebra over K and $w \in A$ is a group-like element such that the square of the antipode in A equals the conjugation by w and for any A -linear endomorphism f of an A -module (in the sense of Section 11.7) we have $\text{Tr}(fw) = \text{Tr}(fw^{-1})$ where Tr denotes the trace of a K -endomorphism of a projective K -module. We can extend this definition to crossed Hopf π -coalgebras. A *spherical crossed Hopf π -coalgebra* is a pair consisting of a crossed Hopf π -coalgebra $(\{A_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \pi}, \Delta, \varepsilon_1, s, \varphi)$ and invertible elements $\{w_\alpha \in A_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \pi}$ satisfying the following conditions:

$$s_{\alpha^{-1}}s_\alpha(a) = w_\alpha a w_\alpha^{-1}, \quad \Delta_{\alpha, \beta}(w_{\alpha\beta}) = w_\alpha \otimes w_\beta, \quad s_\alpha(w_\alpha) = w_{\alpha^{-1}}^{-1},$$

$$\varepsilon_1(w_1) = 1, \quad \varphi_\alpha(w_\beta) = w_{\alpha\beta\alpha^{-1}}, \quad \text{Tr}(fw_\alpha) = \text{Tr}(fw_\alpha^{-1})$$

for any $\alpha, \beta \in \pi, a \in A_\alpha$ and any A_α -linear endomorphism f of an A_α -module (in the sense of Section 11.7).

It is clear that an involutory crossed Hopf π -coalgebra (so that $s_{\alpha^{-1}}s_\alpha = \text{id}$ for all $\alpha \in \pi$) is spherical with $w_\alpha = 1_\alpha \in A_\alpha$ for all $\alpha \in \pi$. A ribbon crossed Hopf π -coalgebra (A, θ) is spherical with $w_\alpha = \theta_\alpha u_\alpha$ where $u_\alpha \in A_\alpha$ is the (generalized) Drinfeld element of R (see [Vi]).

It is proven in [BW2] that for a spherical Hopf algebra (A, w) , the monoidal category $\text{Rep}(A)$ is spherical. For $V \in \text{Rep}(A)$, the morphism $\tau_V : V \rightarrow V^{**}$ is defined as the standard identification $V = V^{**}$ followed by multiplication by w^{-1} . Similarly, for a spherical crossed Hopf π -coalgebra (A, w) , the category $\text{Rep}(A)$

is spherical: for $V \in \text{Rep}(A_\alpha)$, the morphism $\tau_V : V \rightarrow V^{**}$ is defined as the standard identification $V = V^{**}$ followed by multiplication by w_α^{-1} .

Appendix 3. Open problems

1. *Classification of crossed π -algebras.* Classify crossed π -algebras for sufficiently simple groups π , say, cyclic, abelian, finite, etc. Find interesting examples of non-semisimple crossed π -algebras.

2. *Relations between various approaches.* In the theory of quantum invariants of 3-dimensional manifolds, there is a narrow relationship between the surgery approach and the state sum approach, see [Tu2], [Ro]. It would be interesting to generalize it to HQFT's. A related question: generalize the state sums considered in Appendix 2 to shadows, cf. [Tu2].

3. *Modular π -categories.* Can one systematically produce interesting modular π -categories? By “interesting” I mean those yielding non-trivial topological invariants allowing to distinguish 3-dimensional π -manifolds. In particular, if π is a subgroup of a semisimple Lie group G with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ and q is a complex root of unity, can one use the quantum group $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ to construct modular crossed Hopf π -algebras?

4. *Invariants of spin-structures.* Instead of maps from a manifold M to a fixed target space one can consider maps whose source is the oriented frame bundle of M (the principal bundle of positively oriented bases in the tangent spaces of points). When the target space is $K(\pi, 1)$, this should lead to new algebraic notions generalizing π -algebras and crossed π -categories. This theory should include the quantum invariants of spin-structures on closed oriented 3-manifolds, see [Bl], [KM], [Tu1].

5. *Factors and subfactors.* It was established by A. Ocneanu that the subfactors of type II_1 give rise to 3-manifold invariants via the state sum approach (see for instance [EK]). What is the counterpart of the theory introduced above in the setting of subfactors?

6. *Perturbative aspects.* What are the perturbative aspects of HQFT's? Are there perturbative invariants of 3-dimensional π -manifolds generalizing the Le-Murakami-Ohtsuki invariant [LMO]?

7. *Higher-dimensional generalizations.* The quantum invariants of 3-manifolds have 4-dimensional counterparts, see [CKY], [CKS], [CKJLS], [Mac] and references therein. The role of categories in these constructions is typically played by 2-categories. The homotopy quantum field theory should have similar 4-dimensional and high-dimensional versions and give rise in particular to the notion of a crossed 2-category.

In this paper we considered mainly target spaces of type $K(\pi, 1)$. In dimension 4 it can be easier and more appropriate to consider target spaces of type $K(H, 2)$ where H is an abelian group. Note that 2-dimensional HQFT's with such target space were studied in [BT].

It is most interesting to analyze the algebraic data yielding invariants of Spin^c -structures on 4-manifolds. Here as the sources of maps one takes the oriented frame bundles of 4-manifolds and as the target space one takes $K(H, 2)$. Can one include the Seiberg-Witten invariants in this framework ?

8. Miscellaneous questions. Study the representations of subgroups of the mapping class groups resulting from HQFT's. Find an interpretation of HQFT's in terms of algebraic geometry, i.e., in terms of sections of bundles over moduli spaces. Study the 2-dimensional homotopy modular functors and their relations to quantum computations (cf. [FKW]). Study relations with number theory, cf. [LZ].

References

- [BW1] Barrett, J., Westbury, B., Invariants of piecewise-linear 3-manifolds. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 348 (1996), 3997–4022.
- [BW2] Barrett, J., Westbury, B., Spherical categories. Adv. Math. 143 (1999), 357–375.
- [BP] Benedetti, R., Petronio, C., Branched Standard Spines of 3-manifolds. Lect. Notes in Math., 1653. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1997.
- [Bl] Blanchet, C., Invariants of three-manifolds with spin structure. Comm. Math. Helv. 67 (1992), 406–427.
- [Br] Brieskorn, E., Automorphic sets and singularities. Contemp. Math. 78 (1988), 45–115.
- [BT] Brightwell, M. Turner, P., Representations of the homotopy surface category of a simply connected space. Preprint math. AT/9910026.
- [Bru] Bruguières, A., Catégories prémodulaires, modularisations et invariants des variétés de dimension 3. Math. Ann. 316 (2000), 215–236.
- [CKS] Carter, J., Kauffman, L., Saito, M., Structures and Diagrammatics of Four Dimensional Topological Lattice Field Theories. Adv. Math. 146 (1999), no. 1, 39–100.
- [CKJLS] Carter, J., Kamada, S., Jelsovsky, D., Langford, L., Saito, M., State-sum invariants of knotted curves and surfaces from quandle cohomology. Electron. Res. Announc. Amer. Math. Soc. 5 (1999), 146–156 (electronic).
- [CKY] Crane, L., Kauffman, L., Yetter, D. State-sum invariants of 4-manifolds. J. Knot Theory Ramifications 6 (1997), 177–234.
- [EK]] Evans, D., Kawahigashi, Y., Quantum symmetries on operator algebras. Oxford Mathematical Monographs. Oxford Science Publications. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1998.
- [FR1] Fenn, R., Rourke, C., On Kirby's calculus of links. Topology 18 (1979), 1–15.

- [FR2] Fenn, R., Rourke, C., Racks and Links in Codimension Two. *J. of Knot Theory and Ramifications* 1 (1992), 343–406.
- [FKW] Freedman, M., Kitaev, A., Wang, Z., Simulation of topological field theories by quantum computers. Preprint quant-ph/0001071.
- [FY] Freyd, P., Yetter, D., Braided compact closed categories with applications to low-dimensional topology. *Adv. Math.* 77 (1989), 156–182.
- [GK] Gelfand, S., Kazhdan, D. Invariants of 3-dimensional manifolds. *Geom. Funct. Anal.* 6 (1996), 268–300.
- [He] Hennings, M., Invariants of links and 3-manifolds obtained from Hopf algebras. *J. London Math. Soc.* (2) 54 (1996), 594–624.
- [KRT] Kassel, C., Rosso, M., Turaev, V., Quantum Groups and Knot Invariants, Panoramas et Synthèses 5, Société Mathématique de France, 115 p., 1997.
- [Ki] Kirby, R., A calculus of framed links in S^3 . *Invent. Math.* 45 (1978), 35–56.
- [KM] Kirby, R., Melvin, P., On the 3-manifold invariants of Witten and Reshetikhin-Turaev for $sl_2(\mathbb{C})$. *Invent. Math.* 105 (1991), 473–545.
- [Ku] Kuperberg, G., Involutory Hopf algebras and 3-manifold invariants. *Internat. J. Math.* 2 (1991), 41–66.
- [LZ] Lawrence, R., Zagier, D., Modular forms and quantum invariants of 3-manifolds. *Asian J. Math.* 3 (1999), 93–107.
- [LMO] Le, T., Murakami, J., Ohtsuki, T., On a universal perturbative invariant of 3-manifolds. *Topology* 37 (1998), 539–574.
- [LT] Le, T., Turaev, V., Quantum groups and abelian HQFT’s, in preparation.
- [Mac] Mackaay, M., Spherical 2-categories and 4-manifold invariants. *Adv. Math.* 143 (1999), 288–348.
- [Ma] MacLane, S., Categories for the working mathematician. Graduate Texts in Math. 5. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg-Berlin 1971.
- [Oh1] Ohtsuki, T., Colored ribbon Hopf algebras and universal invariants of framed links. *J. of Knot Theory and Ramifications* 2 (1993), 211–232.
- [Oh2] Ohtsuki, T., Invariants of 3-manifolds derived from universal invariants of framed links. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* 117 (1995), 259–273.
- [Os] Ospel, C., Tressages et théories cohomologiques pour les algèbres de Hopf. Application aux invariants des 3-variétés. Ph. D. thesis (Strasbourg, 1999).
- [RT] Reshetikhin, N., Turaev, V., Invariants of 3-manifolds via link polynomials and quantum groups. *Invent. Math.* 103 (1991), 547–598.
- [Ro] Roberts, J., Skein theory and Turaev-Viro invariants. *Topology* 34 (1995), 771–787.
- [Th] Thys, H., Description topologique des représentations de $U_q(sl_2)$, Preprint math. QA/9810088, to appear in *Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse*.
- [Tu1] Turaev, V., State sum models in low-dimensional topology. *Proc. ICM, Kyoto, 1990 vol. 1* (1991), 689–698.
- [Tu2] Turaev, V., Quantum invariants of knots and 3-manifolds. *Studies in Mathematics* 18, Walter de Gruyter, 588 p., 1994.
- [Tu3] Turaev, V., Homotopy field theory in dimension 2 and group-algebras. Preprint QA/9910010.

[TV] Turaev, V., Viro, O., State sum invariants of 3-manifolds and quantum $6j$ -symbols. *Topology* 31 (1992), 865–902.

[Vi] Virelizier, A., Crossed Hopf π -coalgebras and invariants of links and 3-manifolds, in preparation.

[Zu] Zunino, M. Center of π -categories and double of crossed Hopf π -coalgebras, in preparation.

Institut de Recherche Mathématique Avancée, Université Louis Pasteur - CNRS, ■
7 rue René Descartes, 67084 Strasbourg Cedex, France

turaev@math.u-strasbg.fr